

## Dear Mr. Miles:

Thank you for your comment regarding the Federal TradeCommission's proposed consent greement in the aboventitled proceding. The Commission has placeduly comment on the public reord pursuant to rule 4.9(K/s)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Pratice, 16 C.F.R. § 4.9(b/s)(ii), and has given it serious considertion.

Your comment urgs the Commissionotimpose a fine on ptic Marketplace, hc. and Epic Media Goup, LLC (collectively "Epic"). The complaint in this matter allegs violations of Section 5 of the TC Act, underwhich the Commission does not have the authhour seek civil penalties. However, the Commission is committed to safegarding consumer pivacy and believes the proposed order provides strong protection for consumer. Independent than a Epic from history sniffing

collected using historysniffing. It also prohibits Epic from misrepsentingthe extent to which it maintains the privacy and confidentiality of data about particular consumers, and the extent to which software code on a webpage determines whether use has previously visited a webpage. Once the order becomes final, Epic in risk civil penalties of up to \$16,000 per violation of any term of the order, or up to \$16,000 per order the case of continuing violations (as provided by Section 5(I) of the FTC Ad, 45 U.S.C. § 45(I), as adjusted 15 CFR 1.98(a)

In addition, your comment saks that, if possible, criminal sanctions be imposed. The Commission is a civil law enforcement agency, and thus does not hather authority to bring criminal charges or imposer iminal penalties.

Having considered all the facts of t