

During a telephone conversation earlier today, I described a proposed partnership liquidation, and asked whether the liquidation would be reportable under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act (the "Act"). You stated that it was your view that the liquidation would not be reportable.

T am emilian blic labor to confirm on malarchandian

Partnership X has five partners. The partners include

person for the purposes of the Act. Pl and Cl each have 20% interests in the profits and losses of Partnership X; C2, T1, T2 and T3 each have 15% interests in the profits and losses of Partnership X.

Partnership X has assets with a book value and fair market value of approximately \$20 million. The assets consist of voting securities of two corporations. Specifically, X has



Wayne Kaplan, Esq. May 19, 1988 Page 2

approximately 1% of the voting securities of Corporation wi

3 4 1

of the partners, have assets with a hook value in excess of

Partnership X proposes to dissolve and distribute its assets to its partners in accordance with their interests.

Assa result of this transaction Pl and Cl would each receive

of approximately \$4 million. More precisely, they would each receive 0.2% of the stock of M1 with a fair market value of approximately \$4 million, and 20% of the stock of M2 with a negligible fair market value. C2, T1, T2 and T3 would each receive voting securities in M1 and M2 with a total fair market value of approximately \$3 million. More precisely, they would each receive 0.15% of the stock of M1 with a fair market value of approximately \$3 million, and 15% of the stock of M2 with a negligble fair market value. None of the partners would control any stock of M1 before the liquidation.

B. Analysis

For the purposes of the Act, each of the partners would be viewed as an acquiring person, and Partnership X would be viewed as an acquired person. None of the distributions by Partnership X to the partners would be reportable, however. Although the size-of-person test would be satisfied with respect to each distribution, the size-of-transaction test would not be satisfied. Each of the partners would be receiving assets of Partnership X — the voting securities — with a fair market value less than \$15 million. In any event, these transactions would not be reportable by virtue of 16 C.F.R. 801.21(b).

Under the Act, since each of the partners is acquiring voting securities of Ml and M2, Ml and M2 would also be viewed as acquired persons. The acquisition by the partners of voting apprintion in M2 and M2 (Muld act be reportable on this basis

would be satisfied, the size-of-transaction test would not be satisfied. Each of the partners would be acquiring less than 15% of the voting securities of MI with a fair market value less

Wayne Kaplan, Esq. May 19, 1988 Page 3

than \$15 million. With respect to M2, the size-of-person test would not be satisfed. In addition, the size-of-transaction test would not be satisfied. Although each of the partners would be receiving at least 15% of the voting securities of M2, they would not be acquiring voting securities with a value of \$15 million or more. See 16 C.F.R. 802.20.

The analysis would not change if Partnership P were liquidated at a time when it held \$175 million in cash in addition to the voting securites described above. Under 16 C.F.R. 801.21(a), cash distributed to the partners would not be taken into account in determining whether the size-of-transaction test had been satisfied.

The analysis would change if any of the partners were receiving voting securities with a total value in excess of \$15 million. Thus, for example, if Partnership P held voting securities of Ml with a book value and fair market value of \$120 million, so that Pl, Cl, C2, Tl, T2 and T3 would be receiving moting securities worth \$24 million. \$24 million. \$18 million. \$18 million.

able.

The parties plan to consummate the liquidation transaction very soon. Thus, I would appreciate it if you would let me know whether you spot any errors in this analysis before May 26, 1988. You may call me a consummate the liquidation transactions or comments. If I do not hear from you before May 26, I

Very truly yours,

EK Wayne Kaplan 5-20-Ff