September 13, 1995

Mr. Richard Smith
Premerger Notification Office
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C. 20580

Re: Application of Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (the "Act")

Dear Dick:

I am writing to ask you, on behalf of the Premerger Notification Office, to review the terms of the proposed transaction described in this letter so that we may discuss the application of the Act to this proposed transaction.

The proposed transaction is between two parties, the ultimate parent entities of which are

omereté coute

facilities and operations. The parties propose

in that community will be outside the joint venture and will be outside independently by the two parties. Furthermore outside of the joint venture

has other significant operations and the Bowns and operates acute care and has other related to the poperations in several other states.

The structure of the proposed joint venture is almost entirely dictated by the federal and state income tax consequences of the transaction and the restraints of current and future tax-exempt bond financing by the parties. Initially, until an IRS Revenue Ruling is obtained, the joint venture will be conducted through three separate entities: (i) a new IRC §501(c)(3) not-for-profit corporation, (ii) a new, to be formed IRC §501(e) not-for-profit corporation, and (iii) a new, to be formed for-profit corporation. An IRC §501(e) corporation is treated as tax-exempt under IRC §501(c)(3) for federal tax purposes: however_use of an IRC §501(e) corporation will allow the parties to avoid an "unrelated business income" problem that might otherwise arise if certain operations were conducted through the new IRC §501(c)(3) corporation.

Mr. Richard Smith Premerger Notification Office September 13, 1995 Page 2

Generally speaking will be conducted through the new IRC §501(c)(3) corporation, certain administrative and support services described in IRC §501(e) will be conducted through the new IRC §501(e) corporation, and other administrative and support services will be conducted through the new for-profit corporation. The new IRC §501(c)(3) corporation was formed in May but has not vet commenced onerations other than to apply for

the depreciable property, will be at a fair market rent, and will not be classified for accounting purposes as capital leases.

In the formation of the joint venture, the parties will contribute to the new IRC \$501(c)(3)

of the parties to even out the value of the contributions. In addition, the parties will lease to the

In the formation of the IKC 9001(e) corporation, the parties will contribute to the new corporation cash and certain assets related to the administrative and subnort services to be

The formation of the for most commention will also involve a combination of access

gargier (2007 --- 10 moth mother and fire the marker with more a color of four state \$15 million and

not be included.

Assuming that the parties are successful in obtaining a Revenue Ruling from the IRS, at

Mr. Richard Smith Premerger Notification Office September 13, 1995 Page 3

Analyzing the pieces of the joint venture separately, the formation of the new IBC 8501(e) cornoration is exempt under either (i) the Premerger Notification Office's position

capitalization of the new IRC §501(c)(3) corporation should be exempt under either (i) the Premerger Notification Office's position regarding the inapplicability of 16 C.F.R. §801.40 to the

under the Act because the size of transaction test will not be satisfied by either party. In addition, I believe that, under the principles of 16 C.F.R. §802.30, any future combination of the IRC §501(c)(3) corporation and the IRC §501(e) corporation should be exempt from the Act as long

as the resulting entity remains owned 50/50 by the two parties.

position on my analysis of the appreautor of the Act to this joint venture structure. For your

Verv truly yours.

