F16/11/1 - - - 1



FRITH:





June 11, 1998

Richard B. Smith, Esq. Premerger Notification Office Federal Trade Commission Sixth & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Dick:

would configur that по many is required.

Background

Company A, a corporation that is a \$100 million person, formed a wholly-owned subsidiary ("Sub") and contributed to it a line of business. Subsequently, Company A sold a 20% equity interest in Sub to Company B, also a corporation that is also a substant property of the formed to the first part of the first property of the formed to the HSR Act, fillings were made, and the waiting period expired without the issuance of a second

form of meterred stock).

objectives. In order to achieve their accounting objectives, Company A and Company B contributed their respective interests in Sub to a newly formed partnership (the "Partnership"). Because a partnership does not issue voting securities, no HSR filing was required under 801.40.





Richard B. Smith, Esq. June 11, 1998 Page 2

To further complicate matters, Company A and Company B later determined that a limited liability company would be preferable to a partnership as a vehicle for holding the Sub stock and achieving the parties' accounting objectives. Accordingly, Company A and Company B formed a limited liability company (the "LLC") by directing the Partnership to contribute their respective interests in Sub to the LLC. Because the LLC did not issue voting securities, no HSR filing was required under 801.40.

The terms of the LLC agreement are unique and are tailored to meet the parties'

- 1. Right to Vote. Company A retain the right to direct the voting of the common
- Right to Dividends. All common stock dividends are passed through to Company A and all preferred stock dividends are passed through to Company B.
- 3. <u>Risk of Gain or Loss</u>. All proceeds from the disposition of the common stock are passed through to Company A. All proceeds from the disposition of the

to control the disposition of the preferred stock. The disposition by either Company of its interest in Sub can only be achieved by disposition of that company's interest in the LLC, which is subject to a right of first refusal in favor of first, the LLC and second, the other member of the LLC. The LLC

Company A and Company R now wish to dissolve their relationship and have

time transaction has not been determined our court inverse. (1) as acquistion



Richard B. Smith, Esq. June 11, 1998 Page 3

sale of the preferred stock by Company B to Company A; or (3) some other transaction or transactions that will result in Company A holding 100% of the outstanding voting securities of Sub.

HSR Analysis

I am sacking confirmation that the transaction described above will not be reportable regardless of its form.

In Scenario #1 above, the acquisition of Company B's interest in the LLC by Company A should not be reportable. Because the LLC interests are not assets or voting securities for HSR purposes, their acquisition is not reportable. By virtue of the acquisition from Company B, Company A will hold 100% of the outstanding LLC interests which is deemed to be an acquisition of the assets of LLC. This asset acquisition is potentially a reportable event. In this case, however, because (1) the only assets of the LLC are voting securities of Sub and (2) Company A is already deemed to hold 100% of the outstanding voting securities of Sub by virtue of its 80% controlling interest in the LLC, the deemed asset acquisition should be exempt pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 18a(c)(3).

§ 802.21 since Company B will not be exceeding the notification threshold for which it was cleared less than five years earlier. Alternatively, Company A and Company B's acquisition of Sub stock in the dissolution could be regarded as not even subject to the HSR Act. Given the unique structure of the LLC and the partnership that preceded it, the transfer of the Sub common and preferred stock to the Partnership and later the LLC should not be regarded as divesting Company A and Company B respectively of beneficial expectation of their company and preferred stock in terms.

Company A is regarded as maintaining beneficial ownership of its 80% equity interest in Sub and Company B is regarded as maintaining beneficial ownership of its 20% equity interest in Sub, then the dissolution of the LLC will not treatent the homefact.

Richard B. Smith, Esq. June 11, 1998 Page 4

if you could confirm the analysis above. In the meantime, I would be happy to provide

Best regards.



6/12/98 - Writer conformed that I he formation

LLC, it is deemed to hold 106% of the world on the 20% of and is deling the surface of the entire LLC is the LLC would be one regardle to the Same Bolled South surface of Sales noting that of the 15% threshold a breaked surface of Sales noting the eyear after Same (confirmed by writer) and the theory writer) to could are 80% of the extensity within four years of the original and the 25% three Cold within the great of the original with water for the case had been breaked for the surface of the case had been breaked for the surface of the case had been breaked for the surface of the case had been breaked on the original for the case had been addressed for the surface of these choices of the case had been breaked on the original for the case had been breaked on the case of the case had been breaked on the surface of the case had been breaked on the case of the case of the case of the case had been breaked on the case of the case of