

April 11, 1988

Wayne Kaplan, Esq.
Senior Attorney
Bureau of Competition

Pila mayomana i ir i

wasnington, D. C. 20580

which restricts release under the Freedom of Information Adt Report

ربيج

Re: Subsection 7A(c)(4) of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (the "Act")

Dear Wayne:

Pursuant to our conversation on March 8, 1988, I am seeking your written confirmation of the position of the Federal Trade Commission (the "FTC") with respect to the exemption from the requirements of the Act provided by subsection 7A(c)(4) of the Act (15 U.S.C. §18a(c)(4)) for

distinguishes "municipal corporations" from political subsidivions of the concerned that the exemption from the requirements of the Act afforded by subsection 7A(c)(4) of the Act might not be available to a You verbally represented that a transaction involving can use the subsection 7A(c)(4) exemption since the FTC views entities similar to as political subdivisions of a state, notwithstanding such entities' label under state law. Your decision was based upon a brief description of the following facts.

is operating under a municipal charter adopted

contrast, counties are defined as "legal subdivisions of the State". Regardless of this distinction, is empowered and required by law to levy and collect property taxes, sales taxes and other forms of taxes to support its public governmental and proprietary functions and is deemed to be a "political subdivision of the state" under the provisions of the

Council as permitted by its Charter and by applicable

The context in which my question about the status arises concerns

The as well as the and are owned and the staff of

of an undivided 16% interest in the

is owned directly and not by a separate corporation or other entity owned or controlled by

level, but the issued a full

commercial operation pursuant to such license has participated in the construction of pursuant to a joint ownership Participation Agreement with

amended. Lurrently owns an undivided 30.8% interest in and is the Project Manager responsible for planning,

5447P

Wayne Kaplan, Esq. April 11, 1988 Page 3

conduct in connection with the Participation respect to

satisfaction of various conditions to closing such as, for example, approval of the and approval of the Ιt is anticipated that the conditions to closing of the settlement pursuant to the Settlement Agreement will be satisfied prior to September 1, 1988, and that the closing will occur promptly after such conditions are satisfied.

Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, at the closing ll convey to ntire 16% interest d related lands and properties and will release its claims agains Bitiastion closing the literature of the later. In the remaining one half <u>effective</u> January 1, 1990) kistind ed and operated by generating capacity to be conveyed b rated at 400 megawatts, equal to the rated generating k interest in capacity of Additionally, at the closing 111 make cash payments to ggregating \$135 million. (The exact amount of such payments approxima is dependent upon the date of closing and the amount of investments is after September 1, 1987; and the amount thereof is expected to increase above such estimated sum if the closing is deferred beyond June 1, 1988.) If the Settlement Agreement with the closing and the cash

Pursuant to these facts, I would like your written

t the closing, and the cash

t such closing, will be conveyed

SUDSECTION /A(C)(4) Of the Act.

and paid to and owned directly i

payments to be made by

to be conveyed b

Thank you for your helpful assistance and advice.

