

Wayne Kaplan, Esq.
Premerger Notification Office
Bureau of Competition
Room 303
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C. 20580



Dear Mr. Kaplan:

· Purther to our telephone conversation of this

to you and your advise with respect to those facts.

On August 5, 1987

a New York limited partnership , filed
a Notification and Report Form (the with
the Federal Trade Commission and the Antitrust Division
of the Department of Justice. The elated
to a transaction whereby
Delaware corporation ("Subsidiary") and a wholly

noite and interported a Relaware corporation

of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (the "Act"), the Merger was consummated. As a result of the Merger when of Operating Company. At the time of the Merger and thereafter, in various transactions sold voting securities of management, resulting in owning less than 50% of the outstanding voting securities of

Currently is about to consummate another transaction with and other inntitutional favore-

of this transaction will own voting securities of valued at more than \$15 million (valuing all shares previously purchased by the at \$8 per share).

Substantively, initial filing was for an investment in through Parent. Following the Merger became a subsidiary of was and still is purely a holding company, and

investment in the property of the property of the premerger are different issuers. Accordingly, as you and I discussed this morning, while Section 802.21 of the Premerger Notification Rules applies by its terms to acquisitions of voting securities of the same "issuer", we believe the identity of interests as between the issuer of voting securities here and the issuer with respect to which filed the should permit reliance on the exemption of Section 802.21 and not require filing by of a new Notification and Report Form and compliance with the waiting period requirements of the Act. We think this conclusion is consistent with the meaning and intent from a substantive standpoint of the first and point of the Rules. If not the words.

the foregoing analysis seemed to suggest that Section 802.21 in substance covered the current transaction. We are writing this letter at your request to seek confirmation of that advice.

I look forward to your response. Please hand heritate to sail the undersigned you have any further questions.

Very truly yours,

VIA PEDERAL EXPRESS

OK Remeled Streetly to.

Situations like this one in
which the different issuers
are identical in actual.
Operation

Wayne Kapla

7/10/88