

March 24, 1998

<u>Via Hand Delivery</u>	.2 "
Federal Trade Commission Premerger Notification Office	98 Piji
Bureau of Competition	F <u>2</u> <u>vi</u> (, i,
(Attn: Richard Smith, Esq.)	<u> </u>
7th & Permsylvania Avenue, N.W.	
Room 303	-
Washington, D.C. 20580	ن ــو

Pitb. L. 94-455, 90 Siat. (590 (1976) (coduled as amended at 15 U.S.C. Sec. 18A) (the "HSR Act") to Pending Transaction.

Deag Mr. Smith:

On behalf of our client, a party to a pending transaction (as described in this letter, the "Transaction"), we are writing to ascertain whether the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") concurs with our analysis that the Transaction is exempt from the filing requirements of the HSR Act under 16 C.F.R. §802.1. The current §802.1 was adopted in 1996 as a clarification and expansion of the prior "ordinary course of business" exemption. Within the revised section, however, certain terms are not defined and there is presently little publicty available guidance on how that section should be applied in various instances other than what may be discerned from reading the various examples which are provided following the text of the regulation.

A. The Parties: The following parties are participating in the Transaction:

"Airline A", which is an "ultimate parent entity," as defined by 16 C.Γ.R. §801.1(b)(3), and has total assets exceeding \$100 million. Airline A will be the "acquired person" in the Transaction. The core business of Airline A is to run a commercial passenger airline and as a necessary part of that business. Airline A regularly and commutally acquires,

various vacation periods, with lower passenger traffic during other periods of the year.

Leasing Company B ("LeaseCo B"), is an "ultimate parent entity," as defined by 16 C.F.R. §801.1(b)(3), and has total assets exceeding \$100 million. LeaseCo B is primarily engaged in the financing and management of commercial jet aircraft engines, components and spare parts for both airlines and overhaul/repair facilities, worldwide. As a vendor,

anctant manashy, menuring to Haring A. Leaseco B has perchased used anctant on prior occasions to be "cannibalized" for their engines, components and other parts for use in its business. LeaseCo B does not earry passengers or freight, and it has no revenues in the SIC code related thereto.

B. The Transaction. Airline A and LeaseCo B have entered into a letter of intent under which LeaseCo B shall acquire from Airline A 12 commercial aircraft, each with 4 aircraft engines of a specific type. The aggregate purchase price for the 12 aircraft

and return each certificate of airworthiness or airframe dataplate to Airline A. Each engine will be delivered "as-is" with the engine dataplate, without any specified remaining useful life for the most limiting life-limited internal engine component. Each aircraft will be delivered to LeaseCo B on a date following the specified retirement date for such aircraft, provided that Airline A can adjust the retirement date for the purpose of its use of the aircraft in its passenger carrying operations. The first two aircraft are scheduled for delivery in April 1998, and the last aircraft, in December 2000.

with the purchase price being reduced in that event by approximately 55 million per aircran, with Airline A having the option to sell to LeaseCo B either or both of the retained aircraft at a reduced purchase price, depending upon the date of Airline A's exercise of its option to require LeaseCo B to buy such aircraft.

The 12 paircraft being sold to LeaseCo B were built during the 1970's. Airline A has contracted to acquire a fleet of new lateral aircraft, which are bigger and more reliable than the older. Airline A has already begun taking delivery of the laircraft. After each new aircraft is delivered to Airline A, such aircraft will be put through appropriate testing by Airline A prior to being placed in service in passenger operations. As those aircraft are brought on-line, the aircraft being sold to LeaseCo B will be retired, with retirement dates being adjusted, if necessary, due to the passenger-load requirements of the season. During the time period beginning six months prior to the delivery of the first to LeaseCo B and ending six months after the delivery of the

last, Airline A expects to take possession of 11 new Airline A took possession of a 12th seven months prior to the delivery of the first to LeaseCo B.

Airline A will not support cornect its calcabiled flights as discontinue carries to some

is used in 5002.1 of the FIC 8 foles.

Following its acquisition of each aircraft. LeaseCo B will "cannibalize" the aircraft to recover the engines, components and other parts for use in its business. Some of the engines will be refurbished and leased or sold by LeaseCo B or a subsidiary to airlines and overhaul/repair facilities. More than half of the engines are not expected to be in acceptable condition for refurbishment. These engines will be cannibalized as spare parts and

disposed of by LeaseCo B or its subsidiaries for further salvage or recycling.

The refurbished engines, spare parts and components will not be sold or leased by

<u>ang mananan dalah dalah</u>

Based upon the facts as set forth above and our review of §802.1, we believe that exemptions under §802.1 are available on the bases set forth below.

1. Exemption under §802.1(c), "Current Supplies". The acquisition of the aircraft by LeaseCo B is an acquisition of current supplies in the ordinary course of business.

specifically "goods acquired and held solely for the purpose of resale or leasing to an entity not within the acquiring person (e.g., inventory), and "goods acquired to be incorporated in

Leased to a spare parts obstites of that may be installed in about origins to be leased in a spare a Plantanian Visionary. The name of the last of the classical will be an exactled a

parts and components will not be sold or leased to any entity included within LeaseCo B for Tuse" by such entity.

Consequently, the exemption available in §802.1(c), which focuses on the "acquiring person," LeaseCo B, is available to LeaseCo B with respect to its purchase of aircraft from Airline A for use in LeaseCo B's jet aircraft engines, components and spare parts business.

2. Exemption under §802.1(d), "Used Durable Goods". As mentioned above, the sireraft being acquired by LeaseCo B do not comprise an "operating unit" of Airline A. The aircraft do not, as operating aircraft, comprise "durable goods" because the certificates

the returnished engines with be expected to exceed one year, alreedy quantitying as authorize goods under \$802.1(d). Other components and parts of the aircraft will also comprise "durable goods" with a useful life of greater than one year. The engines, components and parts are being accorded by TapacCo. It for the engines of leading to employ a minimum of the engine of the engi

Furthermore, as explained above, Airline A has already contracted to replace, and is replacing, the aircraft being sold to LeaseCo B. It is not canceling flights nor discontinuing services to particular locations because, with prudent corporate planning, the new replacement aircraft will be received, flight-checked and placed in service prior to or at the time the old aircraft are retired from service. Under §802.1(d)(3) the acquired person must have replaced "all or substantially all of the productive capacity of the goods being sold within six months of that sale" Based on example 9 following §802.1, the phrase "within six months" appears to mean that the replacement property must be received by the acquired person and placed in service during the period beginning six months prior to the retirement of the old property and ending six-months following such retirement. In the present instance, there is a time period during which the old aircraft are being retired, and a locater since a mind that the calculation of the context in the period during which the old aircraft are being retired.

last, Airline A expects to take possession of 11 new Although Airline A took possession of a 12th server months prior to the delivery of the first month to Leane Co B, during the sale period and the six menth "window" before and after such period, there is not a one-for-one matching between the replacement aircraft and the retired aircraft.

capacity" so that no diminishment shall occur, the Transaction qualifies for exemption under $\S SO2.1(d)(3)$.

We will telephone you soon to confirm that you received this letter and to inquire whether you need any additional facts to ascertain whether the Premerger Notification Office agrees with our conclusion that the Transaction is exempt under §802.1. In the meantime, if you have any questions, please telephone me at my number set forth above. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Very truly yours

consider the making of used airphanes and the transaction is a special tender that the short tender that the special requirements of 802.1(d) have been met. (TH and ME technical requirements of 802.1(d) have been met. (TH and ME course in their result).