Locust Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63166–2034:

2. FCB Financial Services, Inc., Marion, Arkansas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of First Community Bank of Eastern Arkansas, Marion, Arkansas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, October 18, 2004.

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 04–23606 Filed 10–20–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–S

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Public Workshop: Peer-to-Peer File-Sharing Technology: Consumer Protection and Competition Issues

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission (FTC or Commission).

ACTION: Notice announcing public workshop and requesting public comment and participation.

SUMMARY: The FTC is planning to host a public workshop, "Peer-to-Peer File-Sharing Technology: Consumer Protection and Competition Issues," to explore consumer protection and competition issues associated with the distribution and use of peer-to-peer filesharing technology.

DATES: The workshop will be held on December 15 and 16, 2004, at the Federal Trade Commission's Satellite Building located at 601 New Jersey Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. The event is open to the public and there is no fee for attendance. Pre-registration is not required. Additional information about the workshop will be posted on the FTC's Web site at *http:// www.ftc.gov/bcp/workshops/filesharing/ index.htm.*

Requests to Participate as a Panelist: As discussed below, written requests to participate as a panelist in the workshop must be received on or before Monday, November 15, 2004. Persons filing requests to participate as a panelist will be notified on or before Monday, November 29, 2004, if they have been selected. For further instructions, please see the "Requests to Participate as a Panelist in the Workshop" section below.

Written an Electronic Comments: Regardless of whether they are selected to participate, persons may submit written or electronic comments on the topics to be discussed by the panelists. Such comments must be received on or before Monday, November 15, 2004. For further instructions on submitting comments, please see the **ADDRESSES** and the "Form and Availability of Comments" sections below. To read our policy on how we handle the information you submit, please visit *http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm*.

ADDRESSES: Comments and requests to participate as a panelist in the workshop filed in paper form should be mailed or delivered, as prescribed in the "Form and Availability of Comments" sections below, to the following address: Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room 159-H (Annex B), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Because paper mail in the Washington area and at the Agency is subject to delay, please consider submitting your comments via electronic mail. Comments and requests to participate filed in electronic form (except comments and requests containing any confidential material) should be sent, as prescribed in the "Form and Availability of Comments" section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Delaney, (202) 326–2903, Bureau of Consumer Protection; Theodore Gebhard, (202) 326–3699, Bureau of Competition; or Hajime Hadeishi, (202) 326–2320, Bureau of Economics. The above staff can be reached by mail at: Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. A detailed agenda and additional information on the workshop will be posted on the FTC's Web site at http://www.ftc.gov/ bcp/workshops/filesharing/index.htmpa

bcp/workshops/filesharing/index.htmparticipa02 -0jT*(agenda and additional information o11

¹ See "File-Sharing Programs: Peer-to-Peer Networks Provide Ready Access to Child Pornography," General Accounting Office Report to the Chariman and Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Government Reform, U.S. House of Representatives, Feb. 2003, at 21–24; and "P2P Fear and Loathing: Operational Hazards of File Trading Networks," John Hale, Nicholas Davis, James Arrowood, and Gavin Manes, Center for Information Security, University of Tulsa, Sept. 2002, at 2.

² The FTC has developed on online brochure to provide consumers with information about the risks associated with P2P file-sharing software. *See* Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Alert: "File-Sharing: A Fair Share? Maybe Not," July 2003, available at: *http://www.ftc.gov/bcp/conline/pubs/ alerts/sharealrt.htm*.

³ See "File Sharing Programs and Peer-to-Peer Networks Privacy and Security Risks," Staff Report Prepared for Rep. Tom Davis and Rep. Henry A. Waxman, United States House of Representatives Committee on Government Reform, May 2003, at 9– 10; and "P2P Fear and Loathing: operational Hazards of File Trading Networks," *supra* note 1, at 2.

⁴ See "File Sharing Programs and Peer-to-Peer Networks Privacy and Security Risks," *supra* note 3, at 5–9; and "Usability and Privacy: A Study of Kazaa P2P File-Sharing," by Nathaniel S. Good (HP Laboratories) and Aaron Krekelberg (University of Minnesota), June 2002.

⁵ See "File Sharing Programs and Peer-to-Peer Networks Privacy and Security Risks," supra note 3, at 11–12; and "P2P Fear and Loathing: Operational Hazards of File Trading Networks," supra note 1, at 2. risks associated with P2P file-sharing software programs?

4. What changes to the architecture of P2P file-sharing software programs (*e.g.*, the configuration of shared folders or the addition of anti-virus software) might reduce the risks associated with P2P file-sharing software programs for users?

F. P2P File-Sharing and Music Distribution

1. What are the economic models of music distribution that use P2P filesharing technology? How is music likely to be distributed in the future using P2P file-sharing technology?

2. How is P2P file-sharing technology different from single server downloading sources such as Walmart.com?

3. To what extent do P2P file-sharing software programs currently compete with pay-per-download services such as iTunes? Would existing or future technology enable copyright holders to be compensated when users of P2P filesharing software programs transfer copyrighted files? If so, what would be the effect on competition?

4. Does P2P file-sharing technology lower the cost of music dissemination? If so, how much? What do the data show?

5. Are record labels willing to distribute music through P2P file-sharing? Why or why not?

6. Is there empricial support for P2P file-sharing technology increasing music sales through sampling or greater awareness of artists? What do the data show?

7. Are music files on P2P file-sharing networks being intentionally "polluted" or "corrupted"? What effect does the intentional pollution or corruption of files have on P2P file-sharing software as an evolving technology?

G. P2P File-Sharing and Its Impact on Copyright Holders

1. What is the impact of P2P filesharing on copyright holders?

2. Is it possible to measure downloading of copyrighted materials by users of P2P file-sharing programs? If so, how would such a study be designed?

3. Can P2P file-sharing program providers effectively protect against copying in violation of copyright laws? Can P2P file-sharing program providers protect against content degradation? What effect would such protective measures have on consumers and competition?

4. Is there technological capability for the P2P file-sharing technology industry to implement a system that either prevents the unauthorized sharing of content or only permits the sharing of content when there is compensation to the copyright holder?

5. Will technological changes allow content providers to protect their copyrighted materials from infringement by P2P file-sharing software program users? If so, what effects would these changes have on competition and consumers?

6. Would consumers and competition benefit from or be harmed by industrywide standards for the protection of copyrighted materials, *e.g.*, encryption or other digital rights management? What, if any, information should

Copyright Willer and BUD () a line of the second second

⁷ Commission Rule 4.2(d) 16 CFR 4.2(d). The comment must also be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission's General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. *See* Commission Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(C).

individuals from the public comments it receives, before placing those comments on the FTC Web site. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC's privacy policy, at http://www.ftc.gov/ ftc/privacy.htm.

By direction of the Commission, Commissioner Leibowitz not participating.

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–23574 Filed 10–20–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

BILLING CODE 0

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

Emergency Clearance

[Document Identifier: OS-0990-New]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary. In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Secretary (OS), Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of proposed collections for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of

ofher aormasof information,ftechnologyto DnOO444nTxe(b#Trivprof)tionntaltiectCollEctiden; Tw (Sequest)