

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: Edith Ramirez, Chairwoman
Julie Brill
Maureen K. Ohlhausen
Joshua D. Wright

_____)
In the Matter of)
)
THE NEIMAN MARCUS GROUP, INC.,) DOCKET NO. C-4407
a corporation.)
_____)

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission (“Commission”), having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the Respondent named in the caption hereof, and the Respondent having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft of a Complaint which the Bureau of Consumer Protection proposed to present to the Commission for its consideration and which, if issued, would charge the Respondent with violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Fur Products Labeling Act; and

The Respondent, its attorney, and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an Agreement Containing Consent Order (“Consent Agreement”), which includes: a statement by Respondent that it neither admits nor denies any of the allegations in the draft complaint, except as specifically stated in the Consent Agreement, and, only for purposes of this action, admits the facts necessary to establish jurisdiction; and waives and other provisions as required by the Commission’s Rules; and

The Commission having thereafter considered the matter and having determined that it had reason to believe that the Respondent has violated the Federal Trade Commission Act, and that a complaint should issue stating its charges in that respect, and having thereupon accepted the executed consent agreement and placed such agreement on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days for the receipt and consideration of public comments, and having duly considered the comments received from interested persons pursuant to Commission Rule 2.34, 16 C.F.R. ‘ 2.34, now in further conformity with the procedure prescribed in Commission Rule 2.34, the Commission hereby issues its complaint, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent The Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., is

I.

IT IS ORDERED that, subject to the guaranty provisions of the Fur Products Labeling Act (“Fur Act”), 15 U.S.C. ' 69 *et seq.*, and the Rules and Regulations Under the Fur Products Labeling Act (“Fur Rules”), 16 C.F.R. Part 301, Respondent, directly or through any person, partnership, corporation, subsidiary, division, trade name, or other device, in connection with the labeling, advertising, promotion, offering for sale, sale, or distribution of any fur product in any advertisement disseminated through the mail, on any website, or in any catalog, in or affecting commerce, is hereby permanently restrained and enjoined from engaging in, causing other persons to engage in, or assisting other persons to engage in, violations of the Fur Act and the Fur Rules, including, but not limited to, falsely or deceptively advertising any fur product by

II.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall maintain and, upon request, make available to the Commission, for inspection and copying, all records that will demonstrate compliance with the requirements of this order, including, but not limited to:

- A. All acknowledgments of receipt of order obtained pursuant to Paragraph III.B.
- B. For three (3) years after the last date of dissemination of any representation by

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall notify the Commission in connection with compliance with this order as follows:

- A. At least thirty (30) days prior to any change in the corporation that may affect compliance

- A. Any Part in this order that terminates in less than twenty (20) years;
- B. This order, if such complaint is filed after the order has terminated pursuant to this Part. Provided, further, that if such complaint is dismissed, or a federal court rules that the Respondent did not violate any provision of the order, and the dismissal or ruling is either not appealed or upheld on appeal, then the order will terminate according to this Part as though the complaint had never been filed, except that the order will not terminate between the date such complaint is filed and the later of the deadline for appealing such dismissal or ruling and the date such dismissal or ruling is upheld on appeal.

By the Commission.

Donald S. Clark