





VIA PAX 202-326-2624

Hy David Rubenstein Staff Attorney Premerger Notification Office Bureau of Competition Federal Trade Commission Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Rubenstein:

Pursuant to our phone conversation of yesterday, set forth below are the fact situation and legal conclusions which I have reached and with which I request you concur.

We represent Company X. Company X is entering into a transaction with entity H. X and H are engaged in commerce, within the meaning of Section 7A(a)(1) of the Clayton Act. X has total assets valued at more than \$100,000,000 and H has total assets of more than \$10,000,000 within the meaning of Section 7A(a)(2)(C) of

Act.

The parties have agreed that the Warrants will be exchanged for non-voting preferred stock ("Non-Voting Stock"). H's tax

exchange the Common Stock for the Non-Voting Stock.

The contract between X and H stipulates unequivocally that Common Stock issuable to H at the closing can only be utilized to be exchanged for Non-Voting Stock and cannot be used for any other purpose. If H were to attempt to obtain the Common Stock without

Page 2

Hy David Rubenstein December 20, 1994

transaction would not be considered consummated under the contract, and H would have no entitlement to possession or ownership of the

NA TOTAL STATE OF THE PARTY OF

exercise of the warrants can only be utilized to be exchanged for

only have the right to be exchanged for the Non-Voting Stock.

Accordingly, we have determined that filing of a notification under Section 7A of the Clayton Act is not required to complete this transaction since H is not receiving "voting securities" as

Sincerely yours,

