

802.50 (a)
802.51 (b)
802.30

CONTINUUM

Date May 22, 2000

User number [redacted] May 22 3 24
Client/matter number [redacted]

FAX COVER LETTER

To B. Michael Verne Fax number (202) 326-2624
Company Federal Trade Commission - Premerger Office Phone number (202) 326-3167

From [redacted]

Re Application of "Continuum Theory"

Note

As per our discussion, I am enclosing a step-by-step chart of the proposed transaction. We are counsel to "Foreign Buyer"

...exempted under Rule 802.41(b) but for the fact that it would result in control of Corp B and Corp D. However, in

Thus, after Step 5, Foreign Buyer will have acquired only Corp A (a foreign issuer which is a shell holding company) and Corp C, a foreign issuer which we have been told has no assets in the U.S. and no sales within or to the U.S. There will be a "master" contract assuring that all 5 steps occur, in the order outlined, at the "closing".

Assuming you concur in the use of the "continuum theory", one additional, technical question: in the HSR filing as to Step 4, should the UPE of the acquired person be Corp A (which today is its own UPE) or should it be Foreign Buyer (on the theory that it will be the technical "parent" at the time of Step 4)?


Thanks for your help.

STEP 1 IS NON-REPORTABLE UNDER THE CONTINUUM THEORY. STEP 4 SHOULD BE REPORTED WITH CORP B AS ITS OWN UPE.


If transmission is incomplete, call (212) 704-8350

Confidentiality Note. This cover letter and the document(s) accompanying this transmission contain information from the law firm of [redacted] which are confidential and/or legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named on this cover letter. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this faxed information is strictly prohibited and that the document(s) should be returned to this firm immediately. In this regard, if you have received this fax in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the original document(s).


PRESENT



STEP 1:
 Foreign Buyer buys > 50% of voting stock of CORP A.
 HSR ANALYSIS: Potentially reportable absent use of "Continuum Theory"



STEP 2:
 Foreign Buyer buys 99.7% of voting stock of CORP D from CORP B.
 HSR ANALYSIS: Exempt under Rule 802.51(b). Also exempt under Rule 802.3D.




STEP 3:

U.S. Buyer buys the non-manufacturing assets of CORP C for \$2MM.

HSR ANALYSIS:

Potentially exempt under Rule 802.60(a); if not, then would be included as part of the HSR filing under Step 4.




STEP 4:

U.S. Buyer buys 100% of the voting stock of CORP B for > \$30MM.

HSR ANALYSIS:

Reportable acquisition, with no available exemption.




STEP 5:

U.S. Buyer buys 13.5% of the voting stock of CORP D for \$4MM.

HSR ANALYSIS:

Exempt under Rule 802.30.



CONTINUUM

From: [REDACTED]
To: FTC.SERIOUS("mverne@ftc.gov")
Date: Wed, May 24, 2000 3:30 PM
Subject: HSR Reportability Question

Dear Mike:

We have a quick question regarding the applicability of a "continuum" theory to limit the number of HSR filings in a series of transactions that will be consummated at a single closing. For purposes of this question, please assume all of the persons satisfy the size-of-person test and all of the proposed transactions satisfy the size-of-transaction test.

A presently holds a convertible note given to it by B. A and C want to enter into a transaction in which A will acquire C's voting securities. As part of the consideration, C will acquire A's interest (the note) in B. For business reasons, however, A must convert B's note into voting securities immediately prior to the transfer to C. The conversion would be a reportable event between A and B. A and C must make an HSR filing for A's acquisition of C's voting securities, and B and C must also make an HSR filing for C's acquisition from A of B's voting securities.

Please let us know whether A and B could rely on a "continuum" theory to not make an HSR filing for A's conversion of B's note, given that the transactions will occur at the same time and that C and B will make a filing for C's acquisition of B's voting securities.

We thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at the number below, my supervisor, [REDACTED] or e-mail either of us.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

IF ALL OF THE EVENTS ARE
OCCURRING AT THE SAME TIME,
AND THE AGREEMENTS MAKE
THE EVENTS CONTINGENT ON
ONE ANOTHER, THEN A'S
CONVERSION OF THE NOTE INTO
B VOTING STOCK CAN BE

tsupik@winston.com

cc:

[REDACTED]

Michael Verne
5/25/00