802.63

MB and TH Concur

May 31, 2000

FACKERGER HOTTFICATION OFFICE

Namey Ovuka, Ecquire Federal Trade Commission Washington, D.C.

dated May 15, 2000 and May 22, 2000

Via facsimile to: (202) 320

Dear Nancy:

Re: Letters from

Further to the telephone conversation of May 24, 2000, among you.

this is to confirm our understanding that the Federal Trade Commission has concentred that no pre-merger notification report is required under the Hart-Scou-Rodino Act in connection with the sale from Entity A to a trust (the "Trust") of the limited liability by the Partnership to Entity B of all of its assets pursuant to a "synthetic lease," as described in the May 15 letter referenced above, and as further described in our telephone conversation. Capitalized terms used in this letter without definition, are used as defined in the May 15 letter.

Leases will have the option to purchase either the member interests or the underlying assets by

casualty loss or condemnation of the Partnership assets, environmental occurrences and events of

REAM ROS

Nancy Ovuka, Estage May 31, 2000 Page 2

default under the lease. In the event of a casualty loss or condemnation prior to the completion of

lease, and the Lessee would be required to exercise the purchase option and pay 100% of the Option Price.

Our understanding is that the acquisition by the Trust of the member interests is not a reportable transaction because the acquisition is in connection with a lease financing that is exempt from the reporting requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act pursuant to 16 CFR §802.63. We further understand that prior to the exercise of the option under the synthetic lease, the rights and obligations of the Lease under the synthetic lease and the related documents are not an "acquisition" of the leased assets for purposes of the pre-merger notification requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act because the Trust retains legal and heneficial ownership of the assets that are the subject of the lease. In determining that the Trust retains beneficial ownership of the Partnership assets that we have according to the feat that the lease does not

to be given as to whether a pre-merger notification filing would be required by the Lessee prior to the transfer of ownership of the Partnership assets to the Lessee.

Again, we sincerely appreciate your time and attention to this matter. If we have in any way misunderstood your advice, please let us know. Should you need anything further from us in this regard, please do not hesitate to contact

