dette min egget person & bulenes after ma reflect in a fortist

the proposed sole of a desperse of perior transporting of the relating to the relatin

FEDERAL EXPRESS

Victor Cohen, Esquire
Federal Trade Commission

be subject to provision of the contract of the

Room 301
Washington, D.C. 20580

Dear Victor:

1991 and March 25, 1991 concerning the facts set forth in this letter, our analysis of the applicability of the minimum dollar value exemption to such facts, and your conclusion relating thereto.

I. FACTS

The acquiring entity is a \$100 million person and the

shareholders of the acquired entity owning convertible preferred

equity in the acquiring entity and certain other factors deemed appropriate.

The acquired entity's last regularly prepared income statement for 12 months reflects net sales of less than \$25 million but the most recently regularly prepared balance sheet

Name of the second seco

acquiring entity does not desire ownership thereor. Consequently, the acquired entity will spin-off the Subsidiary to its shareholders or a trustee for such shareholders or otherwise transfer the Subsidiary to an Unrelated Third Party (as defined in the following sentence) prior to the Statutory Merger. Thereafter, the shareholders will sell the Subsidiary or its assets to a third party unrelated to either the acquiring entity or the acquired entity ("Unrelated Third Party"). The acquiring entity will enter into a management contract to manage the Subsidiary's operations, which management contract is likely to continue after the ultimate sale of the Subsidiary or its assets to the Unrelated Third Party. The acquiring entity will not have any ownership interest whatsoever in the Subsidiary or its assets.

As a result of the disposal of the Subsidiary, the assets of the acquired entity will be less than \$25 million. The



II. ANALYSIS

Rased on the foregoing fact nattern ("Fact Sconaria")

monthly financial statement dated as of February 28, 1991, reflecting in a footnote thereto the contemplated March disposal of the Subsidiary. I told you that the monthly preparation by management of a financial statement is consistent with the

If the response to the prior question was affirmative, we inquired further whether the minimum dollar value exemption would be available based on the Fact Scenario.

Assuming the preparation of a February 28 financial statement, as provided above, would be permitted, we discussed the applicability of 16 CFR §802.20 to the Fact Scenario. 16 CFR §802.20 prevides in particular to follow the second s

An acquisition which would be subject to the

shall be exempt from the requirements of the act if as a result of the acquisition the acquiring person would not hold:

(b) Voting securities which confer control of an issuer which, together with all entities which it controls, has annual net sales or total assets of \$25 million or more. Stock to be less than \$15 million and the voting securities acquired would not confer control of an issuer with net sales or total assets of \$25 million or more, we were of the opinion that the acquiring and acquired entities could rely on the minimum dollar value exemption.

III. CONCLUSIONS

You told me that a regularly prepared monthly management

Furthermore, based on the Fact Scenario, you stated the minimum dollar value exemption would be available and that HSR would not apply to the Statutory Merger.

If you disagree in any respect with this letter, please call me IMMEDIATELY.

As always, your assistance is greatly appreciated.

