



October 29, 1999

Mr. Patrick Sharpe
Compliance Specialist
Premerger Notification Office
Bureau of Competition
Room 303
Federal Trade Commission
Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20580

Re: Request for Interpretation

Dear Mr. Sharpe:

TANKER DIMITERATION CONTINUES

The first proposed scenario involves an asset acquisition in which as part of the assets acquired, the acquiring entity will assume the obligation to perform maintenance contracts, which were prepaid by customers of the selling entity who desired continuing maintenance on items purchased by the customers of the selling entity in the ordinary course of business. In other words, the acquiring entity will assume the liability to perform the services under the maintenance contracts. As I mentioned in our conversation, the contracts are currently a line item on the balance sheet of the selling entity as deferred revenue. Essentially, the selling satiry has received the money up front, and the acquiring entity will now be assuming the obligations thereunder on an ongoing basis. You advised that when the acquiring entity "stands in the shoes" of the selling entity, assuming the obligation to perform the contract, the contract is a wash and has zero (0) value for purposes of the Act in determining value under the size-of-the-transaction test. Therefore, the assumption of the liability under the maintenance contracts by the acquiring entity has zero (0) value for determining

014

20.7

American

4

Mr. Patrick Sharpe October 29, 1999 Page 2

Nonexclusive Intellectual Property Licenses

4. 44×24	The same of the sa
A61	
	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
Control of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of	Early Street and Transfer water Secondarial F.
in and a second	. ===
determination of non-exclusivity; the Licens	sor's retained rights would be sufficient to constitute the
CARC MOST BOX **	
Ideatolanist	real transcence of companies with a second control of the control
of the heense or not at all, depending on	use by the Licensee. You advised that because the
	<u> </u>
	se could become exclusive once the royalty amount was portable at that time if the relevant tests are satisfied.
Thank you again for your advice and	d assistance: it was very helpful. In order to confirm the
· E- <u>0</u>	-
modifications, please contact me s	Thank you again for your assistance in this
. 0	-
Outled The	Sincerely,
10/29/44	
T concor with the conclusions of	

Mr. Patrick Sharpe October 29, 1999 Page 3

I hereby confirm that the foregoing accurately represents the facts as provided to me and the advice given by me regarding Hart-Scott-Rodino premarger notification compliance.

Patrick Sharpe Compliance Specialist Premerger Notification Office Federal Trade Commission