

February 4, 1999

SENT VIA PACSIMILE TO NO.: 202-326-2624

Richard B. Smith, Esquire
Premerger Notification Office
Bureau of Competition
Pederal Trade Commission
Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington DC 20580

Deer Mr. Smith:

This inquiry seeks the staff's determination of who is the ultimate parent emity, an individual stockholder in a corporation, a voting must, or the corporation itself. The corporation is selling approximately 10% of its assets for each, so the determination is needed primarily for technical reasons, not because the contents of the acquired person's FISR report would be present the contents of the acquired person's FISR report would be

The corporation, an S corporation for tax purposes, has outstanding 1,000 shares of common stock, 990 of which are designated as non-voting and only 10 as voting. Other than voting rights the shares are identical. The 10 voting shares were issued to father, and the 990 con-voting shares are in six trusts for the children of his six adult sons, 165 shares in each trust. Pather did not establish these trusts and has no interest in them.

Father entered a Voting Trust Agreement with his sons with respect to the voting stock.

which might suggest a reversional interest in father. The agreement also provides, however, that its terms may be extended by a written notice signed by a majority of the trustees. As stated, the six sons' family trusts among them have 99% of the beneficial interest in the corporation represented by the 990 non-voting shares. The sons' economic interests are expected to cause them to vote to continue the ten voting shares in the voting trust.

Richard B. Smith, Esquire February 4, 1999 Page 2

As you know, HSR regulation 801.1(c)(5)-(5) provides, in effect, that with certain exceptions a trust, itself, rather than the settler, trustee, or beneficiaries, "holds" stock in the trust. Among the exceptions are:

(4) The assets and voting securities constituting the corpus of a revocable trust or the corpus of an trevocable trust in which the settlor(s) retain(s) a

ì...

continuation of the voting trust in the sons' discretion it seems to us that the father, having only one vote as finished out of seven, might not be the UPE of the corporation, though he does retain

Please let me know whether we should treat the father, the voting cost, or the corporation as UPE. Thank you very much.

Singerely there

10 slaves of voting stockto trust studies to spirit for time years will stock the travert backto quarter , i.e., Rather Thinks

must file at the acquired person.