



November 21, 1990

John M. Sipple, Esq.
Premerger Notification Office - Room 303
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C 20580

Dear Mr. Sipple:

Pursuant to previous conversations with you and Mr.
Richard Smith of the Premerger Notification Office of the
Federal Trade Commission, we request an interpretation
pursuant to Section 803.30 of the Rules, Regulations,

as to our client's obligations under such Act. It is our view that a termination of a certain Lease Agreement (the

title to the property subject to the Lease back to the Lessees, does not obligate the parties to file a premerger notification under the Act.

Description of the Transaction:

 \mathbf{L}_{\cdot}

The Lessor is a nominally capitalized special purpose corporation organized under The sole shareholder of the Lessor is a The Lessor

inapperated enecifically to nauticinate in the financina

The business of the Lessor is limited by the terms of a certain Credit Agreement (hereinafter defined) to the acquisition of certain improved real property (collectively, the "Property" or individually, a "Parcel of Property") and

the Lease of such Property and Equipment to the Lessees. The Lessor conducts no other business and owns no assets other than the Property and Equipment.

The vas $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$

The vast majority of the Property and Equipment under the Lease was owned by the Lessees prior to the

Property and Equipment was transferred to the Lessor by deeds

leased back to the Lessees. One Parcel of Property and its related Equipment was acquired by the Lessor from a third party at the request of a Lessee and then leased to such Lessee. This Parcel and its related Equipment has an Acquisition Cost (hereinafter defined) of approximately

The other Property and Equipment has an aggregate Acquisition Cost of approximately

All of the Property and Equipment is essential to the business of the Lessees.

Lease france of the lease of th

(the insurance and taxes relating to the Property and Equipment. destruction of the Property and Equipment. The Lessor is made whole for all of its costs and expenses incurred in annaction with the Lorge damages, penalties, causes of action, suits, judgments, and costs and expenses relating to, or in any way arising out of, the Lease or the transactions contemplated thereby or arising put of the Legente seminition of title to the Property and Equipment. be acquired by the Lessor on the basis of their own judgment. Mholagane amenaali diaalaina ani manuaaantatiana an warranties of any kind with respect to the Property and Equipment. The Lessees are required to insure all Property and Equipment on benall of the Lessor for an amount equal to the total amount of debt incurred by the Lessor in financing such Property and Equipment (the "Acquisition cost"). The term of the Lease ("Lease Term") with respect to each Parcel of Property and Unit of Equipment corresponds

the Lease Term, each Lessee has the right, at its option, to renew the Lease for a renewal term at fair market rental value as set forth in the Lease.

The Lessor finances one hundred percent (100%) of the Acquisition Cost of the Property and Equipment through borrowings pursuant to a certain credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with a lender. The Lessor's source of repayment for such borrowings are the payments by the Lessees

acque instruction

lender are secured by an assignment of the Lessor's rights

Free Cares

With respect to the Lessor's rights in the Property, the

of the Uniform Commercial Code ("UCC") naming the Lessees, as

Section 1-201(37) of the UCC.

During the Lease Term, each Lessee is obligated to pay "Monthly Rent" in monthly installments, which consists of two components: the "Fixed Component" and the "Variable

Locale payment sour cost

Locale payment show cost

term coses/paymencomy

term coses/payme

Component". The Fixed Component of Monthly Rent is a predetermined amount which will result in the total amount of Fixed Components over the Lease Term equaling the Acquisition Cost of the Parcel or Unit. As the Fixed Components are paid, they are subtracted from the Acquisition Cost and the resulting figure is the amortized acquisition cost (the

Credit Agreement and fees to the Lessor and the lender. The

thereunder will be paid without setoff, diminution or counterclaim of any nature.

In addition, each Lessee is obligated to pay to the Lessor all amounts necessary to reimburse the Lessor for its obligations, costs and expenses that are not included in Monthly Rent incurred in connection with the transactions

contemplated by the Lease and the Credit Agreement

to a volum for the

to terminate the lease of any Parcel of Property or Unit of
Equipment by causing the Lessor to sell its interest in such
Parcel or Unit to a third party (a "Sale at Lessee's Option").

At a citar a distinct was in the Tanan Manuel Eur was the Tanan Manuel Eur

70/2

Lessor, to purchase each Parcel or Unit for the greater of its Amortized Acquisition Cost or its fair market value.

The Lessor has the right, after notice to the affected Lessee, to terminate the lease of any or all Property or Equipment if for any reason the Lessor cannot

leasing of such Parcel or Unit (a "Lessor's Put"). In the case of a Tessor's Put.. the affected Tessee is required at the Lessee's option, either to purchase such Parcel or Unit for its Amortized Acquisition Cost or to arrange for the sale of such Parcel or Unit.

In addition to a Sale at Lessee's Option, the Lessees have the right to sell or otherwise dispose of

lane to anot esta or disposed does not in the essente.

Equipment having an Amortized Acquisition Cost of more than 20% of the aggregate Amortized Acquisition Cost of all Equipment under the Lease during such calendar year.

for use or damaged beyond repair, attached or taken by eminent domain or otherwise, then on the rent payment date following such event the affected Lessee is required to pay the Lessor the Amortized Acquisition Cost of such Parcel of

Property or Unit of Equipment. Any insurance proceeds in

excess or the Amortized Acquisition cost must be paid by the

receives payment of Monthly Rent payable and any other
amounts owing under the Lease, whereupon the lease of such
Parcel or Unit terminates and the Lessor transfers title to
the Parcel or Unit to the affected Lessee.

Connect by Anderser

Upon an event of default under the Lease, the

Lessor may, at its option, terminate the Lease and sell the

Property and Equipment, or require the Lessees to pay as

Property and Equipment and Jinon such payment transfer title

An event of default under the Lease is an event of default under the Credit Agreement, whereupon the lender may terminate the Credit Agreement and declare all obligations of the Lessor thereunder to be immediately due and payable and proceed to exercise the Lessor's rights under the Lease which were assigned to the lender pursuant to the Security Agreement.

Discussion:

We believe the termination of the above-described transaction is not subject to the Act because, upon entering into the Lease, the Lessor simply acquired title to the

Property and Equipment as collateral security. With respect to the Equipment, the Lease is a lease intended as security

and the Lease actually constitute an equitable mortgage under real property law. The Lessor merely holds title to facilitate the financing arrangement for the Lessees. Beneficial ownership of the Property and Equipment remained with the Lessees at the inception of the Lease and continues to remain with the Lessees during the Lease Term. Therefore, upon termination of the Lease and receipt of the requisite pay-

ctanatering crose back to the bessees.

In connection with the instant transaction, title to the Property and Equipment was transferred from the Lessees to the Lessor by deeds and bills of sale, as the case with and the lesse designator the lessee owner of such property of Friends and the lesse designator the lessee owner of such property of Friends.

ficial ownership of the Property and Equipment during the economic useful life of any Parcel of Property or Unit of Equipment and never intend to do so. The following features

of the Lease illustrate that the burdens and benefits of ownership remain with the Lessees:

(i) The Lessor has no equity investment in the Property and Equipment;

Property and Equipment under garrie conditiona and remainment under garrie conditiona and remainment under garrier and Equipment under

certain conditions;

(iii) The Lessees, upon a Sale at Lessee's Option, a Lessor's Put or a sale in the case of an event of default, have the opportunity to recognize all appreciation in value of the Property and Equipment and the Lessees bear substantially all the risks of depreciation in value of such Property and Equipment;

(iv) There is no reasonable expectation that the Lessor will obtain possession of the Property and Equipment at the end of the Lease or upon early termination of the Lease. In every disposition of the Property and Equipment arising under the Lease (other than a sale to a third party upon default), the Lessor, upon receipt of the Amortized Acquisition Cost and all other amounts owing under the Lease, will transfer title

but leaser appoints in the services

to have been the or a frence to have the have to have to

back to the Lessees or to a purchaser designated by the Lessees:

- (v) The terms of payment make it clear that Monthly Rent is designed to pay the Lessor its costs incurred in financing the Property and Equipment; and
- (vi) The Lessees have the burdens and risks of ownership, including keeping the Property and Equipment in good operating order, repair, condition and appearance, ensuring all legal and insurance requirements applicable to such Property and Equipment are met, paying all taxes, assessments and other charges, and confiscation.

The above facts and the economic realities of the transaction indicate that pursuant to the Lease, the Lessor

ndarbwana anaar aaaaran aarefalfal ar one nataa. Danatratar

ownership of such Property and Equipment resides at all times in the Lesses. Upon termination of the Lease, the Lessor merely releases its security interest. The Act and the Rules, while not addressing the specific terms of the transaction described herein, rather clearly provide that the acquisition of a security interest or mortgage is not intended to be an "acquisition" subject to the Act. See

Section 7A(c)(2) of the Act and Section 801.1(c)(1) of the Rules.

With respect to the Equipment, we believe the rights, obligations and remedies of the Lessor and the Lessees under the Lease are governed, in part, by Article 9 of the UCC, since the Lease is a lease intended as security. UCC § 9-102. There are numerous cases which deal with

Imperior un authorist in seinte interded authoristic una

Section 1-201/37) states that the determination whether a

by case basis. Courts have given consideration to many

<u>سافة بالأمسال المنتافية بالمستنفية الرافعة بالتافية بالأمين والمراجعة </u>

the Court stated that an objective standard must be used to determine the intent of the parties at the time of con-

some of the factors in determining whether a lease is

- (i) whether the lessee is required to insure the items on behalf of the lessor in an amount equal to the total rental payments,
 - (ii) if risk of loss or damage is on the lessee,

(1111) if leases is to your few toyon townsier democra

- (iv) whether there exist default provisions governing acceleration and resale of the item,
- (v) whether goods are to be selected from a third party by the lessee,
- (vi) whether rental payments are a reasonable equivalent of the cost of the items plus interest,

(wiil whothor the lease is to be discounted with



- (viii) whether the terms of the lease create equity
 in the lessee with respect to the goods, and
- (ix) whether warranties generally found in a lease are excluded by the agreement.

Beker at 942-943. See also <u>In re Tucker</u>, 34 Bankr. 257, 261 (Bankr. W.D. Okla. 1983).

In the transaction at hand, all of the factors listed above that were considered by the Beker court in determining whether a lease is a lease intended as security are found in the Lease. A similar list of factors, the vast majority of which are present in the Lease, can be found in In re Catamount Dyers, Inc., 43 Bankr. 564, 567 (Bankr. D. Vt. 1984).

A number of courts have found the controlling

security to be the creation of "equity" in favor of the lessee. See Beker at 942. The United States Court of

Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in <u>In re Tillary</u>, 571 F.2d 1361 (5th Cir. 1978), in finding a lease to be a lease intended as security cited favorably the following passage from <u>In re Royer's Bakery</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 1 U.C.C. Rep. Serv. (Callaghan) 342, 345-346 (E.D.Pa. 1963):

" ... whenever it can be found that a lease agreement concerning personal property contains provisions the effect of which are to create in the lessee an equity or pecuniary interest in the leased property the parties are deemed as a matter of law to have

meaning of Sections 9-102 and 1-201(37) of
the Uniform Commercial Code." <u>Tillary</u> at 1365.

Other courts have found this factor, which is clearly a
feature of the Lease, to be paramount in finding a lease to
be a lease intended as security. See, e.g., <u>Hill v. Bentco</u>
Leasing, Inc., 708 S.W.2d 608, 609 (Ark. 1986); <u>Credit Car</u>
Leasing Corp. v. DeCresenzo, 525 N.Y.S.2d 492, 495 (N.Y. Civ.
Ct. 1988).

Lastly, with respect to the Property, we believe the deeds transferring title from the Lessees to the Lessor together with the Lease, when viewed in the context of the transaction, are actually "equitable mortgages". the real

An equitable mortgage has been defined as a transaction which has the intent but not the form of a mortgage, and which a court will enforce in equity to the same extent as a mortgage. Mailloux v. Spuck, 449 N.Y.S.2d 69, 70 (1982). Any agreement in writing made by the owner of land, shown that the land is to be security for an obligation, creates an equitable mortgage upon that land Royarsky v.

Frogcaro. 479 N.Y.S.2d 606_612 (1984). Thus, a deed

operation of law. <u>Id</u>. at 612. See also <u>Baugham v. Slane</u>, 49 N.Y.S.2d 869, 871 (1943).

All jurisdictions today permit an instrument taking the form of an absolute deed to be proved to have been intended by the parties to operate as security only. Some states have codified the case law proposition that a deed absolute on its face can be shown to be a mortgage. 3 R. R. Powell, The Law of Real Property § 447 (rev. ed. 1990).



and actual intent of the parties that the property be held as security only. <u>Curcic v. Sesti</u>, 225 N.Y.S.2d 172, 175

(1967) The jerns of whether a deed is intended as a walid

transaction involving a conveyance by absolute deed are:

- (1) The right of the conveyor to recover the property upon payment of the indebtedness to the conveyee;
- (2) The retention of possession by the conveyor after the conveyance;
- (3) The continuance of the conveyor's payment of taxes and/or insurance premiums;

of Junther Benesel some in it

this way pe

with the

(a) sylvensions of one barcies conststent outly

with the mortgage intent.

3 Powell, <u>supra</u> p.16. See also <u>Robar v.</u>

<u>Ellingson</u>, 301 N.W.2d 653, 660 (N.D. 1981); <u>Wallace v.</u>

<u>McCabe</u>, 245 N.Y.S.2d 854, 856 (1964).

In the transaction at hand, all of the factors mentioned above to establish the existence of a mortgage are present. The Acquisition Cost or agreed indebtedness of the Property has been reduced by the amount of Fixed Components of rent paid. The Lessees remain in possession of the

charges. The Lessor's role as landlord is purely passive with respect to the Property. Furthermore, it is the intent

of the Lessor and the Lesses that the Lessor receive

payment of Amortized Acquisition Cost in the termination scenarios described previously herein.

Conclusion:

We believe, based on the above discussion, that

the Lease is a lease intended as security under Article 9 of the UCC. With respect to the Property, the deeds which transferred title to the Lessor when read together with the Lease and other documents constituting the financing arrangement create equitable mortgages. In each case, the Lessor has not acquired the beneficial ownership of the

periods under the Act. We note that under Section 7A(c)(2) of the Act an acquisition of a mortgage is exempt from the

TO THE TAXABLE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

In addition, under Section 801.1(c)(1) of the Rules, it is

Lessees and that termination of the Lease and the related financing arrangments will not result in an "acquisition" for purposes of the Act.

We hereby request the Federal Trade Commission staff to render an interpretation as to the obligations of the Lessor and the Lessees under the Act. The termination of the Lease is currently scheduled for December 11, 1990.

Very truly yours,

Lesser - title, socurty interest.

lessee - possession, rock at less due to damage on use of the leased assets arining from lease.

Right to request sole to thind party

Right to request sole to thind party

Right to against for graditator promised may from or FMV. (lessor has binetit of again in value)

Lease payments task to againstion cost pilvs explose and

fees

Lease for vietal economic life plus myst of renduct-

I with respect to property + equipment formity send insulish the lessee previously held to be - based on facts presented it appears that beneficial ownership nover passed to the lesson but continued to reside of the lesson. The appearance of hund is not of the secured assets but nother of a security interest in the secsed and - my be exempt under CCO)

to lique them the less tros potentially reportable againstion Here, Not reportable occase us san Isma