

801.2 ; 801.1(a)(2)

October 2, 1995

VIA MESSENGER

Dickend D. Smith, Esq.  
Premerger Notification Office  
Bureau of Competition  
Federal Trade Commission  
Room 323  
Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20580

**Re: HSR Treatment of Agreement to File as  
Agent for Patent Term Extension**

Dear Dick:

Thank you for taking the time this morning to speak with me. I am writing to confirm our discussion regarding the proper treatment under the HSR Act and Rules of the following conduct involving our client.

We discussed the following set of facts:

1. Company "A" holds a process patent for the manufacture of a particular [redacted] used as the [redacted] in a number of very different [redacted]. Company A uses this [redacted] as the [redacted] in a [redacted] which it produces and sells. [redacted] Company A holds the [redacted]

used in preparing Company B's own [redacted] Those sales are made by Company A to Company B's customers [redacted]

produce a [redacted]. In order to produce this [redacted] Company B licenses two patents: the process patent held by Company A and a [redacted]

6

Richard B. Smith, Esq.  
Premerger Notification Office  
Federal Trade Commission  
October 2, 1995  
Page 2



use patent held by Company C. Company B's license from Company A is an

license to use the [redacted] purchased from Company A in the production of a [redacted]. Because the [redacted] produced by Company B is for use [redacted] or which produce products intended for [redacted] Company B must also have [redacted] for the [redacted]

[redacted] produced by Company A.

3. Finally, Company "C" holds a use patent for the [redacted] containing the [redacted] produced by Company A. Company C does not itself produce any [redacted] it licenses Company B to produce this [redacted] and licenses another company to produce the [redacted]

Pursuant to the terms of the [redacted] or their agents can, under specific circumstances, apply to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") for a three-year extension of the term of one of the patents which form the basis of their [redacted] Company B, therefore, has the right to apply for extension of any one of the patents that underlie it [redacted] and in particular either Company A's process patent [redacted]

undetermined at this point), Company B as agent for Company A will file with the PTO an application to extend the term of Company A's process patent. If the PTO determines that Company A is eligible for the patent term extension, the PTO will grant the extension to Company A without further involvement by Company B. Furthermore, the terms of the implied license between Company A and Company B (i.e., the license that flows from the purchase and sale of the [redacted]) would not change as a result of this transaction. Company B would have no greater or lesser right to use the [redacted] produced by Company A in the production of its own [redacted]. The patent term extension for the benefit of Company A would be received, to the extent that the PTO determines it is appropriate, from the PTO, not from Company B.

Richard B. Smith, Esq.  
Premerger Notification Office  
Federal Trade Commission  
October 2, 1995  
Page 3



Under these circumstances, we determined that the transaction between Company A and Company B, whereby Company B would file the patent term extension application with the PTO as agent for Company A and forego its right to extend any other patent related to its [redacted] would not constitute a transfer of voting securities or assets within the meaning of the HSR Act and Rules. We noted that, to the extent that Company A would receive a benefit as a result of this transaction, that benefit would be received from the PTO in the form of a patent extension.

Company B's acting as agent on behalf of Company A would not be a reportable event. You indicated that the Premerger Office has taken the position that the acquisition of such an


portion or all of its rights under the term-extended patent to another party, you indicated that this transaction should be separately evaluated for HSR reportability.

I hope that this letter adequately summarizes the substance of our discussion this morning.



Thank you again for your time this morning.

Sincerely,



10/4/95 - Left phone mail message for writer that I agreed with his conclusion. (Noted however, that I was not addressing the issue of potential HSR reportability for the two licenses presently held by Company B from Company A & C, respectively, as noted in two top sentences of page 2.)  
RBS