

United States of America FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20580

Office of the Secretary

April 11, 2013

Tim Kelly Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

> Re: In the Matter of DesignerWare, LLC, In the Matter of Timothy Kelly and Ronald P. Koller File No. 112 3151, Docket Nos. C-4390 and C-4391

Dear Mr. Kelly:

Thank you for your comment regarding the Federal Trade Commission's consent agreements in the above-entitled proceeding. The Commission has placed your comment on the public record pursuant to Rule 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 4.9(b)(6)(ii), and has given it serious consideration.

Your comment suggests that the proposed consent orders with DesignerWare, LLC ("DesignerWare"), hes wi0 Tw3(3Tj ()Tj youc **AllwDgkeJotw**ere reported as "stolen." gnerWare did not "know about how all its licensees used the Detective Mode aim "it would not make sense for them to use the software" to monitor vere late on their payments.

ow, the Commission conducted a thorough investigation of this matter, esignerWare's licensees used Detective Mode. The complaint against based on the Commission's reason to believe that the company engaged in ive practices in violation of Section 5 the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 complaint alleges that DesignerWare did not monitor its collection of or limit ess to Detective Mode data, which included sensitive consumer information ts of medical records, Social Security numbers, and financial account ebcam photos of individuals engaged in personal activities within the presumed wn homes. The complaint further alleges that, in numerous instances, RTO ed Detective Mode used the program where consumers were late in making nd the stores had no reason to believe that the computers had been stolen. As , DesignerWare did not – and, in fact, could not – ensure that Detective Mode y to locate stolen computers. Federal Trade Commission Response Page 2

The Commission believes the proposed orders strike an appropriate balance between