

Merger Simulation Research: Knowns and Unknowns

Aviv Nevo

Northwestern University

FTC Microeconomic Conference

- Offer a discussion of some issues in the research of merger simulation and merger retrospectives

- Offer a discussion of some issues in the research of merger simulation and merger retrospectives
- Focus is on research and not policy

- Offer a discussion of some issues in the research of merger simulation and merger retrospectives
- Focus is on research and not policy
- Hope to set up some of the discussion in the following panel

Merger Simulation

- A merger simulation is the use on a (economic) model to simulate the likely effect of a merger

Merger Simulation

- A merger simulation is the use on a (economic) model to simulate the likely effect of a merger
- The key here is what we mean by a (economic) model

Merger Simulation

- A merger simulation is the use on a (economic) model to simulate the likely effect of a merger
- The key here is what we mean by a (economic) model
- Often refer to a narrow de...nition of merger simulation

Merger Simulation

- A merger simulation is the use on a (economic) model

Merger Simulation

- A merger simulation is the use on a (economic) model to simulate the likely effect of a merger
- The key here is what we mean by a (economic) model
- Often refer to a narrow de...nition of merger simulation
 -

Merger Retrospective

- A merger retrospective is a measurement exercise of evaluating the effects of a consummated merger ex-post.

Merger Retrospective

- A merger retrospective is a measurement exercise of evaluating the effects of a consummated merger ex-post.
- Why do an ex-post study?

Merger Retrospective

- A merger retrospective is a measurement exercise of evaluating the effects of a consummated merger ex-post.
- Why do an ex-post study?
 - to learn about the actual effect of merger

Merger Retrospective

- A merger retrospective is a measurement exercise of evaluating the effects of a consummated merger ex-post.
- Why do an ex-post study?
 - to learn about the actual effect of merger
 - to generate a prediction of the likely effect of future mergers (the measurement exercise becomes a model)
 - to test models of merger simulation
- Issues in the analysis:

Merger Retrospective

- A merger retrospective is a measurement exercise of evaluating the effects of a consummated merger ex-post.
- Why do an ex-post study?
 - to learn about the actual effect of merger
 - to generate a prediction of the likely effect of future mergers (the measurement exercise becomes a model)
 - to test models of merger simulation
-

Merger Retrospective

How Well Does Merger Simulation Perform?

- Most of the evidence is for the narrow definition
- Overall results mixed
- Example the acquisition of Chex by GM
 - simulation (Nevo. 2000)
 - retrospective (Ashenfelter and Hosken, 2008)
- On average, merger simulation gets the right answer
 - simulation: 2% price increase for major involved brands (Chex, Cheerios, Wheaties)
 - retrospective: 3% increase for these brands

Example (cont.)

- However, patterns across brands do not match well
- Chex prices:
 - simulation: predicted to have a large increase (12% w/o cost savings)
 -

What can explain the differences?

- Problems with the simulation
 - demand estimates
 - price setting model
 - other factors: cost reductions, promotional activities, new products, etc.
- Problems with the retrospective
 - control group
 - event window
 - data measurement problems
- Think of what we need to rationalize numbers: (very!) large costs savings and mis-measured cross price elasticities (what about other brands, say Kellogg?)

Decomposing the effects

Peters (2006)

- Examines merger simulation of airline mergers in the 1980s.
- Finds that the merger simulations fail to predict accurately price changes in several of the mergers.
- Explores the sources of the errors in the simulations (changes in product offerings, shifts in demand, or changes in behavior).

Are merger simulations hopeless?

- What is the alternative? How do alternative approaches perform
 - evidence from Peters (2006)
- Everyone likes to complain about demand estimation
 - little evidence to support this claim
 - reminds me a bit of the joke about the drunk looking for the keys under the light ...
 - merger retrospective are a very bad/inefficient way to test demand models
 - some recent ...eld experiments.
- In most cases we need to continue to rely on simulation (in the wider sense) so we need to improve our models

Future direction for research

- Demand estimation:
 - dynamics
 - better more flexible models
 - IVs: weak and invalid
- Supply model:
 - non-price effects
 - dynamics
 - coordinated effects
- Policy issues:
 - uncertainty