

December 26, 1995

VIA FAX 202 326-2624

Mr. Dick Smith
Senior Attorney
Premerger Notification Office
Federal Trade Commission
Bureau of Competition
Washington, D.C. 20580

MH

96-0495

Re: Rule 801.40 and Joint Venture

FEDERAL TRADE
COMMISSION
PREMERGER NOTIFICATION
OFFICE
JAN 2 11 02 AM '96

Dear Mr. Smith:

attorneys, Michelle Nelson, regarding the premerger notification requirements under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act.

liability company, a question has arisen as to whether structuring the joint venture as an LLC would result in loss of the exemption provided in Rule 801.40 and thus require a premerger notification

for filing depends on certain factual issues regarding the governance of the joint venture. If the LLC is governed in a manner which functions like a corporation's board of directors (equal vote per director) then a filing may be required. Alternatively, if management is vested in the hands of the members and voting is based on ownership interests, a filing is likely not required because the joint venture more closely resembles a partnership. In visiting with Ms. Hershey, she indicated that we could submit a written summary of the facts involved and request an informal statement of your opinion on whether a premerger

Mr. Dick Smith
Senior Attorney

December 26, 1995

opinion based on the facts outlined in the balance of this letter.

Our client, an [redacted] nonprofit corporation and [redacted] §501(c)(3) public charity (the "Foundation"), operates a [redacted] in [redacted] (the [redacted]). The Foundation has begun negotiations and entered into a letter of intent with [redacted] a publicly traded [redacted] corporation, for the formation of a joint venture for the future operation of the [redacted]. The letter of intent describes the formation of the joint venture either as a limited partnership or limited liability company and outlines the terms of the joint venture arrangement.

1. The Foundation will contribute the operating assets of the [redacted] to the joint venture and [redacted] percent (50%) ownership interest in the joint venture and [redacted]

2. The joint venture will be managed either by a General Partner or Manager depending upon choice of [redacted] as designated by the [redacted] [redacted]

operations of the joint venture within certain prescribed guidelines that would be identical under either governing document.

3. The governance of the joint venture would be in the form of two governing boards and would be identical under either the partnership agreement or the operating agreement. Under the proposed agreement, the Foundation would appoint a board of "Class A governors" and [redacted]

voting weight in accordance with their ownership interests in the joint venture. Thus, the Foundation and [redacted] each would initially hold 50% of the vote. Voting rights and the voting power of each class of governors could change in the future based on changes in either the Foundation's or [redacted] interest in the joint venture.

[redacted]

Mr. Dick Smith
Senior Attorney
December 26, 1995
Page 3

4. The specific acts requiring approval of a majority of the Boards (both the Class A governors and the Class B governors) include or any act to:

- a. Any act to change the nature of the joint venture;
 - b. Borrowing or creating any indebtedness or obligation in excess of \$250,000;
 - c. Issue an additional ownership units or interests of the nature of the joint venture;
 - d. [REDACTED]
 - e. Designate the CEO of the joint venture;
 - f. Make a capital expenditure or commitment in excess of \$1.0 Million;
 - g. Designation of the Chairman of the joint venture;
 - h. Declaration and payment of distributions to the parties;
 - i. Adoption of annual budget capital expenditure
- the joint venture's hospital.

5. The initial draft of the joint venture partnership. Because of liability concerns with the operation of the [REDACTED] the Foundation is concerned about assuming general partner status without limited liability for the joint venture's obligations. The [REDACTED]

management without being deemed a general partner. The actions requiring the Foundation's approval or action [REDACTED]

Mr. Dick Smith
Senior Attorney
December 26, 1995
Page 4

outlined in paragraph 4 above are significantly more than those usually requiring a limited partner's approval. The Foundation is extremely concerned that its involvement with the Class A governors and active role in the joint venture's management could cause the Foundation to be viewed as a general partner and render ~~it jointly and severally liable for the joint venture's~~ liabilities and obligations.

6. To avoid the concern raised in paragraph 5 above, the Foundation and ~~_____~~ have discussed structuring the joint venture as an LLC, with identical management and operational terms found in the initial draft of the limited partnership agreement. This structure would allow the Foundation to exercise its full management rights and powers based on its ownership interest and percentage, functioning more like a general partnership, without potentially transforming its limited partnership interest to a general partnership interest. ~~The only reason for selecting the LLC structure over the~~

liability.

that are corporations and the FTC's long standing position that partnerships are not subject to the premerger notification requirements of the Act. We will be glad to present the draft of the limited partnership agreement and any additional information that you believe would be helpful in framing a response to our inquiry. Also, to the extent that you have any questions, we would be glad to discuss the proposed joint venture with you at your convenience.

Your assistance and cooperation in responding to this request are greatly appreciated. It is my understanding that you will respond by telephone and I would ask that you call either ~~_____~~

~~_____~~

Mr. Dick Smith
Senior Attorney
December 26, 1995
Page 5

[REDACTED] or me [REDACTED] at your earliest convenience
to discuss your response.

Cordially yours,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]