## UNITED STAT ES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS:	Jon Leibowitz, Chairman
	Edith Ramirez
	Julie Brill
	Maureen K. Ohlhausen
	Joshua D. Wright

In the Matter of	)
<ul> <li>PRÁXEDES E. ALVAREZ SANTIAG O, M.D., an individual;</li> <li>DANIEL P ÉREZ BRISEBOIS, M.D., an individual;</li> <li>JORGE GRILLASCA PA LOU, M.D., an individual;</li> <li>RAFAEL GARC ÍA NIEVES, M.D., an individual;</li> <li>FRANCIS M. V ÁZ QUEZ ROURA, M.D., an individual;</li> <li>ANGEL B. RIVERA SANTOS, M.D., an individual;</li> <li>COSME D. SANTOSTORRES, M.D., an individual; and</li> <li>JUAN L. VILARÓ CHARD ÓN, M.D., an individual.</li> </ul>	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
	, )

## COMPLAINT

Pulsuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 41, et seq., and by virtue of the authority vested in it bysaid Act, the Edeal Trade Commission ("Commission"), having reason to blieve that Respondents Práxedes E. Adva Santiago, M.D., Daniel Pérez Brisebois, M.D., Jorge Grill asca Palou, M.D., Rafael García Nieves, M.D., Francis M. Vázquez Roura, M.D., Angel B. Rivera Santos, M.D., Cosme D. Santos Torres, M.D., and Juan L Vilaró Chadón, M.D., ("Respondents") violate Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues this Complaint, stating its charges in that respect as follows:

### I. NATURE OF THE CASE

1. This matter concerns an agreement among eight independent nephrologists in southwestern Puerto Rico to fix the prices and conditions under which they would participate in Mi Salud, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico's Mediad program for providing health cae services to indigent residents. In furtherance of their conspiracy, Respondents collectively terminated the participation in the Mi Salud program in southwester Puerto Rico after program's regional administrator, Human death Plans of Pueto Rico, hc. ("Humana") refused to accede to Respondents' demands to restore a cut in reimbursements for certain patients eligble for benefits underboth Medicate and Mi Salud (dual eligbles"). After Respondents terminated ithervice agreements with Humana, therefused to trebany of Humana's Mi Salud patients. As a result, Respondents barress on ably restrianed competition and eragged in unfar methods of comptition in violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

#### **II. RESPONDENTS**

2. Respondents airedividuals licensel to practice medicine in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and eragged in the business of opvriding nephrology services to patients for æfe. They represent blof the nephrologists in the southwest geon who participate in the Humana Mi Salud program and amost 90 percent of all nephrologists in the region. Their respective names ad business address are

- (1) Praxedes E. Avarez Santiago, M.D., 2916 Avenue Emilio Fagot, Suite 1, Ponce, PR 00716-3611.
- (2) Daniel Pérez Bisebois, M.D., 3011 Avenutemilio Fagot, Ponce, PR 00716.
- (3) JorgeGrillascaPalou, M.D., 302 Torrean Cristobal, Cotoaure, PR 00780.
- (4) Rafael Garcia Nieves, M.D.

# III. JURISDICTION

eight regions in Ruerto Rico. Humanadministers and insustehe program in three regions: the east, the souther, and the southwest. TripSeadministers the pogram in the other regions.

10. h October2010, the Mi Salud reimbursemenor gram was modified for pesons eligible for both Mediareand Mediaid ("dual eligibles"). Under the previous program, called La Reforma, provides received 100 pecent of the Medicae established rateor dual eligibles. As the primary payer, Medicare paid 80 pecent, and pagers administering the Mi Salud program paid the renaining 20 pecent coordination of beefits amount (20 percent COB"). Under the Mi Salud program, provides no longe received a coordination of benfets amount for dual eligibles, except in rareircumstances. Thus, Responder reimbursements werelower under

14. Respondents being pressing their case for the einstatement of theigher reimbursement in an October 28, 2011 email to Humana. In that email, Respondent Jorge Grillasca Palou, MD, wrote:

Under the present conditions, can anticipate that will not continue offeing services to Humana ptients if these [policies for payent for sevices to dual eligibles] are not modified. Pleasemembethat the real population requise our services to stay alive and in good health. I am legitimately concerned that servicemaybe affected for patients that canonly [emphasis in original] be attended by a nephologist. Loosing [sic] nephrolog services for your population may create acomplicated and dangerous situation, espiadly for critical care patients in a hospital.

He requested that Humanahold an urgent meetingwith me and othercolleag

explanation, Respondents continued to jointlek arateincrease. At the end of the neeting Respondents prese

to another hospital in Ponce with eanal illness. The piaent was pregnant, had anistory of bronchial asthma, and receled networks. According to the notes of the nases and the unit coordinator, alls were made to all eight of the Respondents, but all said to accept Mi Salud patients. Hospital staffore mmended tansferring the patient to another ospital 67 miles away but the family objected because of the distance

24. Respondents envice ally began treating patients again only after being ordered to do so by the Office of the Health Advocate, who determined that Respondents' immediate terminations violated the notice quision in their contracts and the continuation of respected requirement in the Puerto Rico Patient's Bill of Rights and Responsibilities.

## C. Resulting Increase in Reinbursement

25. Respondents' refusal to treat Humana's Mi Salud patients forced ASES to ultimately accede to Respondes' demands foreinstatement of the policyrequiringpayment of the 20 percent COB. On June 13, 2012, ASES issued Circulærttler No. 12-0613, statinghat retroactive to March 16, 2012, it would require insurers to pay the 20 percent COB to all healthcæe providers, essretially abandoninghe new eimbursementofrmula and dopting the reimbursement policyunder la Reforma. ASES reinstated the 20 percent COB beause it wa concerned about lack of access to nephrologservices for its Mi Salud members, and liesed that it had no otherhoice but to accedeto adopting the 20 percent COB eimbursement policy ASES believes that irrestating this reimbursement will increase the anual costs of the Mi Salud program bybetween \$4 and \$6 million.

## VII. NO LEGITIMATE JUSTI FICATION F OR THE CONDUCT

26. Respondents' conduct is not reasonably related to achieving any efficiencyenhaning integration. Respondents have dertaten no ativities to integrate their delivery of nephrology services and thus cannot justify conduct described in the foregoing paragraphs. They neither shared financial risk in providing nephrology services for clinically integrated their delivery of care to patients.

# VIII. ANTIC OMPETIT IV E EFFECTS

27. Respondents' actions have the purpose and had the effect of unreasonably restraining trade and hindling competition in the provision of nephrologervices in the southwest region of Puerto Rico by:

- (a) depriving third-paty payers and consumers of benfets of such computition;
- (b) increasingprices of nephology services to Mi Salud; and

(c) collectively withholding treatment from Mi Salud patients, sudting in significant and real consequences to patients.

## IX. VIOLATION OF THE FTC AC T

28. The acts and practices described above constitute unfair methods of competition in or affecting commercein violation of Section 5 of the Beral TradeCommission Act, as amended, 15U.S.C.§ 45. Such acts and practices, or the effects thereof, are continuing and will continue or ecurin the absence of therelief herein requested.

WHEREFORE, THE PREM&ES CONSIDERED, the ledeal Trade Commission has caudethis Complaint to be signed by its Secretary and its official seal to be hereto affixed, at Washington, D.C., this \_\_\_\_\_\_ of a \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2013.

By the Commission.

Donald S. Cl	ark
Secreary	

SEAL