notification and report form with the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice. We have determined that the transaction, as currently structured, meets the size-of-transaction test under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (the "Act") and the rules promulgated pursuant to the Act (the "Rules"). We are more uncertain of the proper analysis under the size-of-person test under Scotion 7A(a)(2) of the Act, and would like some guidance in this area. What follows is a brief summary of the entities involved, as well as our analysis of the

The acquiring person in the proposed transaction ("Party Λ ") is a limited partnership with

مرين ما مرين المرين المرين

consummation of the proposed transaction with Party B. In its most recent financial statements, Party C had annual net sales in excess of \$100 million. The issue, therefore, is whether the ownership of the convertible shares of Party C by Party A requires Party A to include Party C's net sales information in its calculations under the Act for purposes of applying the size-of-person

test. If Party A is required to include such net sales data, then the size-of-person threshold will be

met and a premerger notification filing would most likely be necessary.

Our size-of-person analysis begins with the definition of "control" in Section 801.1(b) of the Rules. Under that definition, a person has control of an entity if it holds 50% or more of the constanding voting securities of an issuer. The term "noting securities" is defined under Section 801.1(f) of the Rules to mean "any securities which at present or upon conversion entitle the owner or holder thereof to vote for the election of directors of the issuer, ..." (emphasis supplied). It would appear that based solely upon the definitions under Section 801.1 of the

statements, the annual not sales and total assets must be recomputed to include the nonduplicative annual not sales and total assets of each such entity. Since, based on the Section 801.1(h) definition of "control" Party A controls Party C, Section 8.01.11(h) of the Rules would seem to

In conducting our analysis, however, we have come across two Statements of Basis and Purpose ("S.B.P.s") which lead us to conclude that Party A need not include Party C's annual net sales for purpose of its size-of-person calculations. The first S.B.P. was published in 1978, and it

person's last regularly prepared balance sheet, for purposes of the size-of-person test of Section 7A(a)(2)." 43 Fed. Reg. 33,450, 33,462 (1978). This implies that the ownership of convertible securities does not affect the size-of-person test, except to the extent ownership of such securities may increase the size of that person's assets as shown on its last regularly prepared balance sheet.

and states that only those securities that give the holder of the securities the *present* right to vote those securities are to be included in the calculations. Section 801.12(b) of the Rules also states, however, that it is to be applied "Whenever the act or these rules require calculation of the percentage of voting securities of an issuer to be held or acquired..." (emphasis supplied), which seems to indicate that Section 801.12(b) does not apply to presently-held voting security calculations made for the size-of-person test. Nevertheless, the 1987 S.B.P. clearly states that, "Section 801.12(b) sets out a formula by which persons are to calculate the percentage of votion

holding the shares..." Id.

If Section 801.12(b) does apply to calculations of voting securities percentages for purposes of determining the size-of-person test, it would appear that Party A does not need to include its ownership of Party C's preferred securities because it does not presently have the right to vote such securities, and therefore Party A does not have "control" of Party C. Since Party A does not control Party C it is not necessary under Section 801.11(b) of the Rules to recalculate Party A's most recent regularly prepared balance sheet to include Party C's net sales information, which in turn means that Party A and Party B fail to meet the size-of-person test and no premerger notification filing is required.

Please call either or or with your comments to our analysis as soon as possible. Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter.

Applies The Court That every in The second contention of the A contingence of the that contingent to designate transfer a precision of the precisions of the transfer to designate transfer constitution to designate of the transfer that the presidence of the transfer that the content is the transfer the transfer the transfer that the transfer the transfer the transfer that the transfer the transfer the transfer that the transfer that the transfer the transfer that the transfer th

Bmchleden - 8/19/99