1	STUART F. DELERY						
2	Acting Assistant Attorney General MAAME EWUSI-MENSAH FRIMPONG						
3	Deputy Assistant Attorney General MICHAEL S. BLUME						
	Director, Consumer Protection Branch						
4	RICHARD GOLDBERG Assistant Director, Consumer Protection Bran	1					
5	ANN F. ENTWISTLE Trial Attorney, Consumer Protection Branch						
6	U.S. Department of Justice						
7	450 Fifth Street, NW - Suite 6400 South Washington, DC 20530						
8	Telephone: (202) 305-3630 E-mail: Ann.F.Entwistle@usdoj.gov						
	L-man. Ann.P.Entwistic@usdoj.gov						
9	LAURA E. DUFFY						
10	United States Attorney JOSEPH P. PRICE, JR.,						
11	DOUGLAS KEEHN Assistant U.S. Attorneys California Bar Nos. 131689/233686						
12							
13	Office of the United States Attorney 880 Front Street, Room 6293						
14	San Diego, California 92101-8893						
	E-mail: joseph.price@usdoj.gov						
15	E-mail: douglas.keehn@usdoj.gov						
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America						
17	Cinica States of America						
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
19	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
20	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Case No.					
21		)					
	Plaintiff,	) COMPLAINT FO	D CIVIL DENIALTIES				
22	V.	) PERMANENT					
23	CHRISTOPHER ANDREW STERLING, individually, and d/b/a	) OTHER EQUITAL )	OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF				
24	sterlingvisa.com, rebatedataprocessor.com, and creditcardworker.com,	)					
25	Defendants.	ý					
26	——————————————————————————————————————						
27	Plaintiff, the United States of						
28							

of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 56(a)(1), for its complaint alleges: Plaintiff brings this action under Sections 5(a), 5(m)(1)(A), 13(b), and 16(a), of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b), and 56(a), to obtain monetary civil penalties, a permanent injunction and other equitable relief such as temporary and preliminary injunctive relief, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution 

transacted business in this District and throughout the United States. **COMMERCE** 6. At all times relevant to this complaint, the Defendant has maintained a substantial course of trade in the offering for sale and sale of business opportunities in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Ac 

website claims that potential purchasers can "MAKE \$200 - \$1000+ A DAY," "well over \$500+ per day," includes a "Weekly Sales Snapshot" showing weekly earnings of \$1,401.51 to \$3,230.97 for January 2009, and a "Daily Sales Snapshot" showing daily earnings during that period of \$133.08 to \$1,291.87, and a claim that "you too can get a [sic] \$15,526 in 29 days." The website also includes the following table under the heading "How much money can I make?":

3 a day @\$15 each - \$315 per week or \$1260 month or \$15,120 a year.

8 a day @\$15 each - \$840 per week or \$3360 month or \$40,320 a year.

15 a day @\$15 each - \$1575 per week or \$6300 month or \$75,600 a year.

Defendant's sterlingvisa.com and creditcardworker.com websites both tell potential purchasers that "YOU CAN MAKE \$300 - \$1000+ A DAY," and include a "Weekly Sales Snapshot" and "Daily Sales Snapshot" that are identical to those on the rebatedataprocessor.com website.

10. Consumers who buy one of Defendant's business opportunities receive nothing more than information about how to become an affiliate marketer, not how to process rebates or credit card applications for accounts the Defendant has provided. The rebate and credit card application data processing work Defendant has promised is illusory or incidental at best, because purchasers can only process rebates or credit card applications that they themselves advertise, and only after successfully converting "clicks" on their Internet ads to the purchase of the products they offer.

11. Few, if any, purchasers of Defendant's work-at-home opportunities are likely to make the income Defendant claims because Defendant misrepresents the nature of the business opportunity offered, because affiliate marketing is a complicated and highly competitive business, and because Defendant sometimes fails to provide any information whatsoever in return for a purchase.

## Failure to Provide Required Disclosures

12. Defendant has failed to give the written disclosure document the Business Opportunity Rule requires a seller to give potential purchasers prior to their purchase of his business opportunities. The disclosure document is designed to provide potential purchasers with basic information about the seller, litigation involving the seller, the seller's cancellation and refund policies, information supporting any earnings claims the Rafar bradefile (ToW@)

13. Defendant also has failed to disclose information required by the Business Opportunity Rule in immediate conjunction with earnings claims in the general media. Defendant makes earnings claims on its websites about potential profits that fail to include the required disclosure of the number and percentage of prior purchasers known by Defendant to have achieved the same or better results.

14. Defendant has engaged in the foregoing business practices since at least March 1, 2012, the date the amended Business Opportunity Rule became effective, and has engaged in the same or similar business practices related to the sale of business opportunities since at least 2007.

## **VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT**

15. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 45(a), prohibits "unfair or

prospective purchasers in conjunction with the disclosure document, containing, among other things, information regarding the time frame captured by the earnings claim, the characteristics of the purchasers, and the number and percentage of all persons who purchased the business opportunity within the time frame who achieved at least the stated level of earnings; and (4) makes written substantiation of the earnings claim available to any prospective purchaser who requests it. 16 C.F.R. § 437.4(a).

- 25. Defendant has made earnings claims in connection with the sale of his business opportunities in the general media, as defined by the Business Opportunity Rule. 16 C.F.R. § 437.1(h). Under the Business Opportunity Rule, "general media" means "any instrumentality through which a person may communicate with the public, including, but not limited to, television, radio, print, Internet, billboard, Web site, commercial bulk email, and mobile communications." 16 C.F.R. § 437.1(h).
- 26. The Business Opportunity Rule prohibits sellers from making earnings claims in the general media unless the seller has a reasonable basis for and written substantiation of any earnings claims and states in immediate conjunction with those claims the beginning and ending dates when the represented earnings were achieved, and the number and percentage of all persons who purchased Defendant's business opportunity prior to that ending date who achieved at least the stated level of earnings. 16 C.F.R. § 437.4(b).
- 27. Pursuant to Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a(d)(3), a violation of the Business Opportunity Rule constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in or affecting commerce in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

## **COUNT TWO**

## **Disclosure Document Violations**

- 28. In numerous instances in connection with the offer for sale, sale, or promotion of business opportunities, Defendant has failed to furnish prospective purchasers with a disclosure document and any required attachments within the time period prescribed by the Business Opportunity Rule.
- 29. Defendant's acts and practices, as described in paragraph 28 above, violate the Business Opportunity Rule, 16 C.F.R. §§ 437.2 and 437.3(a), and Section 5(a) of the FTC Act. 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

15À€^Tt@8

1Á€^hx@2

	Case 3:12-cv-02736-JAH-DHB Docu	ment 1	Filed 11/09/12	Page 10 of 10		
	(A) F		C	Cd. FTC A Ld. D		
1	(4) Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FTC Act and the Busines					
2	Opportunity Rule by the Defendant; and					
3	(5) Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional relie					
4	as the Court may determine to be just and proper.					
5	Dated: November 9, 2012		Respectfully sub	mitted,		
6			STUART F. DEL	LERY Attorney General		
7			MAAME EWUS	SI-MENSAH FRIMPONG Attorney General		
8			MICHAEL S. BI	LUME ner Protection Branch		
9			RICHARD GOL	DBERG Dr, Consumer Protection Branch		
10			s/Ann F. Entwhi			
11			ANN F. ENTWI	STLE Consumer Protection Branch		
12			That recomey, c	consumer reduction Branch		
13			LAURA E. DUF			
14			United States Att	•		
15			s/Joseph P. Price JOSEPH P. PRIC	CE, JR.		
16			Assistant United	·		
17			Attorneys for Pla United States of	untiff America		
18	OF COUNSEL:					
19	DAVID SHONKA					
20	Acting General Counsel LOIS C. GREISMAN					
21	LAssociate Director					
22	Division of Marketing Practices Craig Tregillus, Attorney Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W Rm.					
23	600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W Rm.					
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
-						