

001,110
80/80/90

[REDACTED]

William Schechter, Esq.
Federal Trade Commission

RECEIVED
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OCT 31 1991

Re: [REDACTED]

Dear Mr. Schechter:

As I mentioned to you at the conclusion of our telephone conversation of October 31, 1991, I am sending this letter to you as a follow-up to confirm my understanding that a Pre-Merger Notification filing under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 is not required in connection with the above-referenced transaction.

To reiterate the relevant facts, on [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (the "Seller") entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement with [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] agreed to purchase substantially all of Seller's properties and assets. The aggregate purchase price for all of the assets is [REDACTED]. The assets include real estate, buildings and other improvements valued at an estimated [REDACTED]. The real estate is to be acquired by [REDACTED], an existing general partnership that owns and operates real property, and [REDACTED] will assign its rights to [REDACTED] with respect to the acquisition of the real estate.

[REDACTED] is a corporation owned by four shareholders, each owning 25% of the outstanding common stock of Sathers. [REDACTED] is a general partnership with four general partners, each having a 25% interest in the partnership. The shareholders of [REDACTED] are the same four persons that are the partners of [REDACTED]. I would like to confirm my understanding that because no person controls either [REDACTED] or [REDACTED], each of [REDACTED] is its own ultimate parent entity and that therefore no acquiring person will hold assets of the acquired person in excess of \$15,000,000 in

William Schechter, Esq.
Page 2
November 6, 1991

connection with the transaction. Further, because on the facts stated the acquisition of the real property by [REDACTED] has a legitimate business purpose, it is not a transaction entered into for the purpose of avoiding the obligation to comply with the requirements of the Act. As a consequence of all of the foregoing, the filing of Pre-Merger Notification is not required with respect to the above-described transaction.

As we discussed, if you disagree with the conclusions stated in this letter, please let me know so that we can prepare the

on the basis that a Pre-Merger Notification filing is not required.

Very truly yours,

[REDACTED]

cc: [REDACTED]