

801 10 (b) and (c) (2) and (3)

stipos restricts release under the
Freedom of Information Act

July 16, 1993

JUL 19 1 25 PM '93
FEDERAL TRADE
COMMISSION
PREMERGER NOTIFICATION
OFFICE
376-2050

CONFIDENTIAL

VIA TELECOPIER - (202) 376-2050

John M. Sipple, Jr., Esq.
Chief, Premerger Notification Office
Bureau of Competition
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C. 20540

At your request, I am writing this letter to describe the transaction that we discussed by telephone today. The transaction summarized below involves my client ("X") and an unrelated party ("Y").

by selected manufacturers, and they are sold bearing various trademarks and trade names owned by Y along with other marks

X has entered into a purchase contract with Y, pursuant to which Y will purchase from X the inventory of most of X's property. After the closing, to the extent that retailers return products previously sold to them by X, X will settle with the retailers, and Y will purchase the products from X.

The purchase contract provides that at closing X and Y will enter into a Trademark License Agreement and a Distribution Agreement (collectively, the "Agreements"). The Agreements pertain to products of the kind purchased from X's inventory and to one other type of product (collectively, the "Products"). Pursuant to the Agreements, Y will be entitled

[REDACTED]

John M. Single Jr. Esq.

(1) to procure Products from manufacturers approved by X and (2) to apply X's trademarks to the Products on an exclusive basis and to apply to the Products on a nonexclusive basis those marks currently licensed by Y on a nonexclusive basis. During the term of the Agreements, Y must pay X a distribution fee set at a

year, and will increase again if an annual sales threshold is exceeded. The term of the Agreements is five years. If cumulative fees during the five-year term exceed a specified amount, the Agreements will automatically renew for one additional five-year term.

The fee level that triggers the right of renewal was calculated on the basis of X's historical sales, plus a growth factor. However, X is retaining all rights to a segment of its product line that represented roughly one-third of its sales in the most recent year, while giving Y the right to affix the trademarks to one additional Product category that X has not heretofore sold. Hence, in order to qualify for the renewal term, Y will have to cultivate substantial sales of the new Product or significantly expand sales volume in existing Products.

I would very much appreciate any guidance that the Premerger Notification

(1) Does article 10 of the Agreements constitute an asset acquisition for

(2) If question (1) is answered affirmatively, is the asset acquisition under the Agreements limited to the exclusive trademark license, without reference to the non-exclusive trademark license (such that the value attributable to the latter would not figure in the acquisition price or the fair market value)?

If this assumption is correct and/or if question (1) was answered affirmatively, can the acquisition price be a "determined" one within the meaning of 16 C.F.R. § 801.10(b)?

John M. Sipple, Jr., Esq.
July 16, 1993
Page 3

(4) Whether or not the acquisition price is determinable, Y must determine the fair market value of the assets to be acquired. Interpretation #116 in the Premier Notification Practice Manual suggests that the "most appropriate formulation" would be

... how can the assumption of an all-cash purchase be reconciled with a contingency that itself hinges on the amount of contingent payments made in the first five years?

Please feel free to respond by telephoning me at the above-listed number. Because X and Y are anxious to complete the transaction as soon as practicable, I would greatly appreciate your early attention to this matter. Thank you very much for your consideration.

Respectfully,

7/21/93 The writer was advised that the PTN office's response to question (1) is affirmative. In response to question (2), the grant of a non-exclusive trademark license need not be included in the acquisition price or the fair market value determination since it "does not involve the acquisition of an asset" (see ABA letter #49). (However, if seller exits the business (which does not appear to be the case here), such purchase would must be included in the size-of-transaction test.) In response to question (3), the seller appears to have records of inventory returned from retailers. Such records must be used by purchaser to generate a "good faith" estimate of post-closing inventory which will be bought by purchaser. This estimated dollar figure must be included in the "acquisition price" since it is part of the purchase price.

5 years. However, the second five year period appears too speculative at this time and the buyer need not attempt to assign a value to it. If the total of the actual payments and the estimated payments for the inventory and the ~~expense~~ expense in the first 5-year term exceed \$1MM, then a filing need be made. The fair market