

Office of the Secretary

June 21, 2012

BY E-MAIL AND COURIER DELIVERY

Stephen F. Fusco, Esq.
LabMD
2030 Powers Ferry Drive
Building 500, Suite 520
Atlanta, GA 30339
sfusco@labmd.org

Commissioner Rosch generally agrees with the Commission's decision to enforce the CIDs, but dissents from this ruling to the extent it permits staff to rely on a LabMD document found on a peer-to-peer file sharing network, out of concern about petitioners' allegations that a third party located this document through wrongdoing and for financially-motivated reasons. In this ruling, we make no findings of fact regarding that third party's conduct or the admissibility of this document, nor do we need to do so. In upholding the CIDs, the Commission allows staff to continue to use pertinent information—including information from or concerning any LabMD documents made available to users of peer-to-peer file-sharing networks and accessed by any third party—to conduct its data security investigation. Indeed, in our data security investigations, the Commission often uses information obtained by third parties concerning security vulnerabilities of entities that maintain substantial amounts of personal information. Although we understand petitioners have alleged that the third party in question has a financial incentive to use its patented monitoring tool to find information that has been improperly disclosed on peer-to-peer file sharing networks, that does not overcome the Commission's compelling public interest in seeking to protect consumers' sensitive health data by pursuing this investigation through all lawful means, including the use of this document.

The April 25, 2012 request for full Commission review also requested a hearing on the denial of the petitions. The FTC Rule governing petitions to quash or limit, 16 C.F.R. § 2.7, does not provide for such a hearing, however, and accordingly, this request will be denied.

For the forgoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED THAT the April 20, 2012 letter ruling is **AFFIRMED**;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT LabMD's and Mr. Daugherty's request for a hearing is **DENIED**;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Commission staff may reschedule the investigational hearings of LabMD and Michael J. Daugherty at such dates and times as they may direct in writing, in accordance with the powers delegated to them by 16 C.F.R. § 2.9(b)(6)(2012); and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT all other resp108 6CommAT thistaiT015 gTjupesp108cifsc