



February 3, 1994

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Patrick Sharpe Compliance Specialist Will break the train See constant with the see Brown of the solution of the Mary of the the majeries states of Brender W bronselfen Ath

rederat trade commission Pre-Merger Notification Office 6th and Pennsylvania Avenues, N.W. Room 301 Washington, D.C. 20580

Dear Patrick:

This is to confirm our telephone conversation earlier today in which you agreed that the purchase of

^lThe are currently owned by different ultimate parent entities. are each <u>currently owned by a 1</u> whose deneral

limited partnership as its ultimate parent entity and for H-S-R separately connect purposes, we understand that we would treat each for determining whether the \$15 million threshold is reached. Two are owned by of the remaining partnerships, with one individual having a 50% interest in the partnership. Thus, for these the individual will be the ultimate parent energy, and for H-S-R purposes, the consideration of \ must be combined. For the the REIT will have transferred to it remaining 4 limited the leasehold interest, which is held by a partnership which is its own ultimate parent entity, and a fee simple ownership interest, which is owned by another has a different ultimate parent partnership. Each entity, and, therefore, need not be combined for valuation purposes. The allocation of the purchase price will be determined by dividing the net operating income for the improved by the applicable capitalization rate. The allocation has

Mr. Patrick Sharpe February 3, 1994 Page 2

for a total purchase price of \$165 million by a company subject to the requirements of the Real Estate Investment Trust Act of 1960, as amended, would be exempt from the reporting requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 under 15 U.S.C. § 18a(c)(1) since it is an acquisition of realty in the ordinary course of business. This exemption applies to REITs even though the property they are purchasing is income producing.

Please let me know immediately if I have in any way misunderstood the FTC's position on this issue.

Sincerely,



Attempted to
call 2-4-94
but number is not in
service. However, this
is a standard letter
that sleepender

I concur

this letter.