



May 22, 1994

Patrick Sharpe / Esq. / Premerger Notification Office

the secondary be Section 74 (h) (h) sub. Bootson 74 (h) or

Dear Mr. Sharpe:

Ne discussed on Monday the scope of Section Sol. 32 of the HSR Coverage Rules, "Acquisitions by or from Foreign Governmental Corporations". At that time, you suggested that I put my questions into a letter to you.

In particular, we discussed the following transaction: Company A and Company B are corporations organized under the laws of foreign country X. Company B is directly controlled by the government of foreign country X. Company 8 directly owns assets located in the United States The property of the control of the c

pursuant to which Company A will acquire all the outstanding

acquisition by Company A of Company B's voting securities.

Section 802.52 provides:

an acculation shall be exempt from the

acquiring person or the acquired person is controlled by a foreign state, foreign government, or agency thereof; and

(b) The acquisition is of assets located within that foreign state or of voting securities of an issuer organized under the laws of that state.

16 C.F.R. § 802.52.

Section 802.52 appears to exempt the above-described transaction. It is clear that the ultimate parent of Company B is controlled by a foreign government (since Company B is its own ultimate parent entity and it is controlled by its of an issuer (Company B) that is organized under the laws of that same foreign state.

Thus, the plain meaning of the rule seems to exempt the entire acquisition if it meets the conditions specified in subsections (a) and (b), and the Statement of Basis and Purpose, as discussed below, is consistent with this interpretation. In light of these facts, we would question the basis for any argument that the resulting indirect

HSR Rules for "looking through" the primary acquisition to reach such an indirect acquisition.* Although some HSR rules expressly look to the U.S. presence of the foreign person (unlike Section 802.52) to determine if the entire foreign transaction is reportable, see 16 C.F.R. § 802.50, § 802.51, we are aware of no rule which requires the break-up of the holdings of a single foreign issuer to be acquired into

The only rule of which we are aware which provides for possible reportability of indirect acquisitions is Section 801.4 -- Secondary Acquisitions. That section, which addresses indirect acquisitions of voting securities of a third person, is not applicable to the instant transaction.

The following example demonstrates the preceding point. An acquisition of X Co., a foreign person with U.S. sub 1 and non-U.S. sub 2 may be reportable if U.S. sub 1 meets certain thresholds. However, that direct acquisition of X Co. is either reportable in its entirety or it is not; it would never be the case that the indirect acquisition of U.S sub 1 is separately reportable while the indirect acquisition of non-U.S. sub 2 is not.

The conclusion that indirect holdings of assets or

is also squarely supported by the Statement of Basis and Purpose issued in connection with the promulgation of that rule. In the "Background Information to § 802.52", 43 Fed. Reg. 33,499-500 (July 31, 1978), the commentary provides:

In the Federal Register notice accompanying the

acquisitions of assets located in the United States and indirect acquisitions of voting securities of United States issuers. 42 FR [sic] at 39045 (August 1, 1977). The Department of State in its comment

12/2

In view of this comment, the final rule did not adopt the change proposed in the Federal Register.

43 Fed. Reg. 33,500 (July 31, 1978) (emphasis added). Thus, it appears that the Commission considered and rejected excluding indirect acquisitions from the coverage of the Section 802.52 exemption.

If, however, you reach the conclusion that Section 802.52 does not exempt indirect acquisitions, then we would appreciate if you would comment on the the following

the transaction would be non-reportable and that the filing parties would only have to report with respect to the non-exempt parts of the transaction. See 16 C.F.R.

reportable transaction could exclude all consideration for the non-reportable elements of the transaction. [94 16 C.F.R. § 801.15. Finally, we would question whether an indirect acquisition of voting securities would be treated the same as an indirect acquisition of assets (as it would

"我就得到哪里的话,我不知道""我们,这个心理,""我想到我的一点,这个人就我们,这个人是一个人都没有,这种好的人的,这个人的人,这个人的人,不是一个人。""这

seem to us that even if indirect acquisitions of voting securities may be separately reportable, a person cannot be deemed to make an indirect asset acquisition by simply by acquiring shares of an issuer).

We would very much appreciate receiving your thoughts and comments on the issues raised by the above-described transaction. As our client is contemplating its course of action, it would be very helpful to have your comments as soon as it is convenient. If I can be of assistance on this matter, please do not hesitate to call me.

Very truly yours,

I concur this is an exempt transaction under 602.52.

PMN Staff agrees per staff meeting 5under 802.52 you do not Diegree than on to 105

,可以大学的人,只是这种教育,是整个,在1945年的,第二人,一个一个的话,但这些国际的一个文化的一种的大学教育的一个企业等。

77