

Retrospectives on Merger Policy: A Survey

John Kwoka
Northeastern University

Third Annual Federal Trade Commission &
Northwestern University Microeconomics Conference

Introduction

- Merger policy retrospectives are attracting much recent interest
 - Understandable as economists seek to refine application of policy
- Yet retrospectives not entirely new
 -

Objective

- Yet those studies are both more and less inclusive than I think desirable
 - To understand why, need to specify objective clearly
- My objective is to evaluate merger policy by assessing outcomes of actual mergers in cases that rise to some level of competitive concern
- Thus: Not all mergers
 - Not studies of “average merger effect”
 - Not all studies
- But: All sound evaluations of specific mergers in US
 - Including some “semi mergers”—joint ventures and airline code shares

Direction of the Project

- Much remains to be done:
 - More studies
 - Quality control
 - Methodological issues
 - Selection issues
- And most importantly, linking each to merger policy actions
 - Most of these are consummated mergers where either FTC or DOJ raised an objection
 - Or case was widely seen as a close call
 - Examining basis for resolution of each case

Retrospective on Potential Competition

- One of these studies is my own, with Evgenia Shumilkina, forthcoming in the Journal of Industrial Economics
- The focus is on markets—routes—nowhere both carriers were incumbents, but where one was an incumbent and the other a potential entrant
- Interesting economic question is whether the elimination of a potential entrant relaxed the competitive constraint, allowing greater pricing power by the incumbent—even though incumbent concentration did not change

Eliminating Potential Competition

- We found about 1400 markets where either USAir or Piedmont was an incumbent and the other a potential entrant by this definition
- No previous study has looked specifically at the effects of eliminating a potential competitor
- Eliminating a potential competitor resulted in a 5.6 percent price increase

Conclusion

- Renewed interest in retrospectives and more careful thinking about methodology allows
 - reexamining past mergers for price effects
 - examining new and different effects—potential competition, service quality, etc.
- Hope is that these studies might thereby improve and influence policy