802.21 ; 801.2 (d) and (e)

10 53 AM '95 DEC 18 December 15, 1995

<u>VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS</u>

Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580

so under the President of Informatic

Dear Mr. Smith:

On behalf of

and sentor we hereby

Powest your confirmation that

(each a "Fund" and together the "Funds") would be pted from the filing requirements under the Hart-Scott-Rodino of 1076 /the NUCD hat II with regnert

INVESCIB<u>ER COMMANY</u> ACC /, and incorporaced under the taws OF State of Each Fund is advised by an affiliate of

and seeks to provide stockholders with as high a current income as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. Each Fund seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing primarily in senior debt obligations of companies ("Senior Debt"), including corporate loans made by banks and other financial institutions and both privately placed and publicly offered corporate bonds and notes. Each Fund has outstanding common stock ("Common Stock") which is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, and the rights of holders of Common Stock of each of Fund are equivalent. The Funds have

the "Agreement and Plan"), it is wril acquire Contamorated that n the rollowing manner: (i) each of will be merged with and into in accordance with the General Corporation Law of the State of (ii) the 111 separate existence of each of

cease; (iii with will be the surviving corporation; and (iv) each share of the surviving corporation; and (iv) will be converted into the right to receive an

Fund has approved the Merger and Agreement and Plan. In addition, approval of the Agreement and Plan requires the affirmative vote of stockholders representing more than 50% of the containing about a fewer that the requisite approvals from the stockholders have

Taxation of the State of The Merger will become effective at such time as the Articles of Merger are accepted for filing by such Department (the "Effective Date"). As a result of the Merger the separate existence of each of

will terminate. Moreover, as a result of the Merger, each of will terminate its registration under the Investment company Act.

In light of the foregoing, a question has been presented as to whether the Funds would be subject to the requirement of

rangemention with the undergioned year indicated that your office

from the remainements of the UCD Act when it is comprised of the

consists mainly of cash and securities of third-party issuers; and (iii) the value of Corporation B's remaining assets is below \$15 million. In your view, the Notification would not be required for this transaction because, under Section 801.21 of the rules of the HSR Act (the "Rules"), the cash and securities held by Corporation B would not be considered to be assets of Corporation B for purposes of calculating the size-of-transaction

Section 801.21 provides that "[f]or purposes of section 7A(a)(3) [of the Clayton Act]..., cash shall not be considered an asset of the person from which it is acquired [and] neither voting or nonvoting securities...shall be considered assets of another person from which they are acquired."

test under Section 7A(a)(3)² of the Clayton Act, and the remaining assets of Corporation B standing alone would not meet the test. You further indicated that such transaction would fall under the institutional investor exemption provided by Section 8A2 64³ of the Pulse against that the coefficient against that

of business" requirement even though Corporation A acquires all of Corporation B's assets and Corporation B terminates its business.

Under these guidelines, we request confirmation that the Funds should not be required to file the Notification in connection with the Merger. The Merger, although technically not an asset acquisition, will comply with each of the requirements described in the preceding paragraph (for ease of reference, the transaction described in the preceding paragraph will be referred to hereafter as the "Acquisition"). The practical effect of the Merger is that it will produce the same outcome as the Acquisition. Specifically, after the Merger has been effected.

(i) will have acquired all of the assets of each of acquired by will exceed \$15 million, but it will consist mainly of securities of third-party issuers (i.e. Senior Debt held by each of

required since Senior Debt held by each of and acquired by would not be respectively, for

Section 802.64 provides in relevant part as follows: "An acquisition of voting securities shall be exempt from the requirements of the act,...if: (1) Made directly by an institutional investors (abid in a limit to the act).

registered under the Investment Company Act]; (2) Made in the ordinary course of business; (3) Made solely for the purpose of investment; (4) As a result of the acquisition the acquiring person would not control the issuer; and (5) As a result of the acquisition the acquiring person would hold either: (i) Fifteen percent or less of the outstanding voting securities of the issuer; or (ii) Voting securities of the issuer valued at \$25 million or less."

Section 7A(a)(3) of the Clayton Act sets the size-oftrapsaction test as follows: (A) 15 per centum or more of the voting securities or assets of the acquired person or

purposes of calculating the size-of-transaction test, and the remaining assets of each of equired by would not exceed the \$15 million threshold under such test. Furthermore, like the Acquisition, the Merger should qualify for the institutional investor exemption provided by Section 802-64. The practical effect of the Merger is the

and such acquisition will have been made directly by in the ordinary course of business and solely for the purpose or investment in Senior Debt. Although will technically acquire control of the issuers (i.e., the acquisition of securities of the issuers in this situation is actually a procedural conduit for

merger. Thus, the merger will satisfy the substance of Section 802.64.

We do not believe that the fact that the transaction contemplated by the Funds is a merger rather than an asset acquisition should result in a different treatment of the Merger under the HSR Act. As evident from the above discussion, the

case in an asset acquisition. For all practical purposes, the effect of the Merger will be same as the Acquisition.

Based on the foregoing, we respectfully request your confirmation that the Funds would not be subject to the filing requirements under the HSR Act. It is contemplated that the

December 28, 1995. Therefore, we would appreciate receiving your response as early as nossible. If you have any questions or

or me at

taking the four of a voting stock acquisition, 802. a does not come into flag The to merger (and to any non-expert seportable times.

(voting stock against to sugardent of the many stock and so any non-expert seportable times.