

regarding weight loss, hunger reduction, calorie absorption, cholesterol reduction, effects on cellulite or body measurements, or any other health benefits of any product or program they advertise or sell, unless the respondents possess competent and reliable scientific evidence to substantiate the claims. Also, the consent order prohibits the respondents from misrepresenting test results, from representing that any advertisement is something other than a paid advertisement, and from representing that an endorsement is typical of the experience of consumers who use the product, unless the claim is substantiated. In addition, the consent order requires the respondents to pay \$100,000 to the Commission.

DATES: Complaint issued November 9, 1993. Order issued November 7, 1995.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joel Winston or Richard Cleland, FTC/S-4002, Washington, DC 20580. (202) 326-3153 or 326-3088.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Tuesday, May 23, 1995, there was published in the Federal Register, 60 FR 27305, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of National Dietary Research, Inc., et al., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

A comment was filed and considered by the Commission. The Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 52)

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-9422 Filed 4-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Dkt. C-3615]

Quantum Electronics Corporation, et al.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices and

¹ Copies of the Complaint and the Decision and Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580.

unfair methods of competition, this consent order prohibits, among other things, the Rhode Island-based company and its principal officers from making unsubstantiated claims about the ability of any air cleaning product to eliminate, remove, clear or clean any indoor air pollutant—or any quantity of indoor air pollutants—from a user's environment.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued September 22, 1995.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey Klurfeld, Kerry O'Brien, and Linda Badger, San Francisco Regional Office, Federal Trade Commission, 901 Market Street, Suite 570, San Francisco, CA 94103. (415) 744-7920.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Wednesday, July 5, 1995, there was published in the Federal Register, 60 FR 35029, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of Quantum Electronics Corporation, et al., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

No comments having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45)

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-9423 Filed 4-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Dkt. 9243]

R.R. Donnelley & Sons Co., et al.; Prohibited Trade Practices and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Final order.

SUMMARY: This final order dismisses charges against R.R. Donnelley & Sons Co. in connection with Donnelley's 1990 acquisition of Meredith/Burda Company L.P., on the grounds that the product market for analyzing the effects of the acquisition is not as narrow as alleged and that anticompetitive effects are unlikely. This action reverses the initial decision of the Commission's Administrative Law Judge and nullifies

¹ Copies of the Complaint and the Decision and Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20580.

his order that Donnelley divest various printing plants.

DATES: Complaint issued October 11, 1990. Final order issued July 21, 1995.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Doyle, FTC/S-2105, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326-2819.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 7, 38 Stat. 731, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 18)

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-9425 Filed 4-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Dkt. C-3632]

Reuters America Inc.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent Order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order prohibits, among other things, a New York-based distributor of fast-turnaround verbatim news transcripts from agreeing to or attempting to agree to allocate customers or divide markets with any provider of news transcripts.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued December 18, 1995.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Antalics, FTC/S-2627, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326-2821.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Thursday, October 5, 1995, there was published in the Federal Register, 60 FR 52194, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of Reuters America Inc., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

No comments having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth

¹ Copies of the Complaint, Initial Decision, Opinion of the Commission, Final Order, and Commissioner Azcuenaga's statement are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

¹ Copies of the Complaint and the Decision and Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45)

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-9424 Filed 4-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Dkt. C-3626]

Silicon Graphics, Inc.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent Order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order, among other things, permits the California-based corporation to acquire two entertainment graphics software firms, and requires the respondent to take certain steps, such as requiring that the respondent enter into a Commission-approved porting agreement with a Commission-approved porting partner in order to ensure that other companies that develop and sell entertainment graphics software and hardware can compete.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued November 14, 1995.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Howard Morse, FTC/S-3627, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326-6320.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Wednesday, July 5, 1995, there was published in the Federal Register, 60 FR 35032, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of Silicon Graphics, Inc., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

Comments were filed and considered by the Commission. The Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist in disposition of this proceeding.

¹ Copies of the Complaint, the Decision and Order, and statements by Commissioners Azcuenaga and Starek are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 7, 38 Stat. 731, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 18)

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-9426 Filed 4-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Dkt. C-3623]

Summit Communications Group, Inc., et al.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent Order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order prohibits, among other things, Summit and seven Wometco Cable TV companies from agreeing, attempting to agree or carrying out an agreement with any cable television provider to allocate or divide markets, customers, contracts or territories for cable television service in the incorporated and unincorporated areas of the Georgia counties of Cobb, Bartow, Dekalb, Walton, Gwinnett, Fulton, Douglas, Fayette, Coweta, Clayton, Henry, Rockdale, Newton and Cherokee. In addition, the consent order prohibits agreements to refrain from overbuilding any portion of any cable television system in these counties.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued October 20, 1995.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jill Frumin, FTC/S-2105, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326-2758.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Wednesday, August 2, 1995, there was published in the Federal Register, 60 FR 39399, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of Summit Communications Group, Inc., et al., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

No comments having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

¹ Copies of the Complaint, the Decision and Order, and statements by the Commission and Commissioner Azcuenaga are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45)

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-9427 Filed 4-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Dkt. C-3628]

Third Option Laboratories, Inc., et al., Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order requires, among other things, an Alabama company and its officers to pay \$480,000 to be used either for refunds to consumers or as disgorgement to the U.S. Treasury, and to send a notice to consumers and distributors of the beverage, Jogging in a Jug, advising them of the consent order which requires the respondents to possess competent and reliable scientific evidence to substantiate any representation they make about the performance, safety, benefits, or efficacy of any food, dietary supplement, or drug they market in the future. In addition, the consent order prohibits the deceptive use of testimonials or endorsements and requires the respondents to clearly and prominently include a disclosure statement in future advertisements.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued November 29, 1995.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Toby Levin or Loren Thompson, FTC/S-4002, Washington, D.C. 20580, (202) 326-3156 or 326-2049.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Thursday, May 11, 1995, there was published in the Federal Register, 60 FR 25230, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of Third Option Laboratories, Inc., et al., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

Comments were filed and considered by the Commission. The Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by

¹ Copies of the Complaint, the Decision and Order, and Commissioner Azcuenaga's statement are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.