

The size-of-person test is based on the
last regularly prepared balance sheet
not over 15 months old.

10/11
K

tests of letter indicate that size tests
are not met.

May 30, 1996

Washington, D.C. 20580

Dear Victor:

This letter is to confirm based on my recent examination

XYZ L.P. is a limited partnership which, together with its
general partner, is engaged in a non-manufacturing business.
Separate interests of 15% each are held in XYZ by four
individuals, Child 1, Child 2, Child 3 and Dad. The children are
all adult children of Dad. A 40% interest in XYZ is held by
Corporation A. XYZ operates in many different states.
Corporation A is the general partner in XYZ, and owns and
operates the business in State B. Dad owns 100% of the stock of

that he has licensed to XYZ. In exchange for his personal
services to XYZ and licensure to XYZ of the above rights Dad has

presenting to their ownership interests effective April 1996

additional interest in XYZ, bringing its total interest to 41.2%

✓

Victor Cohen

May 30, 1996

Page 2

read transaction list

of XYZ. Dad's and the children's respective limited partnership interests will thereby be reduced to 14.7% each. The fair market value of the assets being transferred by Corporation A to XYZ are

than \$10,000,000. Dad will also transfer to XYZ the stock in

Thereafter, Corporation A will donate its 41.2% interest in XYZ to a charitable support organization qualified under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Foundation").

else will have a majority interest in XYZ. Dad will also donate the Foundation the copyrighted materials he owns. Dad will

business of XYZ, while retaining the remaining interest in that intellectual property. Neither Dad nor any of the children controls the Foundation.

Subsequently, the Foundation, Child 1, Child 2, Child 3 and Dad will sell all their respective holdings and interests as identified above to Buyer. The net proceeds received by the

The amounts paid to Child 1, Child 2, and Child 3 for their

of the contemplated transaction will be less than \$15,000,000, and the amount received by him for intellectual property (apart from his partnership interest) will be considerably less than \$15,000,000. The amount received by the Foundation for the intellectual property and copyright interests it will be selling

read - 1-8

period ending March 31, 1996, for the XYZ partnership shows assets of approximately \$5,150,000. The most recent balance sheet for Corporation A (for the period ending March 31, 1996) shows assets of approximately \$1,660,000 (inclusive of Corporation A's interest in XYZ). No new regularly prepared

It is my understanding that all of the transactions are being structured in the manner described above for bona fide

the transactions involved are being determined on a good faith

that the contemplated transactions are not essentially for the

satisfy the size of transaction test (even if a transfer of assets from one entity controlled by Dad to a partnership

o The cancellation of the royalty agreement between Dad and the XYZ partnership is not a reportable transaction because it does not represent an acquisition of assets.

o The donations to the Foundation will be exempt from reporting as gifts under 16 C.F.R. § 802.71.

o Since, at the time of the sale to Buyer, neither the Foundation nor any other person or entity will have a majority interest in XYZ -- either in its profits or in its assets upon dissolution -- XYZ should be treated as its own ultimate parent entity with respect to the Sale to Buyer, and therefore as the acquired person. The sale by the Foundation, Dad and the children of their respective interests in XYZ will not be reportable because XYZ does not satisfy the size-of-person test, on the basis of a consolidation of its most recent regularly prepared balance sheet and the assets it is acquiring from Corporation A as reflected on Corporation A's most recent regularly prepared balance sheet.

~~The sale by Dad to Buyer of his remaining intellectual~~

~~The sales by the children of their minority partnership~~

understand it, their sales of minority partnership interests would not in themselves be reportable in any event.

o The sale by the Foundation of its minority partnership interest in XYZ is not considered an acquisition of an asset for HSR purposes, and the sale by the Foundation of its intellectual property and copyright interests would not satisfy the size of transaction test.

Victor Cohen
May 30, 1996
Page 4

~~Thank you for your cooperation in helping me work through~~
the HSR implications of this series of transactions. If you have
any concern about the soundness of my conclusions, please let me
know as soon as possible. ~~The parties subject to~~

Sincerely,

