VIA TELECOPY (202) 326-2050

the mater

Carter of the property

Bureau of Competition
Fedgral Trade Commission

Dear Mr. Sharp:

This letter will confirm our telephone conversation earlier today. During that conversation, you concurred with our opinion that the transaction we discussed, as described below, would be exempt from the filing requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act.

The transaction in question involves the <u>formation of a</u> noncorporate joint venture between Party X and Party Y that would

Party X will initially pay \$100,000 for an option to acquire a 60% interest in the joint venture. This option may be exercised within 30 days (subject to extensions relating to title conditions) for additional consideration. If the option is exercised, Party Y will receive from Party X a total of \$1,250,000 in cash (including the

exploration and development expenditures and payments to Party Y to complete the transaction.

TOT THE TAXABLE PARTY OF THE PA

ormulion of an acquisition of realty transferred in the ordinary course of business, because the deal involves the transfer of realty rights and in undeveloped property, which rights are not currently revenueoint venture

one reportable



Mr. Patrick Sharp November 4, 1991 Page 2

producing. See ABA Premerger Notification Practice Manual, Interpretations Polating to Section 72 Paragraph 2 no. 1-2

andattind betanii.

If this letter does not correctly reflect our conversation or

We thank you for your time and assistance on this matter.

Very truly yours,



this letter is in error.