

P owns 7 million shares of I's stock, which for HSR purposes are attributed to C through P and also attributed to A and B through P and J.

Separately, C holds irrevocable proxies to vote 3.3

Victor Cohen, Esq. April 12, 1994 Page 2

C and J have a voting rights agreement in connection with their joint ownership of P. Under the voting rights agreement, C promises to vote its proxies in the same manner as J and C jointly determine to vote the shares of I's stock that are owned by P.

We asked you whether the Premerger Notification Office would view any of A, B or C as an ultimate parent entity of I.

You advised us that C is an ultimate parent entity of I because (i) the 3.3 million shares as to which C holds irrevocable proxies must be aggregated with the 7 million shares that

I's poting separities. See Prememer Notification Practice Manual Interpretation #65. Vols also

- F12 A B B C BA4 40 in annualism midb A/a manifeliam a famu additional malin

less than 50% of I's voting securities (1.2 million plus 7 million shares), and (ii) that B is not an ultimate parent entity of I, because B holds less than 50% of I's voting securities (7 million shares). You indicated that the voting rights agreement between does not create a factual

believe that it misstates the views of the Premerger Notification Utilice, please let me know

DISCELLINE DOLOGICADIOS. THERE YOU IST JOSE SIGNICAL TO HER MARKET



