Answered 03/15/89: Non-Reportable
Under 5802.20



FEDERAL EXPRESS
Mr. Jeffrey Kaplan
Staff Attorney
Premerger Notification Office
Bureau of Competition
Room 303
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C. 20580

March 9, 1989

The state of the

Re: Proposed Transaction between and

Dear Mr. Kaplan:

Please be advised that we represent

, a not-for-profit parent holding company
incorporated in 1983 in

The
structure of the

includes, three subsidiaries the major and the structure of the subsidiaries the major and the structure of the structure of the subsidiaries the major and the structure of the structure of the subsidiaries the major and the structure of the subsidiaries the structure of the structure of

campus and in several community outreach clinics.

In with the incorporation of the a multi-institutional non-profit health system, comprised of ten primary subordinate corporations, became one of those primary subordinate corporations. The primary subordinate corporations are located in six east coast states. The does not have control over relating to the decision to enter

was incorporated in the and is a not-for-profit parent holding company with four subsidiaries. The major subsidiary is which has been serving the community since its founding in Recent years

diversification of services at primarily in behavorial health through a regional mental health/mental retardation contract. Through this non-profit corporation, also operates a skilled nursing facility and a substance abuse treatment center.

possible arrivation between the two systems.

particularly, as with all of the been failing financially for some time, and is not expected to be

member in will become the sole corporate After approximately one used between the sole corporate will become the sole corporate will become the sole corporate will then become the sole corporate will then

This is not an "acquisition" or "merger" as those terms are typically used under the Clayton or Sherman Act. There will be no financial consideration transferred, title to the real estate will remain in the present corporations, there will be no lease or leaseback agreement to be executed by the parties, and there will not be a sale of assets from one system to apply the parties.

will provide added strength to particularly

The efficiencies to be gained will

be the financial strength and managerial expertise of

in aiding transition to become

understand that the law is in a state of flux at the present time in light of the Roanoke and Rockford cases. We also have a

not truly an acquisition, merger, or other consolidation as that

Mr. Jeffrey Kaplan March 9, 1989 Page 3

term is usually used either in the for-profit or not-for-profit fields. We would appropriate any guidance that the Federal Trade Commission can give us on those points.

In the great that the Wederal Trade Commission and

this transaction, or the subsequent transaction of the dissolution of the considered to be an acquisition,

threshold of having \$100,000,000 in assets. If

transaction fits within the Minimum Dollar Exemption found at 16 C.F.R. Section 802.20, since the total asset value of and is between ten and eleven million dollars. Therefore, as the acquiring person

It is our understanding that although you do not issue written interpretations as you did in the past, you will be able to give informal advice over the telephone concerning the Federal Trade Commission's position on this proposed transaction. We

the efficiencies that can he cained by the transaction and the

I will look forward to hearing from you as soon as possible as the parties are anxious to proceed in the appropriate

Very truly yours,