

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

PLAINTIFF

4. The FTC is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The FTC enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The FTC also enforces Section 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 52, which prohibits false advertisements for food, drugs, devices, services, or cosmetics in or affecting commerce.

5. The FTC is authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, by its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 56(a)(2)(A).

DEFENDANTS

6. Defendant Coleadium, Inc. (“Coleadium”), also doing business as Ads4Dough, is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 6965 El Camino Real #105-441, Carlsbad, California 92009. Coleadium transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United States.

7. Defendant Jason Akatiff (“Akatiff”) is the 100% owner and President of Coleadium. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of Coleadium set forth in this Complaint. Among other things, he has negotiated agreements with merchants to advertise, market, and promote weight loss and other products to consumers, and has recruited others to advertise, market, and promote the products. Defendant Akatiff, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United States.

COMMERCE

8. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as “commerce” is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

DEFENDANTS’ BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

9. Since at least 2008, using a network of affiliate marketers, Defendants have advertised, marketed, and promoted various products to consumers throughout the United States, including acai berry products, which include but are not limited to AcaiOptimum, AcaiBurn – Force Max, Acai Tropic, Acai Fit, and Acai Elite Blast (collectively, the “Acai Berry Products”), and also including colon cleanse products, which include but are not limited to Natura Cleanse, Smart Colon Flush, Advanced Colon Max, and Colo Flush (collectively, the “Colon Cleanse Products”), which Defendants often advertise, market, and promote with Acai Berry Products.

10. Defendants enter into contracts with merchants who sell products, including without limitation the Acai Berry Products and Colon Cleanse Products. Pursuant to these contracts, Defendants agree to promote the products in exchange for commissions or other

network are allowed to market specific products. The affiliate marketers typically have no direct contact with the merchants who sell the products.

12. Defendants' affiliate marketers promote the products through websites. Some of these sites are designed to look like news reports. These sites use domain names such as nbcreports.com, usahealthnewstoday.org and usahealthreportstoday.org, and mastheads such as "MSNBC" and "USA Health News." The reports have titles such as "Which Acai Berry product is the best for you?" and "Acai Berry Products Reviewed Most Effective Ones Found." The sites often include the names and logos of major broadcast and cable television networks, falsely representing that the reports on the sites have been seen on these networks.

13. The sites purport to provide objective investigative reports authored by reporters or commentators often pictured on the sites. The supposed authors of the reports claim to have tested the products on themselves or others with dramatic and positive results. Often following the reports are "comments" that appear to be independent statements made by ordinary consumers.

14. In fact, the news reports are fake. Reporters or commentators pictured on the sites are fictional and never conducted the tests or experienced the results described in the reports. The tests and results described on the sites never happened. The "comments" following the reports are simply additional advertising content, not independent statements from ordinary consumers. The sites do not even accept "comments" from consumers, but often state falsely that comments are temporarily closed due to spam.

15. The purpose of the affiliate marketers' websites is to promote the featured products, which merchants sell to consumers on other websites. The affiliate marketers' websites often represent that the products are available on a free trial basis. The websites are

designed to entice consumers to click on links that will transfer them to a merchant's website where consumers can enter their credit or debit card information to purchase products or obtain a "free trial." Defendants receive a commission or other payment for each consumer who clicks on a link and ultimately makes a purchase or signs up for a "free trial" on the merchant's website. Defendants pay a portion of these commissions or other payments to their affiliate marketers.

16. Defendants, through their affiliate marketers, have failed to disclose in a clear and conspicuous manner that they are not objectively evaluating the advertised products and, in fact, are being paid to promote the products. The websites of Defendants' affiliate marketers either fail entirely to disclose these facts, or fail to do so adequately. The relevant information, if disclosed at all, typically appears in small type at the bottom of the web page, following the fake consumer comments, well below the links to the products being sold.

17. Defendants, through their affiliate marketers, also have failed to disclose in a clear and conspicuous manner that consumers who do not affirmatively cancel within the trial period will be charged for the free trial samples, usually between \$60 and \$100 (or double the total if they order two trial products), and that consumers who order the trial samples are also automatically enrolled in a monthly continuity plan and charged each month for recurring shipments of the products.

18. The websites of Defendants' affiliate marketers either fail entirely to disclose these facts, or fail to do so adequately. The relevant information that consumers must cancel within a week or two weeks to avoid enrollment in a membership program and that they will be charged to receive monthly shipments of the product, if disclosed at all, typically appears on the affiliate marketers' websites in small type at the bottom of the page and most often buried with

VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT

22. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits “unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce.”

23. Misrepresentations or deceptive omissions of material fact constitute deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act. Section 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 52, prohibits the dissemination of any false advertisement in or affecting commerce for the purpose of inducing, or which is likely to induce, the purchase of food, drugs, devices, services, or cosmetics. For the purposes of Section 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 52, the Acai Berry Products are either a “food” or “drug” as defined in Section 15(b) and (c) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 55(b), (c).

COUNT ONE

False and Unsubstantiated Product Claims

24. Through the means described in Paragraphs 9 through 21 of this Complaint, Defendants, through affiliates acting on their behalf and for their benefit, have represented, expressly or by implication, that use of the Acai Berry Products and the Colon Cleanse Products, alone or in combination, will result in rapid and substantial weight loss, including as much as twenty-five pounds in four weeks.

25. The representations set forth in Paragraph 24 of this Complaint are false or were not substantiated at the time the representations were made.

26. Therefore, the making of the representations as set forth in Paragraph 24 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice and the making of false advertisements, in or affecting commerce, in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

COUNT THREE

Failure to Disclose (Connection to Seller)

30. In numerous instances in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of the Acai Berry Products, the Colon Cleanse Products and other products, including through the means described in Paragraphs 9 through 21 of this Complaint, Defendants, through affiliates acting on their behalf and for their benefit, have represented, expressly or by implication, that the content of the affiliate marketers' websites has been authored by an objective journalist who has conducted independent tests.

31. In numerous instances in which Defendants have made the representations set forth in Paragraph 30 of this Complaint, Defendants and their affiliates have failed to disclose or disclose adequately to consumers that the content of the websites has not been authored by an objective journalist but is in fact an advertisement placed by Defendants' affiliate marketers. Defendants receive commissions or other payments when consumers purchase the products or sign up for "free trials" on the product-selling websites.

32. Defendants' failure to disclose or disclose adequately the material information described in Paragraph 3-0009 Tw[(expressly or by i7JT*Opugh 21 of thispr3.0009 teA9grap innstancr))Tj/TT2

represented, expressly or by implication, that consumers who pay a nominal fee to receive a trial supply of the product will incur no risks or obligations.

34. In numerous instances in which Defendants and their affiliates have made the representations set forth in Paragraph 33 of this Complaint, Defendants and their affiliates have failed to disclose, or to disclose adequately, to consumers the material terms and conditions of the offer, including, but not limited to, that:

- a. consumers who sign up to receive a trial supply of the products are charged for the trial supply of the product if they do not return it within a certain period of time; and
- b. consumers who sign up to receive a trial supply of the products are automatically enrolled in a continuity program for the products and must cancel the program within a specified period of time to avoid additional recurring shipments of products and charges.

35. Defendants' failure to disclose or to disclose adequately the material information described in Paragraph 34 above, in light of the representation described in Paragraph 33 above, constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

CONSUMER INJURY

36. Consumers have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial injury as a result of Defendants' violations of the FTC Act. In addition, Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful acts or practices. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

37. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt and redress violations of any provision of law enforced by the FTC. The Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award ancillary relief, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, to prevent and remedy any violation of any provision of law enforced by the FTC.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff FTC, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), and the Court's own equitable powers, requests that the Court:

A. Award Plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including but not limited to, temporary and preliminary injunctions, an order preserving assets, and an accounting;

B. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FTC Act by Defendants;

C. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, including but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and

D. Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLARD K. TOM
General Counsel

Dated: August 30, 2012

s/Guy G. Ward _____
GUY G. WARD
STEVEN M. WERNIKOFF
Federal Trade Commission
55 West Monroe Street, Suite 1825
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 960-5634 [main]
(312) 960-5612 [direct]
(312) 960-5600 [facsimile]
gward@ftc.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION