

TIFIC TION

REPARTMENT OF THE SERVICE OF THE SERVIC

Premerger Notification Office Room 308 Federal Trade Commission Six Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20580

Dear Mr. Cohen:

This letter relates to a proposed transaction (agreed upon by both of the parties) which was discussed with you earlier today, in which call both for the company buyer, a client of the forthe company buyer, a client of the matter concerns the seller participated. The matter concerns

"(11) acquisitions, solely for the purpose of investment, by any bank, banking association, trust company, investment company, or insurance company, of (A) voting securities pursuant to a plan of reorganization or dissolution; or (B) assets in the ordinary course of its business;"

The transaction under agreement calls for the sale of a approximation to a partnership general of institutional

by a company ultimate parent entity (hereafter the company") will, through this partnership vehicle,

account (within the company) which the company manages for pension funds.

The company will acquire the controlling interest in the assets for investment. This is clear because its controlling partnership interest will be held in an account for the benefit of its or in a pooled—account which it manages for pension funds. Mercover, it is contemplated that the management of the acquired shopping center would be the responsibility of the other partner in the Acquiring Partnership which is part of the company ultimate parent entity, and accordingly, the ultimate parent will be passive.

The acquisition will be in the ordinary course of the company's business. This is clear because the most important parts of company's ordinary course of business are

investments and from the proceeds of such investments. Clearly, each of these major parts of the company's business is important and necessary to its proper operation in the ordinary course of business.

It had been stated (in an earlier conversation between Mr. Sharpe of the FTC Pre-Merger Staff and relating to another transaction in which the contemplated buyer in this present matter was not involved) that the "real estate" exemption under Clause (c)1 of the Act (and the related exemptive rule) was not applicable in general to the sale of a shopping center--because the sale of a revenue producing

particular exemptive clause. We do not take issue with the Staff's position with respect to Clause (c)1, which is not here involved.

However, I believe that exemptive Clause (c) (11) (B) of the Act should be available in the case at hand. I believe it is clear that, although the concept of "ordinary course of business" in the real estate exemption in Clause (c)1 may be

the ordinary course of business of the particular bank, trust company, investment company or insurance company that is

<u>acquisitions</u> (not acquisitions and transfers); it only encompasses acquisitions of assets for investment (not all acquisitions); and it refers to acquisitions by any of the named types of companies in the "ordinary course of <u>its</u> business". The use of the word "its" makes it clear beyond

Thus, the ordinary course of business concept as developed under Clause (c)1 is inapplicable to Clause (c)(11)(B).

Moreover, since Clause (c)(11)(B) is limited to acquisitions for investment, and since a

company for investment must necessarily include the acquisition of a shopping center (which is a revenue

enumerated in Clause (c)(11)(B) -- insurance companies, banks,

and the regulations of the of such states significantly control and regulate the types of investments companies can make. Accordingly, one can readily see why this limited group of institutions should, as a policy matter, be entitled to the benefits of the Clause (c)(11)(B) exemption, since the anticompetitive potential of such asset acquisitions for inventment in the same of the same of

Both I and inside counsel for my client, inside counsel for the real estate adviser to the seller, would very much appreciate your further review of this matter and the conclusion set forth above to the effect that exemptive clause (c)(11)(B) would be available to the particular transaction described above.

Accordingly, I would very much appreciate a call back

be abelul to your constantation, prease call me.

I am sending this letter both by fax and hand delivery on Monday morning.

