

Jeffrey Kaplan, Esq. Premerger Notification Office
Federal Trade Commission
Room 303
Washington, D.C. 20580

TO SE AN 188

Dear Mr. Kaplan:

I would be grateful if you or one of your colleagues could respond to this inquiry regarding the reportability of a proposed transaction. For your reference enclosed herewith is a photocopy of the premerger notification filing made by my client,

on October 24, 1986 with respect to the acquisition of voting securities of , which was the acquired person for purposes of such filing. This filing is, of course, confidential pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 18a(h), and we do not intend by this communication to waive the protection afforded by the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act against public disclosure.

801.1(c)(8) of the Hart-Scott regulations, he held such stock indirectly by reason of his Hart-Scott "control" over several layers of entities. More specifically, had contractual control, pursuant to Scotion 301.1(b)(2), over

mately 60% of the voting securities of held approxiheld 100% of the voting

directly held a minority of the voting securities of the majority interest was held by a trust ("Trust M"), pursuant to Section 801.1(c)(3) of the regulations. However, the terms of the trust agreement gave power to vote any shares constituting the corpus of Trust exercised "control" over the by reason of Section

801.1(b) (2).

and held 42.3% of the voting securities of (I refer to this corporate chain as the "First Chain.")
That was true in 1986, and in essence it remains true today.

The 1986 filing was occasioned by certain transactions, more fully described in response to Item 2(a) therein, pursuant to which proposed to acquire in-

"Second Chain") would result partly from the provisions of

mirror the top of the First Chain, as described in footnote 1 above. All of this is spelled out in the 1986 filing.

Following the expiration of the waiting period in connection with the 1986 filing, the transactions described therein were effected. At the present time continues to hold indirectly, as described above, 74% of the outstanding voting securities of the remaining 26% of such voting securities are publicly held.

In addition, continues to control numerous other companies through the First Chain. Among them is ; by reason of Section 801.1(c)(8), holds 61% of the voting securities of ... and the remaining 39% are publicly held.

It is now proposed to merge with so that a new company (herein "Newco") will be substituted as a notding company for the operating companies in both companies

chain, which mirrors Trust M at the top of the First Chain, as Trust R. The voting securities constituting the corpus of Trust R are held by Trust R, pursuant to Section 801.1(c)(3). However, has the power to vote such shares, and accordingly he is deemed to "noid" such shares.

metersely

I do not believe the precise steps by which this merger (footnote continued)

action, will hold indirectly 68% of the voting

shareholders of and 18% will be held by the former public shareholders of

These transactions are depicted in the three "before and after" charts enclosed with this letter. These

The proposed transaction may be viewed as involving the acquisition of voting securities of Newco by Trusts M and R respectively, pursuant to Section 801.1(c)(3) and (c)(8). Newco, however, will be a "foreign issuer" within Section 801.1(e)(2)(ii), and both Trust M and Trust R are "foreign nercoss" within Section 801.1(c)(1)(ii)

have the power to designate 50% or more of the directors of Newco. Accordingly, the "acquisitions" of voting securities of Newco by Trusts M and R are exempt pursuant to Section 802 51(b)

This leaves the question of whether has a reporting obligation in connection with the proposed tranactions.

(footnote continued from previous page)
will be accomplished are material to your analysis, and

Most of the public shareholders of and who will become public shareholders of Newco,

<u>campiti</u>as of Novas their samisitiass are avent under

reporting. My client believes that no "United States person" will be acquiring voting securities of Newco valued in excess of \$15,000,000. We will, however, keep this point in mind, as well as the "investment only" exemption, as we proceed.

In the proposed transaction, and will each be acquiring and acquired entities.

Both and are controlled by by reason of holdings of voting securities. Accordingly I

should be exempt pursuant to Section (c)(3) of the Hart-

802.30, which indicates that an entity possessing contractual "control" but holding no voting securities is

believe the facts presented in this letter are not analagous

801.1(c)(8).

Moreover, unlike the situation addressed in

entity, both before and after the proposed transaction.

Please note also that in his 1986 filing and gave full and complete information on the First Chain, including SIC breakdowns for revenues derived from U.S. operations by subsidiaries of Similarly, as the

I look forward to being in touch with you to discuss this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosure