partnership based upon the sector has control of the subset upon of the sector of the sector upon of the sector of

Premerger Notification Office Bureau of Competition (Attn: Mr. Victor Cohen) 7th & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Room 303 Washington, D.C. 20580 EMERGER NOTIFICATION EMERGER NOTIFICATION

Remest for an Informal Interpretation re the application of 16 C.F.K. & BULLIED (1) (11)

Dear Mr. Cohen:

We have been asked on behalf of a client to request an informal interpretation of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, Pub.L. 94-435, 90 Stat. 1390 (1976) (codified as amended at 15 U.S.C. Sec. 18A) (the "HSR Act"), with respect to the determination of the "ultimate parent entity" of a

- 1. A newly formed limited partnership called is proposing to acquire assets of a company ("Seller") whose ultimate parent entity has \$8 million of "annual net sales" and is \$40 million, and the assets being acquired relate to an "activity affecting commerce."
- 2. In accordance with the terms of the contemplated limited partnership agreement to which all shall be parties, shall have three "Investors," as follows:

"A" - The general partner;

"B" - The limited partners and

participating notes (the "Notes").

Federal Trade Commission April 22, 1992 Page 2

No other person or entity shall have the right to any of the profits of the profi

USSELS UDVN MEINATA

losses, taking into consideration payments to "C" under the Notes. Operating together, the partnership agreement and the

- (1) To return to "C" the amount of its investment, which is the amount of its loans to (the principal amount of the Notes);
- (2) To pay "C" a rate of return of 10% compounded semiannually on the principal amount of the Notes:
- (3) To return to "B" the amount of its investment contributed as capital to
- (4) To pay "B" a rate of return of 10% compounded semiannually on its contributed capital;
- (5) To return to "A" the amount of its investment contributed as capital to
- (6) Thereafter, 20% to "A"; 45% to "B"; and 35% to "C"; with payments to "C" being called "contingent interest" on the Notes until "C" has achieved a 25% rate of return and thereafter, called "profit participation."

to the in the the can million nurchase price for

Net profits and net losses will be allocated in order to achieve the distributions set forth above.

"ultimate parent entity" of the acquiring person, and its annual net sales and total assets, must be determined.

In determining the "ultimate parent entity" of the acquiring

In my phone conversation with you on April 14, 1992, we

distributions and profits of the "acquiring person." I explained

notes, particularly provision (6) above with respect to distributions to the general partner, the limited partner and the note investor.

You explained that under the circumstances, the payment of "contingent interest" and "profit participation" to the note investor would be considered to be a distribution of profits under 16 C.F.R. 6801.1(b)(1)(ii) and thus that the percentage of

The region of the second control of the second of the seco

or the right in the event of dissolution to 50% or more of the assets of the size of the assets of the size of the assets of the size of t

or total assets meet the "size-of-person" test under the

With reference to the above-described factual situation,

Federal Trade Commission April 22, 1992 Page 4

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions on the facts or the informal interpretation being requested, and when the FTC has completed its review and you can discuss the FTC interpretations. Thank you for your attention to this

