WEWSEVSDUM

August 13, 1999

TO: Mic

To the same of the same of

Michael Verne

FROM:

RE;

The second

Partnership Roll-Ups

This memorandum confirms our telephone conversations earlier today regarding the HSR Act implications of certain transactions that result in an ultimate parent entity ("UPE") indirectly holding 100% of the interests of a partnership or limited liability company ("LLC").

made the Decembers Matification Officein molicies the

thus, a filing is required if a UPE directly (or indirectly through symptotic controls) addites that it controls) holds 99% of a partnership. You advised me, however, that as an exception to the policy, a filing is not required if any part of the 100% interest in the partnership or IDC is held by another partnership or IDC that is not wholly-owned by the UPE or corporate entities that it controls. Thus, no filing is required if a UPE directly holds a 99% interest in Partnership B, which directly holds a 99% interest in Partnership C, and the UPE acquires the remaining 1% of Partnership C directly, or indirectly through corporate

entity holds 100% of all partnerships and LLCs between itself or

You agreed that this conclusion is seemingly.

50% or greater interest, but confirmed that this conclusion is consistent with the Premerger Notification Office's current

position regarding the acquisition of a partnership or limited liability interest.

Please confirm that the foregoing is consistent with our conversation and the Premerger Notification Office's current view.

cc:

AGREE THAT THIS IS OUR CURLUT PESSETSAND.

RISMITH CONCURS.

BIRTAR