¹ Computation of the time for appeal should be calculated from the date you receive the original by express mail. In accordance with the provisions of 16 C.F.R.§ 2.7(f), the timely filing

See Fed. Trade Comm'n v. Invention Submission Corp.

conducting a law enforcement investigation of the onduct of DRUSA and the etitioners. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(b)(4), the strayvisions of 11 U.S.C. § 362(a) do not per the FTC from exercising its policenal regulatory duties as angrency of the government of the United States regarding the conduct of DRUSA. Furthermore, Petitioners are not themselves in bankrupter, and are not entitled to another protections of the Pankrupter Code. In short, the Commission's continuing investing in DRUSA's attivities, including taking testimony from Petitioners, will not violate any provisions of the Pankrupter Code.

II. CONCLUSION AND ORDER

For all the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED THAT the Petition be, and it hereby is, DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Petitioners shall complywith the CDs on the following dates: Mr. Reilly on January 19, 2010; Mr. Wojcik on January 20, 2010; and Mr. Bell on January 21, 2010.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark Secreary

to ask the "FTC to protect their sesets." Id. Neither the timing or scope of notice provided to DRUSA's creditors by the bankuptcyclerk nor the source of any complaints that make alerted the Commissin to possible law violations is relevant to the solvetion of this Petition.