## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

101 0023

COMMISSIONERS: Jon Leibowitz, Chairman

J. Thomas Rosb Edith Ramirez Julie Brill

Maureen K. Ohlhausen

In the Matter of

IDEXX LABORATORIES, INC.,

Docket No. C-

**a**rporation.

## COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 41 et seq. and byvirtue of the athority vested in it bysaid Act, the Edeal Trade Commission ("Commission"), having reason to bleeve that DEXX Laboratories, hc. ("IDEXX" or "Responder") has violated Section 5 of thee Eleal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C.§ 45, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding oducts used by veterinarians who treat com

Products") through the use of exclusive contracts with

ad byto test, diagnose and treat cert

office visit. POC Diagnostic Products provide real-time results that cannot be obtained through other testingalternatives, such as seices offered by outside reafrence labs.

2. Nearly all Veterinarians buy their supplies, including POCDiagnostic Products, from distributors who specific in supplying veterinary clinics, and most of their polinase are made from a small number oftop tier" distributors. DEXX has used its monopologower, the threat of termination, and applicit agreements to prevent those top tier distributors freelling

rival POC Diagnostic Products that the distributors would otherwise choose to sell. As a result, IDEXX has foreclosed its competitors from distributors that sell over 85% of all products purchased through distribution by companion animal veterinary clinics in the United States.

- 3. Veterinarians prefer to buy diagnostic products, equipment and supplies through top tier distributors because other purchasing options are less efficient and more costly. As a result, DEXX's competitors arienpeded from effectively and efficiently marketing competing POCDiagnostic Products to Veterinarians.
- 4. IDEXX's exclusionary practices have blocked ivals from the most efficient sales channel IDEXX has used its exclusionary practices to successfully diminis

distributor if the distributor sells or promotes appropriately approached in the Relevat POC Market.

- 25. IDEXX's dominant market position, its practice of demanding exclusivity, and its imposition of an 'all-or-nothing' policy give distributors of veternary products poweful economic inentives that require them to delawith IDEXX on an exclusive basis.
- 26. IDEXX's exclusionary acts and practices require competing manufacturers to settle for less efficient means to sell their producto Veterinaians.

## ANTICOMP ETIT IVE EFFECTS OF IDEXX'S CONDUCT

- 27. IDEXX's conceted action and exclusionaracts and practices eect significant barriers to entryfor those maufacturers that have deeloped, would other is a have developed, or offered for sale POC Diagnostic Products that would compete have DEXX products.
- 28. The acts and practices of IDEXX as alleged herein have the purpose, capacity, tendenge, and effect of impairing the competitive effectiveness of IDEXX's competitors in the relevant market
- 29. The acts and practices of IDEXX as alleged herein reasonably appear capable of making a significant contribution to the enhancement or maintenance of IDEXX's moropoly power.
  - 30. IDEXX's conduct adversely affects competition and consumers by:
    - A. reducing the output of POCDiagnostic Products;
    - B. detering, delaying and impeding the ability of IDEXX's actuator potential competitors to enter to expand their sales in the wheatrfor POC Diagnostic Products;
    - C. reducing innovation; and
    - D. reducing consumer choice among users of POCDiagnostic Products.
- 31. IDEXX's acts and parctices as alleged herein were intended to, need have, restrained competition unfairly and unreasonably and enhanced ormaintained DEXX's monopolypower
- 32. There are no legitimate procompetitive difficiencies that justify IDEXX's conduct or outweigh its substantial anticompetitive effects.

## VIOLATION ALLEGED

- 33. The acts and practices of IDEXX, as alleged herein, contribute to the enhancement or miantenance of IDEXX's monopolypower, and constitute uninamethods of competition in or affecting commerce, all in violation of Section 5 of the Eleal Trade Commission Act, as annualed, 15 U.S.C. § 45.
- 34. Such acts and practices, or the feects theref, will continue or ecurin the absene of appropriate relief.

<b>SIE</b> XX'
----------------

	WHERE	FORE,	THE PR	EMISES	<b>CONSIDE</b>	RED, t	he F <b>e</b> leral	TradeCom	mission on
this	d								