802.2(0)



June 10, 1999

VIA FACSIMILE

An Mar Par Par II

150 William Street, Suite 1300 New York, NY 10038

Re: Unproductive Real Property Exemption

16 C.F.R. § 802.2(c)(1)

Dear Melea:

I am writing to confirm our conversations of the last few days regarding the

sale of timberland property. We are seeking confirmation for purposes of the current transaction and for purposes of drafting general guidelines for future transactions.


It is you understanding that the University Roal Respects assumed in excession

supproductive real property, such as timberland, where the real property has not generated total revenues in excess of \$5 million during the 36-months preceding the acquisition involves multiple parcels of real property or a single parcel of real property. If the acquisition involves multiple parcels of real property, the \$5 million test is applied to each parcel and not in the aggregate. After considering 16 C.F.R. § 802.2(c)(2), the value of all non-exempt parcels (i.e. all parcels exceeding the



how they are defined, as a general rule, the Pre-merger Notification Office now applies a black and white test: if the real estate is contiguous it will be treated as a single parcel for purposes of applying the \$5 million test; if the real estate is not contiguous (i.e. it is separated by other unproductive real property, a road, river or similar line of demarcation), the property will be treated as two separate parcels for purposes of the \$5 million test and the revenues will not be aggregated.

Thank you for your assistance in helping us to clarify the application of this exemption,



Confirmed with the author that this iso current PNO policy —