

rremerger Notification Office Bureau of Competition Federal Trade Commission Room 303 Washington, D.C. 20580

Res

Dear Ms. Reban:

This letter will confirm certain amendments that the parties have

("Report Form") filed by with the Federal Trade Commission and the Antitrust

period with respect to this transaction prior to the end of that month.

amendments to the transaction would, in effect, decrease the "holdings" of the from those initially described in the Report Form. We are asking for your guidance as to (1) whether the amended transaction is exempt from the premerger notification regulations and (2) if not exempt, the actions that we need to take in order to amend the previously filed Report Form.

As you may recall, the Report Form indicated that and corporation, would form a new Delaware for-profit stock corporation.

Delaware for-profit stock corporation.

Each contribute \$200,000 as capital to the return for fifty (50) percent of the voting common stock of the parties

unchanged. We concluded that the formation of would not be

Linda Heban, Esquire March 10, 1987 Page 2

subject to the premerger notification requirements because the parties did not, and do not, contemplate that the assets of would ever reach the minimum dollar amounts specified in 16 CFR 5801.40(b).

that would amend and restate their respective articles of incorporation, if necessary, and bylaws to provide that the directors of would become voting "Members" of The Members, in turn, would have had the right to elect the entire Boards of Directors of

As we explained in our letter of December 22, 1986, which accompanyied the Report Form, we believed that this part of the original transaction was also exempt from the premerger notification regulations. Although state law expressly permitted the plans to have voting members, neither could issue securities under their respective state

of membership rights in the and the acquisition of voting securities in a for-profit stock corporation.

This part of the transaction has been changed. Under the amended proposal, each will elect four (4) of its own directors to the Board of Directors of In

directors will be elected by each will serve as the ninth director.

2. ill have twenty-four (24) directors. Four (4) of these directors will be the directors elected to the

3. Similarly, will have nineteen (19) directors. Four (4) of these directors will be the directors elected to the

In other words, there will be eight (8) interlocking directors between

Wa As not believe that the proposed solification reculations

recognizes in its bylaws the other plan's right to appoint four (4) directors to its Board, who will also serve on the Board. We do not believe that such a right is equivalent to the acquisition of voting securities within the meaning of the premerger notification regulations.

We have that you agree. If you do not, however, we home that you

or these changes by your agency and the Department or Justice. The Board of Directors of both

necessary bytaws changes at a board meeting scheduled for match 14, 1987.

Pare sectiones in this matter, will be seen much amountained

Best regards,

