

April 16, 1992

Mr. William Schechter Staff Attorney Premerger Notification Office Bureau of Competition Federal Trade Commission, Room 303 Washington, D.C. 20580 PREMERCE NOT 197

Dear Mr. Schechter:

Pursuant to our telephone conversation of earlier this afternoon, we are providing you certain representations with respect to the transaction described in my April 6, 1992 letter. You indicated that, with the additional information provided herein, unless we heard from you to the contrary by the end of business today, we could move ahead with the consummation of the proposed transaction without filing premerger notification.

Specifically, you requested us to confirm for you that the price paid for the inventory at the Seller's distribution facility is its fair market value as negotiated between the replies of seller's length and is not "decressed" or "written down" in order to shift consideration

Based on information provided to us by our client and our own representation of the Seller in connection with the proposed transaction and other similar transactions involving the supply of the supp

1. The consideration to be paid by Buyer for the present distribution facility is based on the Seller's costs, as reflected on its books and records; subject to miner adjustments to take into consideration certain trade discounts, allowances, and the like. To the best of our knowledge, therefore, the

December 11, 1992 Page 2

The "odunes" to be said by Durer to Coller in managem said it.

ments, of a type which takes place on a regular basis in the industry.

If we have not heard from you by the close of business today, we will proceed with consumpation of the proceed transaction as described in my april 6, 1992 tower, without a filing under the Premerger Notification Rules.

Very truly yours,

The original second page of this letter, dated April 16, 1992, was mightered the author provided another copy of that page but inadvertently dated it December 11, 1992.