

condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the strut and subsequent loss of an engine, accomplish the following:

Note 2: Paragraph (a) of this AD restates the requirements for initial and repetitive visual inspections contained in paragraphs A., and C., respectively, of AD 79-17-07, amendment 39-3583. Therefore, for operators who have previously accomplished at least the initial inspection in accordance with AD 79-17-07, paragraph (a) of this AD requires that the next scheduled inspection be performed within the intervals specified in (a)(1) or (a)(2), as applicable, after the last inspection performed in accordance with paragraph A. or C. of AD 79-17-07.

(a) For airplanes listed in Boeing Service Bulletin 747-54-2062, dated August 17, 1979: Prior to the accumulation of 5,000 total landings on the airplane, or within 500 hours time-in-service after September 4, 1979 (the effective date of AD 79-17-07, Amendment 39-3533), whichever occurs later, perform a visual inspection of the forward lower diagonal brace fittings of the inboard pylon to detect cracking, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 747-54-2062, dated August 17, 1979, or Revision 7, dated December 21, 1994; or in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. After the effective date of this AD, only Revision 7 of the service bulletin shall be used.

Note 3: Inspections performed prior to the effective date of this AD are considered in compliance with this paragraph if performed in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 747-54-2062, Revision 1, dated November 13, 1980; Revision 2, dated March 19, 1981; Revision 3, dated August 28, 1981; Revision 4, dated June 30, 1982; Revision 5, dated June 1, 1984; or Revision 6, dated October 2, 1986.

(1) If no cracking is detected, repeat the inspections at intervals not to exceed 1,000 landings until all affected fittings are replaced with steel fittings in accordance with Revision 7 of the service bulletin.

(2) If any cracking is detected, prior to further flight, accomplish either paragraph (a)(2)(i) or (a)(2)(ii) of this AD until the inspections required by paragraph (b) of this AD are accomplished.

(i) Repair or replace the cracked fitting in accordance with the service bulletin; or

(ii) Rework the cracked fitting in accordance with the service bulletin as required by paragraph (b) of this AD. Thereafter, repeat the inspections at intervals not to exceed 250 landings until the reworked fitting is replaced with a serviceable fitting, or until the inspections required by paragraph (b) of this AD are accomplished.

(b) For airplanes as listed in Boeing Service Bulletin 747-54-2062, Revision 7, dated

December 21, 1994: Perform a detailed visual inspection and a surface high frequency eddy current (HFEC) inspection to detect cracking of the inboard strut-to-diagonal brace attach fittings, in accordance with the service bulletin at the time specified in either paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD, as applicable.

(1) For airplanes on which a cracked fitting has been reworked in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 747-54-2062, dated August 17, 1979: Perform the inspections within 250 landings since the last inspection performed in accordance with paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of this AD.

(2) For airplanes other than those identified in paragraph (b)(1) of this AD: Perform the inspections at the earlier of the times specified in paragraph (b)(2)(i) or (b)(2)(ii) of this AD.

(i) Prior to the accumulation of 5,000 total landings on the airplane, or within 1,000 landings after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later; or

(ii) Within 1,000 landings since the last inspection performed in accordance with paragraph (a) of this AD.

(c) If no cracking is detected during the inspections required by paragraph (b) of this AD, repeat the inspections thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,000 landings.

(d) If more than one crack is found during any inspection required by this AD, or if any crack is detected that is beyond the limits specified in Boeing Service Bulletin 747-54-2062, Revision 7, dated December 21, 1994, prior to further flight, replace the attach fitting with a steel fitting in accordance with the service bulletin.

(e) If any transverse or longitudinal crack is found during the inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD, and that crack is within the limits specified by Boeing Service Bulletin 747-54-2062, Revision 7, dated December 21, 1994: Prior to further flight, stop drill the crack in accordance with the service bulletin, and accomplish the requirements of either paragraph (e)(1) or (e)(2) of this AD, as applicable.

(1) For any transverse crack that is found, accomplish the following:

(i) Prior to further flight, remove the affected fastener and perform an open-hole HFEC inspection to detect cracking of the fastener hole, in accordance with the service bulletin. Thereafter, repeat this inspection within 125 landings.

(ii) Repeat the inspections required by paragraph (b) of this AD within 125 landings after performing them initially.

(iii) If any crack is found during the inspections required by this paragraph and the crack is beyond the limits specified in the service bulletin, prior to further flight, replace the attach fitting with a steel fitting in accordance with the service bulletin.

(iv) Prior to the accumulation of 250 landings following the detection of the transverse cracking, unless previously accomplished, replace the attach fitting with a steel fitting in accordance with the service bulletin.

(2) For any longitudinal crack that is found, accomplish the following:

(i) Repeat the inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 250 landings.

(ii) Prior to the accumulation of 1,000 landings following detection of the longitudinal cracking, replace the attach fitting with a steel fitting in accordance with the service bulletin.

(f) Replacement of the attach fittings of the strut-to-diagonal brace with steel fittings, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 747-54-2062, Revision 7, dated December 21, 1994, constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(g) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 4: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(h) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 29, 1995.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 95-8174 Filed 4-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR PART 248

Request for Comments Concerning Guides for the Beauty and Barber Equipment and Supplies Industry

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Request for public comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission (the "Commission") requests public comments on its Guides for the Beauty and Barber Equipment and Supplies Industry. The Commission is also requesting comments about the overall costs and benefits of the Guides for the Beauty and Barber Equipment and Supplies Industry and their overall regulatory and economic impact as a part of its systematic review of all current Commission regulations and guides.

DATES: Written comments will be accepted until June 5, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: Secretary, Federal Trade Commission, Room H-159, Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20580. Comments about the Guides for the Beauty and

Barber Equipment and Supplies Industry should be identified as "16 CFR Part 248—Comment."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas J. Goglia, Attorney, Federal Trade Commission, New York Regional Office, 150 William Street, 13th Floor, New York, NY 10038, (212) 264-1229.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has determined, as part of its oversight responsibilities, to review rules and guides periodically. These reviews will seek information about the costs and benefits of the Commission's rules and guides and their regulatory and economic impact. The information obtained will assist the Commission in identifying rules and guides that warrant modification or rescission.

At this time, the Commission solicits written public comments concerning the Commission's Guides for the Beauty and Barber Equipment and Supplies Industry (the "Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides," or the "Guides").

The Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides, like the other industry guides issued by the Commission, "are administrative interpretations of laws administered by the Commission for the guidance of the public in conducting its affairs in conformity with legal requirements. They provide the basis for voluntary and simultaneous abandonment of unlawful practices by members of industry." 16 CFR 1.5. Conduct inconsistent with the Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides may result in corrective action by the Commission under applicable statutory provisions. The Commission may decide to promulgate an industry guide "when it appears to the Commission that guidance as to the legal requirements applicable to particular practices would be beneficial in the public interest and would serve to bring about more widespread and equitable observance of laws administered by the Commission." 16 CFR 1.6.

The Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides designate as unacceptable certain advertising and trade practices relating to the sale of products used by, and/or marketed through, "Industry Members" (as defined in § 248.0 of the Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides) such as barber shops, barber schools, beauty parlors, beauty salons, and beauty clinics. Such products embrace a wide range of beauty and barber preparations, as well as articles or items of equipment, furnishings, and supplies for such establishments. The Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides include, among other things, guidance about the use of trade names, symbols, and depictions; the defamation of competitors or the false

disparagement of their products; false invoicing; push money; advertising or promotional allowances, or services or facilities; commercial bribery; enticing away employees of competitors; inducing breach of contract; exclusive dealing arrangements; and price discrimination.

The Commission believes that certain sections of the Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides may not be so specific to the beauty and barber industry that they are warranted in light of general guidance available elsewhere. For example, the statement on discriminatory pricing may be in large part needlessly duplicative of sections (a) and (f) of the Robinson-Patman Act, and the statement on discriminatory promotional allowances and services may be duplicative of the so-called Fred Meyer Guides, which interpret sections (d) and (e) of the Robinson-Patman Act and section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act. See Guides for Advertising Allowances and Other Merchandising Payments and Services, 16 CFR part 240. Similarly, other sections of the Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides describe general principles derived from the antitrust laws and consumer protection laws enforced by the Commission, but in ways that may not be especially specific to the beauty and barber equipment industry.

If the Commission elects to retain the Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides after conducting this review, it intends to update certain terms to reflect policy changes that have occurred since the Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides were last revised in 1968. The phrase "capacity and tendency or effect of misleading or deceiving," in §§ 248.1, 248.5, and 248.6, may be changed to conform with the language regarding deception that is set forth in *Cliffdale Associates, Inc.*, 103 F.T.C. 110 (1984), and subsequent cases.

The Commission also may provide updated notations to other Commission guides which supplement the Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides. Specifically, a notation may be appended after § 248.0 to advise that certain "Industry Members," such as beauty schools, beauty clinics, and barber schools, may refer to the Commission's Guides for Private Vocational and Home Study Schools, 16 CFR part 254, for additional guidance. A notation may be inserted following § 248.14 of the Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides to indicate that the Commission's Guides for Advertising Allowances and Other Merchandising Payments and Services, 16 CFR part 240, furnish detailed guidance regarding advertising or promotional allowances,

or services or facilities, and should be considered as supplementing § 248.14.

In addition, the Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides currently include, in footnote 1 to § 248.1, a notation to the Commission's Guides Against Deceptive Advertising of Guarantees, 16 CFR part 239. A second notation following § 248.4 of the Guides refers to the Commission's Guides Against Deceptive Pricing, 16 CFR part 233. These notations may be modified so that the language contained therein will be consistent.

Accordingly, the Commission solicits public comments on the following questions:

1. Is there a continuing need for the Beauty/Barber Supplies Guides/
 - a. Do members of the beauty and barber equipment and supplies industry require these industry-specific guides for information about applicable legal standards, or can equally helpful guidance be obtained from more general sources such as the Fred Meyer guides, 16 CFR part 240?
 - b. What benefits have the Guides provided to purchasers of the products or services affected by the Guides?
 - c. Have the Guides imposed costs on purchasers?
 - d. Do the Guides continue to address practices which are of concern to members of the beauty and barber equipment and supplies industry?
2. What changes, if any, should be made to the Guides to increase the benefits of the Guides to purchasers?
 - a. How would these changes affect the costs the Guides impose on firms subject to their requirements?
 3. What significant burdens or costs, including costs of adherence, have the Guides imposed on firms subject to their requirements?
 - a. Have the Guides provided benefits to such firms?
 4. What changes, if any, should be made to the Guides to reduce the burdens or costs imposed on firms subject to their requirements?
 - a. How would these changes affect the benefits provided by the Guides?
 5. Do the Guides overlap or conflict with other federal, state, or local laws or regulations?
 6. Since the Guides were issued, what effects, if any, have changes in relevant technology or economic conditions had on the Guides?

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 41-58.

List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 248

Advertising, Trade practices, Deceptive pricing, Price discrimination, Promotional allowances.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-8189 Filed 4-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[OH69-1-6680b; FRL-5175-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans Ohio; Enhanced Motor Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The USEPA is taking action to approve, through a direct final procedure, the State implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Ohio for the purpose of controlling the motor vehicle emissions of hydrocarbons. Emissions will be controlled by implementing an enhanced inspection and maintenance (I/M) program in areas classified as moderate nonattainment. The State currently operates I/M programs in the Cleveland and Cincinnati areas to achieve reductions in emissions of carbon monoxide and volatile organic compounds. The program proposed here calls for enhanced I/M in the metropolitan areas of Cleveland-Akron-Lorain, Cincinnati, and Dayton-Springfield which are moderate nonattainment areas for ozone. Moderate nonattainment areas are required to implement a basic I/M program. These areas have opted up to enhanced I/M because of the greater cost-effective emission reduction available compared to basic programs. The USEPA is approving the State's I/M SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the USEPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no critical or adverse comments.

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, USEPA is approving the State's SIP revision request as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views the approval of the inspection and maintenance program as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse or critical comments are received in response to the direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated

in regards to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The USEPA will institute a second comment period on this action only if warranted by revisions to the rulemaking based on comments received. Any parties interested in commenting on this notice should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 4, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: William L. MacDowell, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE-17J), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois 60604

Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE-17J), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Paskevich, at the above address or call (312) 886-6084.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671(q).

Dated: March 10, 1995.

Valdas V. Adamkus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-8222 Filed 4-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[IL116-1-6792b; FRL-5182-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Illinois

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) is approving a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision request to redesignate two sulfur dioxide (SO₂) nonattainment areas in the State of Illinois to attainment. The USEPA is also approving their accompanying maintenance plans as SIP revisions. The redesignation requests and maintenance plans were submitted by the Illinois Environmental Protection

Agency (IEPA) for the following SO₂ nonattainment areas: Peoria County (Hollis and Peoria Townships) and Tazewell County (Groveland Township). The State has met the requirements for redesignation contained in the Clean Air Act (the Act), as amended in 1990. The redesignation requests are based on ambient monitoring data that show no violations of the SO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the USEPA is approving the State's redesignation requests and the supporting maintenance plans as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this notice. Any parties interested in commenting on this notice should do so at this time. Adverse comments received concerning a specific geographic area, Peoria or Tazewell Counties, will only affect this final rule as it pertains to that area and only the portion of this final rule concerning the area receiving adverse comments will be withdrawn.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before May 4, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulatory Development Section, Regulatory Development Branch (AR18-J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulatory Development Section, Regulatory Development Branch (AR18-J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fayette Bright, Environmental Protection Specialist, Regulatory Development Section, Regulatory Development Branch (AR18-J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6069.