

July 11, 1988

Victor L. Cohen, Esquission

Pederal Trade Commission

Premerger Notification Office, Reom 303

6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20580 under the Washington, D.C. 19600

As we recently discussed on the telephone, I am requesting the Premerger Office's informal opinion on the reportability of the following transaction.

Our client , an employee benefit plan, intends to acquire the in Washington, D.C. for approximately \$35 million from <u>a partnership</u> controlled by the The is acquiring the hotel for investment burboses in the ordinary source of

eres for its investment portrollo. The 1s requiated and makes investments solely for the purpose of preserving pension assets and funding pension obligations.

managing its investment portfolio.

As we discussed, the relevant Hart-Scott materials include Section 7A(c)(1) of the Act, exempting "realty" acquisitions "in the ordinary course of business, and Proposed Rule \$ 802.2(b), 50 Ped. Reg. 38742, 38758 (1985), which I understand embodies the purrant informal interpretations mad by the succe

enember assess moderatorous of ottice mulicilids of residential properties" because, as explained in the Statement of Basis and Purpose:

Victor L. Cohen, Esq. July 11, 1988 Page Two

It is unlikely that acquisition of property named in proposed \$ 802.2 would have any significant potential to increase market power. The low risk of anticompetitive transactions is a result of the widely dispersed holding of these resources as well as the small size of tunical transactions relative to the total amount of resources.

50 Fed. Reg. at 38756. It is submitted that similar policy considerations should apply here to the acquisition of a hotel by the and that no Bart-Scott filing should be required.

Sincerely,



