OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT PROCEEDING

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

TITLE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE WORKSHOP

PLACE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION CONNERS



1	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	
2		
3		
4	INDEX	
5		
б	OPENING REMARKS	PAGE
7	BY CHAIRMAN MAJORAS	5
8	BY ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL BARNETT	17
9		
10		
11	PANEL	PAGE
12	Number 1	28
13	Number 2	82
14	Number 3	129
15	Number 4	169
16	Number 5	225
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	
2	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	
6	A CONFERENCE ON
7	CHARTING THE FUTURE COURSE OF
8	INTERNATIONAL TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE
9	
10	Sponsored by the Federal Trade Commission
11	And The Department of Justice
12	
13	
14	FTC CONFERENCE CENTER
15	601 NEW JERSEY AVENUE, N.W.
16	WASHINGTON, D.C.
17	FEBRUARY 6, 2008
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	MR. TRITELL: Good morning, everyone. Thanks
4	for joining us. I'm Randy Tritell, director of the
5	FTC's Office of International Affairs, and it's my
6	pleasure to welcome you to the Federal Trade Commission
7	for today's workshop co-sponsored by the FTC and the
8	Department of Justice's Antitrust Division on the future
9	of our international technical assistance program.
10	This is a very important topic for us at the
11	agencies and presumably for you, and we think this
12	workshop comes at a particularly timely moment to
13	address this topic.
14	Before we start, it's my job to cover a few
15	housekeeping matters, so bear with me as I ask you
16	to please turn off your cell phones, BlackBerries and
17	other devices. You can take your calls outside in the
18	lobby.
19	You'll find the rest rooms outside across the
20	conference center double doors, you'll see the signs.
21	Third, in the unlikely event of the building alarm, just
22	proceed calmly and quickly as instructed. You'll be
23	asked to leave through the main entrance and gather off
24	to the right near the curb.
25	I would like to take a moment to thank a few of

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 the people who made this all possible today:

Principally, from the Department of Justice, Anne
Purcell White, and from the Federal Trade Commission,
Jim Hamill, and I would also like to recognize from the
FTC the work of Carrie McLaughlin, Ruth Sacks and Mary
Anne Radebach, and from the Department of Justice, Katie
Cho, Keith Butler and Nancy Olsen.

8 We're going to have a lunch break of one hour. 9 There's a list of nearby dining options in your workshop 10 folders. You're welcome to bring lunch back with you. 11 I realize it's a short time, but recognize that it as 12 well as you will have to go through our electronic 13 screening and magnetometer.

In addition to the materials in your folder, there are some papers back on the table outside, and your folders include some biographical information about our speakers so we'll dispense with lengthy introductions so we can use our time for our substantive discussions.

20 So with that in mind, let's get started, and it 21 is my pleasure and honor to introduce our opening 22 speakers. We'll hear first from Federal Trade 23 Commission Chairman Deborah Platt Majoras, and following 24 Chairman Majoras' remarks, we're going to hear from 25 Assistant Attorney General Tom Barnett.

1 CHAIRMAN MAJORAS: Good morning, and welcome to 2 the Federal Trade Commission. We are here today to 3 explore a topic that we have never publicly explored in 4 depth before: the role of the United States, and its 5 two antitrust agencies in particular, in helping ensure that the spread of antitrust and consumer law and policy б 7 is implemented in a way that maximizes consumer welfare. When properly fulfilled, these laws and policies benefit 8 9 not only the consumers in the countries where antitrust and consumer laws are being newly applied, but also 10 global commerce and, ultimately all of the world's 11 12 Since the early 1990s, the FTC and the consumers. Antitrust Division of the United States Department of 13 14 Justice (DOJ) have operated a joint program to actively 15 share our experience with newer agencies. With the antitrust and consumer protection worlds having changed 16 17 significantly since then, and with public interest in our program having increased, I thought it was time to 18 19 take a look at where we have been and where we ought to 20 be going. Thank you for being here to participate in this examination. I am especially grateful to our 21 panelists, particularly those who traveled to be here 22 23 with us.

The American experience with technical assistance probably began not in the 1990s but in the

21tTD0.00in the

1 1890s, not long after the ink dried on President 2 Harrison's signature on the Sherman Act. With no world 3 experience to light the way, we embarked on what might 4 charitably be called an active experiment in 5 trial-and-error to get a handle on how we should use our б antitrust laws to protect competitive markets and our 7 consumer protection laws to ensure that consumers may freely choose among the fruits of a competitive market. 8 9 Looking back, we amassed a fairly rich trove of mistakes to learn from, as well as more than a few successes. 10 Because of our nation's commitment to a competitive, 11 12 free market economy, we persevered, learned from our 13 mistakes, and ultimately built a system that serves our 14 consumers well.

15 Let's jump ahead to 1989, when the Berlin Wall came down. At that time, only about two dozen countries 16 17 had any sort of antitrust law. In the following few years, most of the new market economies of Central and 18 19 Eastern Europe passed competition laws. With economies reeling in the aftershocks of decades of communism, they 20 needed to learn how to effectively employ this new 21 22 instrument to help develop and support markets that work 23 for consumers.

24 Our program of technical assistance had its 25 roots in those days. The FTC and DOJ, recognizing the

1 potential for competition law and policy reform from the 2 beginning, proposed jointly that the U.S. Agency for 3 International Development (USAID) fund an ambitious 4 program of assistance for the new antitrust agencies of 5 Poland, what was then called Czechoslovakia, Hungary, and other nations in that region. Under the leadership б 7 of Assistant Attorney General Jim Rill, who I am pleased is with us today, and my late predecessor, Janet 8 9 Steiger, our program was inaugurated in 1990 at a meeting in Prague with President Vaclav Havel. 10 Soon thereafter, teams of long-term and short-term advisors 11 12 were dispatched to the region, with our first two advisors going to Poland. Both are still with our 13 14 agencies: Jay Creswell of the FTC Bureau of Economics, 15 who is here today, and DOJ's Craig Conrath, who is also here and from whom you will hear later this morning. 16 We 17 remained active in that region until 2004, by which time the European Commission had picked up the torch. 18 19 Alberto Heimler, Director of the Research and International Relations Directorate of the Italian 20 Competition Authority, is an old friend who's here 21 22 today, and he will tell us more about that later today. 23 Since then, our program has expanded to Latin 24 America and then to Southeast Asia, South Africa, and

25 India, in recognition of the fact that the

1 command-and-control economies that prevailed in those 2 regions had adverse effects on markets similar to 3 communism's impact in the Soviet bloc. For the most 4 part, our efforts have been funded by USAID, although we 5 have had some funding from the Commerce Department's б Commercial Law Development Program, and the U.S. Trade 7 and Development Agency, and also have funded occasional 8 activities on our own. Our program has taken our career staff to 55 countries, ranging from Albania to Zambia. 9

Since our program began, we have gone from about 10 two dozen countries with competition laws to well over 11 12 100. (We say that so often that we almost become jaded 13 to what a powerful development that really represents.) 14 Depending on your definition, even more have consumer 15 protection laws of one sort or another. The People's Republic of China passed an Antimonopoly Law last 16 17 summer, and the most recent additions to the list of countries with competition laws are Trinidad and Tobago, 18 19 Guyana, and Mauritius. This is a remarkable testament to the world's faith in competition and free markets. 20 But if this trend is going to bear fruit for the 21 22 consumers of these countries and world markets, the laws must be applied with the wisdom that is dictated by our 23 24 ever-evolving understanding of law and economics. Can 25 we afford, in this global economy, for the application

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

of these laws to evolve through the slower process of trial and error that we experienced? No, and indeed, it is simply not necessary. It is incumbent on those of us who have been lucky enough to survive and learn from our mistakes to find ways to be able to share our experiences with those who have recently chosen to begin the journey down the path we first cut in the 1890s.

Technical assistance is a fluid concept, and it 8 9 can encompass anything from broad advice in developing national strategies to foster competition and 10 privatization, to help with drafting competition and 11 12 consumer protection legislation, to designing effective institutions, to training in analytic investigative 13 skills in law and economics for enforcement personnel. 14 15 All of these are important, and at one time or another we have been involved with each of these. But in the 16 17 context of the FTC/DOJ program, we generally mean the process of transferring investigative and analytical 18 19 skills from career agency attorneys and economists to 20 their counterparts in newer agencies. For the most part, our own staffs learn the ropes through on-the-job 21 22 training by experienced senior enforcement attorneys and economists, and we try to replicate that in other 23 24 countries where that kind of experience does not yet

1 Developments or a textbook.

2 As we will discuss today, technical assistance 3 takes many forms. One of our most effective assistance 4 tools is the deployment of resident advisors who are 5 "embedded" with foreign competition and consumer protection agencies for periods ranging from a few б 7 months to up to a year. It puts our advisors where they need to be when the teachable moment arises, and allows 8 9 them to explain and share concepts such as market definition or ad interpretation not as abstract 10 concepts, but as the issues present themselves in real 11 12 cases. As those of us who have spent their careers practicing antitrust or consumer protection law know, 13 14 you do not really learn it until you have a real case 15 with real facts and real businesses -- and maybe real lawyers -- in front of you. A less costly variant is to 16 17 use short-term advisors to simulate investigations of hypothetical cases that as closely as possible resemble 18 19 the kind of cases a newer agency might encounter.

20 Another effective approach we have used is to 21 deploy participants who were trained in our earlier 22 programs as co-presenters in our more recent ones. We 23 held our final programs in Southeastern Europe in 24 Budapest, with the Hungarian Competition Office 25 participating as a co-presenter. They know what these

1 problems look like in a transitional economy better than 2 we do, and their insights added richness and texture to 3 Csaba Kovacs from Hungary is here with us, the program. 4 and he has been a great help there. We have done the 5 same thing in Central Asia with the help of the Baltic б states, and in Central America with the help of Mexico 7 -- Angel Lopez from Mexico will be with us later this 8 morning.

9 Of course, ensuring that consumers have good 10 information about the marketplace and its options is critical to a well-functioning competitive marketplace. 11 12 Accordingly, the FTC introduced consumer protection into its technical assistance work in 1992, and even 13 14 dispatched two consumer protection long-term advisors, 15 Susan Cohn to Bulgaria, and Russ Damtoft, who is here, to the Baltic states in the mid-1990s. Most resources 16 17 then and now remain earmarked for antitrust, however, partly because consumer protection did not always loom 18 19 large on USAID's development agenda, and partly because 20 it did not figure on the economic reform agendas of the recipient countries themselves. Yet, the countries 21 across the globe are discovering the importance of 22 consumer protection as well. They are understanding the 23 24 importance of consumer information, which consumers need 25 if they are going to have faith in the market. In

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

particular, as use of the Internet spreads, and with it the danger of ever more efficient delivery of fraud and deception worldwide, the developing world has progressively greater understanding of the damage fraud can do to markets.

With the recent passage of the SAFE WEB Act, we б 7 have opened a new chapter in our technical assistance story, having introduced FTC International Fellowships. 8 9 Subject to carefully applied confidentiality rules, this 10 program permits us to bring highly qualified foreign enforcement agency counterparts to the U.S. for periods 11 12 up to six months to learn directly how the FTC 13 investigates cases and analyzes legal and economic 14 evidence. We are now conducting a pilot program 15 involving four fellowships, from agencies in Brazil, Canada, and Hungary. One from our initial group of 16 17 fellows, Virag Balogh from Hungary, will be a presenter later this morning. 18

When there were only a handful of new agencies on the block, or from "the bloc" if you will, and USAID funding for technical assistance was plentiful, we felt we were able to do a pretty good job keeping up with the demand. But, as new laws are adopted in such places as India, Egypt, and China, the simple fact is that the demand for assistance is outpacing supply. Today, our

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

international technical assistance program stands at the
 crossroads, and raises a number of issues.

3 First, meeting future challenges requires us to 4 look at funding. Our funding has fluctuated over the 5 years, but have been decreasing lately. In the current fiscal year, we will have received \$606,000 from USAID б 7 for assistance by the FTC and DOJ combined. By contrast, we had \$861,000 in FY 2006 and over \$1.4 8 9 million as recently as FY 2005. While we get a lot done 10 on that budget, the fact remains that USAID's budget for competition and consumer protection work has gone down 11 12 as demands on its own budget have swelled, even in the face of swelling need. 13

14 Until recently, we have not appropriated funds 15 to use for technical assistance programs. In passing the FTC's FY 2008 budget, though, Congress provided the 16 17 FTC with money beyond what the President had requested and stated, among other priorities: "[t]he 18 19 Appropriations Committees recognize and support the 20 FTC's international programs. The FTC should continue 21 competition policy and consumer protection efforts, 22 including training and technical assistance, in developing countries." Apparently, Congress recognizes, 23 24 as do we, that in today's global economy, a foreign 25 assistance component of our work is integrally related

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 to our traditional domestic focus on maintaining 2 competition and consumer protection. I am pleased to 3 say that in the operating budget that the Commission 4 approved on Monday, we authorized a substantial sum for 5 international technical assistance. Of course, we hope and intend to continue the very productive partnership 6 7 we have had with USAID. Nick Klissas of USAID will be with us later, and he and his colleagues have been very 8 9 supportive. But now we have some ability, at least this 10 year, to supplement that funding.

Another important point to explore is how our 11 12 technical assistance program fits in with other programs executed by other organizations and even private 13 14 entities. While I believe that there is a separate 15 "market" for independent U.S. assistance efforts, there may be room to work more closely with others to use 16 17 precious resources in a way that does not duplicate or even compete. The International Competition Network has 18 19 examined antitrust technical assistance through a 20 project -- co-chaired by the FTC, I should add -- of the 21 Competition Policy Implementation Working Group. 22 Undoubtedly, ICN can continue to be a valuable resource 23 as we think through the possibilities for collaboration. 24 We also need to explore the new issues that 25 developing economies present in applying new laws. For

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 example, while we hope that China's new Anti-Monopoly 2 law will be applied in a sound and nondiscriminatory 3 manner, concern remains that the law will be used to 4 protect Chinese companies at the expense of foreign 5 rivals. National champion promotion -- indeed, taking into account at all the nationality of the firm in 6 7 question -- is simply inconsistent with the central objective of antitrust law: to promote competition to 8 the benefit of consumers. If it became clear that 9 nationalism were the objective for a country, we would 10 have to think through whether we could assist in turning 11 12 that objective around, or if we could justify using our taxpayers' dollars to assist in an effort that would 13 likely fail (i.e., not benefit the consumers of the 14 relevant nation) and also potentially harm our 15 16 consumers.

17 Finally, with consumer protection issues having rapidly taken on global significance, we need to think 18 19 through the most effective way to implement an 20 assistance program. Fraud knows no borders, and now more than ever, we depend on each other to safeguard the 21 22 marketplace and adequately protect consumers. The Internet has dramatically reshaped how we work and learn 23 24 -- but it has also introduced new threats, such as 25 malware and spam, that threaten consumer confidence just

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 as markets begin to grow. If consumers in developing 2 nations lack confidence in new technologies, and lose 3 faith in the market system, they are less likely to 4 participate in the global economy. Another area of 5 potential need in technical assistance is that of consumer credit -- an important ingredient of consumer 6 7 welfare. The regulation of consumer lending practices can be exceedingly complex, and the potential for abuse 8 9 can be quite serious. Without adequate assistance, developing economies may leave consumers unprotected, or 10 may overly regulate the area to the detriment of 11 12 economic growth.

13 For over seventeen years of providing technical 14 assistance, we have engaged in the struggle for 15 commercial law reform. Our passionately held position is that where markets are open, economic strength and 16 17 prosperity are most likely, and where economic strength and prosperity exists, citizen consumers are likely to 18 19 have the broadest choices in the way they live their 20 lives. But we cannot just put it out there and hope 21 that others see the light; alternative collective 22 experiences are too strong. Competition laws can be applied to protect domestic markets, favor entrenched 23 24 interests, discourage foreign investment, and create 25 barriers to entry that are then inequitably enforced.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

Governments -- often the enemy of competition -- can manipulate competition agencies because they lack genuine independence. So we have to work harder at it. In today's workshop, we have an opportunity to consider how to improve our strategy and sharpen our tactics for the struggle ahead. Thank you for being here today.

7 (Applause.)

8 MR. BARNETT: Thank you all. I'll make sure9 Debbie reads the transcript later.

Good morning. I do join Debbie in welcoming all 10 of you here to this workshop on international technical 11 12 assistance efforts being hosted by the Antitrust Division and the Federal Trade Commission. I'm pleased 13 14 but not surprised to see such a good turnout, even at 15 this early hour, because this is a very important issue. It's one that many of us have been focused on for many 16 17 years, and we think it's becoming increasingly 18 important.

Like Debbie, I want to specifically thank the
people who came in from out of town and/6.00At of tow

1 teachings of John Stuart Mill on the clash of

2 potentially conflicting ideas. The gist of his teaching 3 is that we should all affirmatively seek out and engage 4 those with other ideas because we inherently benefit 5 from that process.

6 We may learn that we agree with one another, 7 which is useful. We may educate each other, which is 8 also useful, or at the very worst, we will walk away 9 with a better understanding of our own ideas. I think 10 that is a particularly important topic for international 11 technical assistance, and indeed that's the spirit in 12 which we approach technical assistance.

We both enjoy and benefit from reaching out to competition agencies around the globe to exchange ideas and share experiences. Indeed, to some extent I think the term technical assistance is not the best term because some can construe it as a one-way flow of information and ideas. That's not the attitude we have, and we certainly approach this issue enthusiastically.

20 Over the past two decades, the Antitrust 21 Division and the FTC have completed over 400 missions to 22 scores of countries on both short-term trips 23 and long-term advisory missions and have reached more 24 than 50 economies in Central and Eastern Europe, the 25 Commonwealth of Independent States, Central and South

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 America, Southern Africa and Asia.

2	We have provided advice on the drafting of
3	dozens of antitrust laws, as well as numerous
4	enforcement guidelines. We've worked with many new
5	agencies in introducing sound economics and consumer
6	welfare goals into their systems and in developing
7	practical techniques to enhance their ability to achieve
8	these goals. At a broader level, these efforts and
9	these exchanges of ideas advance two important
10	interests, and Debbie alluded to them both.
11	The first is we believe that a sound competition
12	enforcement regime is an important part of a market
13	economy, and, if applied based on consumer welfare
14	principles and rigorous economic analysis, is the best
15	way to enhance consumer welfare of the citizens of that
16	country.
17	Second, with 120 jurisdictions around the world
18	with competition regimes, convergence is important, and
19	the dialogue and exchange that we go through in
20	technical assistance is one of the most important ways
21	of achieving convergence around the world, and that will
22	benefit all of our consumers.
23	I would like to take a few minutes and talk

I would like to take a few minutes and talk
about a couple of specific topics; first China.
Technical assistance to China has been and remains a

priority for the Antitrust Division. The Division and the FTC have worked for years with the government in China in its process of enacting the antimonopoly law, which I'm sure all of you know was finally passed last August and will go into effect this August.

In addition to frequent meetings over the years б 7 with the Chinese government agencies and the National People's Congress Committees, we participated in several 8 9 seminars and conferences organized by the Chinese government to learn about and to teach them about the 10 enforcement experience of ours and other antitrust 11 12 agencies and to elicit views and recommendations concerning the various drafts. 13

Now that the antimonopoly law is scheduled to come into force in August of this year, we plan to continue offering assistance to China in implementing the law in a manner that is based on sound economic analysis and focused on maximizing consumer welfare and economic efficiency.

In fact, we've already started that process. This last July, we conducted an investigative four-day merger enforcement in China for officials from agencies already involved in merger review. We hope to conduct other training workshops once enforcement responsibilities and the agencies that will be

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 responsible for the law have been identified.

Another important topic that I would hold up as a great success in our international outreach efforts is cartel enforcement. We have repeatedly explained in our efforts that the detection and prosecution of cartels should be considered a top priority of any antitrust agency.

Cartels are unambiguously harmful, inflating 8 9 price, restricting supply, inhibiting efficiency and discouraging innovation. The antitrust world is a much 10 different place today than it was two decades ago. At 11 12 that time most jurisdictions did little or no cartel 13 enforcement, and some business people viewed price 14 fixing and other agreements not to compete as simply a 15 beneficial way of doing business.

16 Today, looking across the international 17 landscape, we can see that our message has been heard. 18 Antitrust enforcement authorities around the world are 19 now united in a commitment to pursue hard core 20 anti-competitive conduct by cartels.

The shared priority on the detection and disruption of cartels has led to improved cooperation among antitrust enforcement authorities in the investigation of international cartel activity. With more antitrust enforcement agencies on the beat,

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

international cartels now run a greater risk of
 detection in each of the jurisdictions in which they
 operate.

Equally as important, businesses on six continents are more aware that cartel activity is and should be illegal. Such awareness is one of the most effective means that we have available to deter cartels from forming in the first instance.

9 One of the most important tools that we have developed is our leniency program, which has been 10 11 adopted by many competition agencies around the world. 12 In this context, I note it has not been adopted in exactly the form that we have adopted a leniency 13 program, and in general I view that as a very positive 14 15 thing. We now have a series of laboratories, if you will, in which we can learn from each other about 16 17 different variations and hopefully improve all of our leniency programs as we move forward. 18

regard, we're constantly searching for new approaches
 that may more effectively meet the needs for training
 and education in this area.

4 Just last May, the Antitrust Division added a new feature to our efforts. As many of you know, the 5 6 Division has a long and robust training program for our 7 own employees. Last year, for the first time, we invited ten agencies from eight different countries 8 9 around the globe to participate as part of our own internal program -- an intensive training program on 10 antitrust economics. All agencies that were invited 11 12 accepted our invitation, and some agencies sent multiple 13 representatives.

Over the course of three days, the training session addressed a variety of topics including unilateral effects, bundling, predatory pricing and remedies. It concluded with two practical programs that were particularly well received by all participants.

19 The first of these focused on the common 20 mistakes made in antitrust investigations. The second 21 practical program required the participants to analyze a 22 hypothetical case and then break up into small groups, 23 each of which was led by an experienced division 24 economist or lawyer to discuss how to investigate and 25 resolve the case.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

Not surprisingly to me at least, our own DOJ
 staff benefitted from the new participants and the new
 perspectives that they brought to bear. Antitrust
 agencies, young and old, frequently face similar issues
 and benefit a great deal from sharing experiences with
 one another.

7 The training event was a success both for the 8 Division as well as the foreign participants, and we

24191 1212091 WeFdyddaewtaffodiae klastik beingatater and the solution and

2 2 d wetletiblanfiiniepelnetiesejeleylineteensomenfingelstjessegfinteestig and the statistic ence this where the statistic ence the statistic enc

21 ngotählen, treixetskængand, aldræstilmbilktængrg cæls stele 0180 telx forfræing uærev, i ænelveve of our

2 msfincialatigs and behaviogen for a for a state of the seview of the s

- 1 needs and how the programs can be improved so as to
- 2 maximize their effectiveness in the future.
- 3 Among a few of the questions I hope the workshop

and the Federal Trade Commission for the work in putting this workshop together today. I anticipate that it will go smoothly, flawlessly, without a hitch as they almost invariably do.

5 I assure you that is not easy to accomplish, and 6 the efforts of our staff are the reason for it, so 7 thanks to all of you. Thanks to all of the 8 participants. We look very much forward to the exchange 9 of ideas.

10 (Applause.)

MR. TRITELL: Thank you very much, Tom, and just please bear with us for a moment as our first panel, chaired by Assistant Chief of the Antitrust's Foreign Commerce Section, Anne Purcell White, and her panelists assemble here. We'll get started and resume in just a couple minutes.

- 17
- 18 (Pause in the proceedings.)
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

1 PANEL 1:

antitrust authority. He served from 1991 to the 1993 to
 Poland. He has taught or advised on competition law
 enforcement in 16 different jurisdictions including
 Bulgaria, Brazil, Costa Rica, Mexico, Peru, Russia, just
 to name a few.

б Liz Callison will follow Craig. She is our 7 economist on the panel. She currently serves as Senior Economic Advisor to the Director of the Bureau of 8 Economics at the FTC. She has been a long-term advisor 9 to the Indonesia Competition Authority and the ASEAN 10 Secretariat as well as to the Czech and Slovak 11 12 Authorities. She's also participated in many short-term advisor missions, including in Lithuania, Bulgaria, 13 Albania, Vietnam and Croatia. 14

Graciela Ortiz is our fourth speaker. She has
been President of the Competition Tribunal of Indecopi
in Peru, which is in charge of 00000 0.00000 00.00e 10 2rcy1.0

1 You see, as Chairman Majoras mentioned this 2 morning, we have conducted a great deal of technical 3 assistance in Central Europe, and going through it 4 chronologically, the way it really developed was Central 5 Europe was where we began, obviously right after the fall of the Soviet Union, and then we entered South б 7 America and continued there for a little while in Central Europe and in Venezuela. 8

9 The program then expanded. It took in the 10 former Soviet Union and then took in the rest of Latin 11 America, and that's pretty much where we were in the 12 1990s, and in the late 1990s, as the European Union also 13 became interested in Southeast Europe in the Baltic -- I 14 mean, in the Balkans, the program expanded into that 15 area.

16 Currently the program is most active, if you 17 draw a line there practically where the equator is in 18 Egypt, India, Southeast Asia and somewhat in Central 19 America currently, at the current moment, and you'll see 20 a big white spot there for China, and Tom Barnett spoke 21 about what we have done in China in the past year, and

14

1 received substantial funding from USAID or from USTDA. 2 Mexico was a program funded by USTDA, and even the 3 program in Egypt, which is funded directly to us through 4 the U.S. Department of Commerce's Commercial Law 5 Development Program, is using USAID funds. They have б USAID funds, which then come through them to us, but 7 China is unique, and China is unique in that there are no USAID funds available for commercial law reform in 8 9 China and for our specifically competition and consumer 10 protection technical assistance.

11 So we have been working intensively with China 12 as they wrote their statute. We've conducted one 13 training program that was funded directly by the FTC and 14 DOJ, but to develop a full fledged program there is 15 still one of our goals, and it has not yet been 16 realized, and I think later on today there will be 17 further discussion about that.

So what is it that we do when we do technical 18 19 assistance? We include in that a series of different 20 activities. We help countries with their drafting of competition laws. They draft it. We usually comment on 21 We help them to design their agencies, the pros and 22 it. cons of an agency that is completely independent, an 23 24 agency that is within a ministry. We work with the Department of Justice on this, and obviously in the U.S. 25

1 we have two different models.

2 We spend a great deal of time educating and 3 supporting the institutions that are both inside and 4 outside of government. We spend time at the issues, and South Africa is the classic example that we
 always talk about. Their law itself specifically
 mandates that their agency take into consideration the
 long period of apartheid and the need to compensate for
 that kind of discrimination that took place there.

6 So the relationship of some of these other 7 issues that might be on the agenda are areas where we 8 give our two cents. We help them to analyze the issues. 9 Ultimately these are questions that are unique to each 10 country and each culture, and they have to decide for 11 themselves.

12 We help them to put together actual prosecutions, both civil and criminal: How they would 13 14 bring the case if they have to bring it before a court. 15 Of course, this is very touchy and difficult as well because there are procedural issues that are very unique 16 17 to each country. We can offer them our experience, some of which they can take and make use of, and others is 18 19 totally irrelevant in their context. They can't use our 20 procedures because of their history.

For example, in Eastern Europe, at least five or six years ago to suggest that investigators looking into cartel actions should be wired as they are sometimes in the U.S. is rather unthinkable given their previous history, and then of course there's a question of

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 panelists who will go into a great deal more detail in 2 what we do as long-term resident advisors and what we do 3 on short-term missions.

4 MS. PURCELL WHITE: Thank you, Tim. Craig,5 you're next.

б MR. CONRATH: Thank you, Anne. Thank you, 7 everybody. I'm Craig Conrath. I guess I'm here to talk about the experience I had being a long-term advisor in 8 9 Poland where I was sent by Jim Rill, who is sitting over in the corner remembering that first occasion, and it 10 was an interesting experience, and I would like to 11 12 reflect on it a moment to ask: What is it that we as 13 institutions do in a long-term advisor program, and why 14 is it meaningful and what can we learn from that 15 experience?

16 So if I were to summarize what I have to say it 17 is this: That a long-term advisor embedded in a 18 competition agency presents a unique opportunity to 19 transfer competition law enforcement principles and 20 practices.

21 So why is that and what do I mean by that? So 22 thinking back on this experience, I tried to answer: 23 Well, what was it that I and Jay Creswell and others as well prepared in their legal system as we were in ours. What was it that made it worth the time and effort to send us to live there for a long time? To answer that I said: Well, why is it that I'm a better competition law enforcer today than I was when I came to the Antitrust Division?

7 I think the answer to that is, when you reflect 8 on it for a moment, a couple of things. Over that time, 9 I've learned what I would say is a way of thinking about 10 the world. I think antitrust enforcement is in part a 11 way of thinking about the world, a substantive and 12 economic way of thinking of the world. If we can pass 13 on some of that, that's something of value.

14 Second, there's a kind of intrinsic knowledge or second nature or gut understanding of how markets work 15 and especially how restrictions on markets work. Any 16 17 number of us who have worked on a number of cartel cases gets a second nature of how cartels work. You know that 18 19 in any cartel there are going to be some people who are 20 kind of on the fringe. They weren't really the main 21 leaders, and they had to be brought into the cartel.

We know that in every cartel there's going to be one or two mavericks who think they want to cheat and go around the cartel, and you know that ths3pmda1.0000l there's goin

happened because in order to bring somebody into the cartel, you have to explain what the cartel is about, and in order to make the cartel work, you've got to enforce it.

5 Well, you learn where to look by having looked 6 at a lot of cartels, so that kind of second nature 7 knowledge is something that, if we could transfer it, 8 would be useful.

9 The third thing that I think you learn by doing 10 this is some sort of rules of thumb lore. The most 11 common example that everybody knows is that if the 12 competitors are complaining about a merger, that means 13 it's a good merger. If they're not complaining, that 14 means it's a bad merger or potentially, and that's a 15 rule of thumb.

There are many, many more that we almost don't recognize, but we apply them, and they're very useful in getting to the answer efficiently with limited resources.

20 So if that's what we have to offer as 21 competition agencies, as competition law enforcers, what 22 is it that enables us to transfer that in a long-term 23 program particularly well? In other words, why don't 24 you just write it down and give it to them or why don't

1 That led me back to the question of: How was it 2 that I learned this? The answer was primarily by doing 3 it, by looking at a lot of cartels, by looking at a lot 4 of mergers, and second, by doing it with some people 5 that had more experience and learning, both the staff lawyers that I work with and then the leadership of the б 7 agency ranging from Sandy Litvack, who taught us an enormous amount about how to litigate, and Bill Baxter, 8 9 who taught us an enormous amount about how to think 10 about competition issues.

So how do we transfer that? Well, that's where the long-term advisor program comes in. I think there are four features of that program that make it distinctive and give it a chance to be effective.

15 The first one of those is the teachable moment. 16 It's a concept from education jargon, but it really 17 fits. The teachable moment is when you are ready to 18 learn something, and by that I mean, it's one thing to 19 go to a lecture about coordinated effects versus 20 unilateral effects in analyzing mergers.

It's quite another thing when a problem has arrived on your desk. You are responsible for it. You have to write a decision saying yes or no and justifying your reasons. That is the teachable moment, and if there is someone there at that moment that you can turn

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

to, who can explain to you why this is a coordinated effects problem or it's not a coordinated effects problem, you will internalize that knowledge in a way you could never do during a seminar. So teachable moment is the first thing that is an advantage that we get from the long-term advisor program.

7 The second one is repeat business. If I explain to someone the basics of coordinated effects on a first 8 9 pass-through on a merger case, and that advice seems to be somewhat helpful, there's a good chance that person 10 will come back to ask for help the next time they have a 11 12 problem, and maybe we'll have a chance to explain at a more sophisticated or more detailed level, because that 13 14 kind of knowledge comes in stages.

First you learn the basics. Then you learn a little bit more of how to apply it. So repeat business is the second thing you get out of a long-term advisor program.

19 The third thing that is unique to a long-term 20 advisor program is local knowledge. You can give advice 21 that's more likely to be helpful if you understand the 22 local knowledge, which can range from simply the facts 23 about the legal system, so maybe the first time I'm 24 talking with someone I say: Well, just send a subpoena 25 to the third-party, and after you learn that some places

1 Antitrust Division, and they were the first people in 2 the world that ever had that interest, but it was 3 actually helpful to them to decide how to avoid wasting 4 time, which was their scarcest resource, on matters that 5 weren't going to go anywhere. Because with an б application of a little judgment at an early stage you 7 could say: This is really unlikely to be meaningful, let's get rid of it. 8

9 So organizational issues is a fourth feature of 10 a long-term program, that is something that is -- really 11 almost uniquely can be done in a long-term program.

12 I think the final point that I would like to make is that to all these long-term programs have a side 13 14 benefit -- and I believe that my colleague, Jay 15 Creswell, would prefer that I say "positive externality" -- and that is that I learned as much as I 16 17 taught. Spending two years in a developing antitrust agency -- in the Polish antimonopoly office from 1991 to 18 19 1993 was like working in the Department of Justice from about 1890 to 1950. 20

21 Why is it exactly that price fixing is always 22 bad and how do we prove that these people agreed? Is 23 the fact that they all charge the same price enough, and 24 do we care as long as they're separate companies? Do we 25 care if they have the same owners? All these issues

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

arose in that institution, and for us, the opportunity to explain and defend such policies deepened and improved our ability to defend them and to employ them at home.

5 So that's a two cents worth of why the long-term 6 advisor program has been used by our agencies and what 7 it accomplished.

8 Anne?

9 MS. PURCELL WHITE: Thank you, Craig. Liz, 10 you're next.

MS. CALLISON: I'm going to remain seated if that's okay.

13 MS. PURCELL WHITE: Yes.

14 MS. CALLISON: My goal is to talk a little bit 15 about the role of the economist in technical assistance, and I've done both long-term and short-term, and as 16 17 Assistant Attorney General Barnett emphasized and all of you know, antitrust competition law kind of got stuck 18 19 between two, the U.S. version antitrust and the world 20 version of competition, but anyway they are economic in nature. That's all there is about it. 21

They're part of a set of commercial laws about the operating of the economy, and with the exception of cartel cases, application of competition law always involves economic analyses of some form and

1 are important? How do you look at this material that's 2 coming at you and sort through it to come out with 3 economic rationale?

4 Now, more recently when I was in Southeast Asia, 5 I have to say that the basic economist training was much more advanced. They could say learner index, and they б 7 knew the concepts, but again application of them in real world cases was again an issue, and having an advisor 8 who has worked through this stuff is very helpful to 9 help the economists and the attorneys frame up the 10 relevant questions, frame up what is the theory, what is 11 12 the story of how this behavior is likely to harm competition or why it's beneficial, if it's not likely 13 to harm competition, and then what pieces of facts do we 14 15 need in order to test those and in order to tell whether the behavior is anti-competitive or the behavior is 16 17 pro-competitive?

18 A very important piece is emphasizing caution 19 and intervening in matters where, in fact, there isn't a 20 competitive problem. Many cases come to the new 21 agencies, particularly the new agencies where the 22 business community is not that familiar with competition 23 laws, and so they're getting hurt by a competitor or a 24 supplier, and they come and they complain that XYZ 25 company is abusing their dominance.

1 It's very important for the competition 2 authority to focus immediately on: Well, what is the 3 story? Listen to the person and what is the story? Is 4 there a market power that is plausible here? If there's 5 no market power by these firms, then case closed, don't 6 intervene.

7 Then if the case goes forward, if the 8 investigation goes forward, what fact, what facts are 9 needed? How do you tell the story based on reality that 10 there is likely to be a problem?

I found that the competition agencies early on were more likely to jump into intervention more than was necessary because they didn't necessarily understand market power. Definitions of relevant markets were often extremely narrow, but as time goes on and as the staff begins to ask bigger questions, that changes.

I think that the benefit of the advisor is being there in that process while people are learning, while real facts are coming at them, and they have to make decisions like Craig was talking about. They have to make decisions. They have to figure out: Is this

information, what do you do with it? How do you tell a
 story that this action is likely to harm competition?

3 Another area is at the management level and at 4 discussing, portraying competition more broadly. In 5 Indonesia recently, there's a lot of criticism inside the country about decisions being formalistic, being б 7 legalistic and lacking an economic basis, and although that may be true for some of them, others of them do 8 9 have an economic basis. But being able to convey the thinking, the economic basis and economic rationale for 10 an action is extremely important in the progression of 11 12 competition. It's something that our agencies spend a lot of time at, in our aids to public comment and other 13 forums, our speeches, explaining our actions in economic 14 15 terms, and there's a role there also for the advisor.

With that, that's basically all I want to say. 16 17 Our role in providing assistance is really not all that different than the role of the economists at the U.S. 18 19 agencies. It's in helping frame up theories, helping to 20 figure out what facts are relevant, how they play in, how they test or don't test theories, and so the 21 economist is generally -- it's the same role, but at a 22 different pace sometimes. 23

I would like to echo what Craig said about learning at least as much as you teach because being

1 forced to go back to first principles: Why is it that 2 this is important? It's something that we gloss over a 3 lot in the United States because we've been through it 4 so much. Understanding the role of the banking system 5 in competition, understand the ability to get financing. It's not something we pay a lot of attention to in the б 7 United States. It's critical in a number of these 8 countries.

9 Understanding the nature -- that competition 10 laws may not be the best vehicles for dealing with 11 corruption issues or dealing with other types of issues, 12 it's not something we think about in the United States. 13 It's something that's very important in some countries 14 at certain stages of their development.

15 So we come back, I came back with a much richer 16 appreciation of the role of competition laws and the 17 role of economists in the system, and with that I would 18 like to leave time for my colleagues.

MS. PURCELL WHITE: Thanks, Liz. Now I would like to turn the floor over to the recipients of our technical assistance. I've asked them to be as candid as they possibly can be so that we can truly evaluate how to improve or programs, so in that spirit, Graciela, if you would start.

MS. ORTIZ: Thanks, Anne. Before starting my

25

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

Colombia, Peru and Venezuela. We had two countries that
 had competition laws or authorities. That was Belize
 and Ecuador.

4 I also want to put on here that with the Federal 5 Trade Commission and the Department of Justice, we made б a very, very big effort to get Ecuador competition law, 7 and we got it. It was a very big effort then with 8 Caldwell Harrop who was here. We got people there to 9 help draft a law, to lobby with a congressman, lobby with people in the governments, and we got the law and 10 the day that it was approved by the government in 11 12 Ecuador, we had this big champagne bottle in the Andean community to celebrate that we had a competition law. 13 14 Three days later the President vetoed the law.

So we were very sad about all the work we had done with the cooperation of the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice especially, and it was like so sad. It was so much effort to get a country without a law to approve a law, and this happens.

This is to tell you that even though our best efforts can be made through the cooperation, it doesn't guarantee a result necessarily, not because the people who participate in these efforts haven't made the best efforts to get it, but just because things just happened

1 that way.

2 Anything we could have done would not make 3 Ecuador have a new law, have this competition law, and 4 now due to the trade agreements that are being 5 negotiated between countries in a bilateral way, Ecuador wants a new law, wants a competition law, so now they're б 7 working for a competition law and so is Bolivia, not because they feel a need internally for these laws, but 8 because there are these external situations that convey 9 that they have to have this new law. 10

11 So this is another point that we consider that 12 it's not always the national interest, but the external 13 interest that can get a country to have a competition 14 law, but the big problem is not to cover competition 15 law. The big problem is how you get an authority 16 because that is the greatest antagonism inside the 17 government.

18 We want to get the power. We want to be the 19 competition authority. The problem are the resources. 20 You are going to be the competition authority, where do 21 you get the resources okay? You get the faculty you're 22 going to have over powers of competition authority, but you are not going to have more human resources. You are 23 24 not going to have more financial resources. Then this 25 fight just like doesn't -- it restricts the possibility

of getting a good authority, the best authority you can get, and it sometimes goes: Who wants the authority to get the national authority?

With this comes also the problem of how to 4 implement the law. You have this authority that not 5 б necessarily is the best authority but who wants to be 7 the authority, so how can they be prepared to be the authority and they're stuck with this international 8 9 cooperation where they fit and they fit perfectly. They have to prepare the people, give the experience, put 10 11 their experience on to thel bee rt

comments, and I surely would send it to the friends here
 in the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of
 Justice for their comments.

4 It's a very short period, but it's so important, 5 that short periods, so if I don't put a name -- if the 6 recipients don't put a name to the agencies that give 7 the cooperation, it's very difficult to think on them on 8 very short times.

9 For example, to think of the Federal Trade 10 Commission, for me it's to think of Craig, to think of 11 Russell, or in the Department of Justice to think of 12 Caldwell Harrop. Those are names, real names, so when I 13 think I need help, I will go to them, so you have to put 14 a name to a corporation. It's not just the institution. 15 It's the person.

16 The other thing is to say not it's not only the 17 implementation of the law that's important. It's not 18 the training of the people. It's also that the 19 authorities rotate people. In small countries we don't 20 have people, like we don't have a hundred officials 21 working in competition. We only have 30 or 40 people 22 working in competition. What does this mean?

the public sector? So the people that is preferred and is formed in the national authority, they are going to go out to the private sectors, and the competition authorities are going to stay without these big professionals that have been preferred by the cooperating agencies, and it's very important to think. So due to that small implementing resources the

8 country has, people leave the public entities, and new 9 people have to be formed so just to think that we gave 10 these supports four or five years ago so that people 11 that receive those workshops are working in the 12 authority that's not necessarily true. We have to 1 our ability that our decisions go when they go to the 2 Judge, to the courts -- are they going to stand up? 3 Will our judges prefer to see these kind of cases? So 4 perhaps we must think not only about the competition 5 authority but all that is around the competition authority: The academic world, the courts, the judges, б 7 the public, the private sector too, the laws, the studio, the firms - so we must have a complete vision of 8 9 what competition means in these small countries.

On the other hand, we have -- things change from 10 one year to another, and they change very rapidly. 11 We 12 have these free trade agreements that are being Those are bilateral agreements. I remember 13 negotiated. 14 when we started the free trade agreement for America, 15 the FTAA or ALCA as we call it in Spanish, it was a new wave of countries that began entering or drafting laws 16 17 for competition.

18 Now, that was in the late '90s, 2000, in the 19 first years of this new decade, but now we have a new wave of competition laws being drafted and that's 20 21 because of the free trade agreements that are being 22 negotiated, and they do at least -- I think Colombia too are asking, for example, that all these agreements have 23 24 a competition chapter. Never before the FTAA would have 25 free trade agreement considering competition chapters,

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 and that's because we're worried that benefits of the 2 organizations are going to be undermined by

3 anti-competitive practices, and that basically refers to 4 cartels.

5 Besides being worried about this, including this 6 chapter in the free trade agreements, we are also trying 7 to negotiate with our members, all the Latin America 8 companies in their institutional cooperation agreements, 9 so even though we don't have this cooperation, free 10 trade agreements, we do have cooperation agreements.

Our goal for Peru, for example, this year is to have the Latin America -- these agreements with all Latin American countries, included Canada and Spain and why is that? Because we are conscious of the need of experience, of having and knowing what is happening with our neighbors, knowing that their regional experience is very useful for us.

18 Their experience is very important for us. Ιt 19 makes a big draft, maybe the small drafts, the small things that cultural -- that cultural takes, that ethnic 20 takes are our regional neighbors too, so we would have 21 22 to combine our efforts with a regional, with the technical cooperation we receive from you, and this is 23 24 very important to try to see how cooperation fits in. 25 We can have Federal Trade Commission, Department

1 there.

2	So just we are very grateful for your
3	cooperation, and we really appreciate it very very much,
4	and we are very happy to have U.S. friends. And we
5	would like to be partners on this ordeal of competition,
6	cooperation, working as partners and feeling that you
7	are part of us and that we can go as a big brother, help
8	us, please, and you are there. Thank you. Thank you to
9	all of you.
10	MS. PURCELL WHITE: Thank you, Graciela. You've
11	raised a lot of interesting points, some of which I
12	think we will circle back to later about perhaps
13	involving more entities than just the competition
14	authority in our training.
15	Csaba, last but not least certainly, the floor
16	is yours.
17	MR. KOVACS: Thank you, Anne. Let me start with
18	that, it's a great honor to be here, to be invited for
19	this event, and after the Super Bowl and Super Tuesday,
20	to be here in the Super Wednesday of competition policy,
21	at least in the context of technical assistance.
22	What I can speak about is basically just the
23	Hungarian perspective, and I understand that it can be
24	unique to some extent. So maybe there are some

25 experiences of Hungary which are appropriate to be

1 generalized but others are not.

2 We have a quite special language, and to some 3 extent, we are still -- but certainly we were -- a 4 transition economy, and I can imagine that in many other 5 countries, participating in technical assistance programs as a recipient, it's not the same. Also we б 7 have a unique -- of course, as every authority -- we have a unique institutional setting and a unique history 8 9 within the authority.

But it is certain that the U.S. is or used to be 10 a major contributor to the professional development of 11 12 the Hungarian Competition Authority together with the EC and OECD, and it was almost certain that in terms of 13 14 time, the U.S. authorities were the very first, so they 15 arrived actually earlier than me to the authority. I joined one year after the authority was established, but 16 17 I found Americans in the office already.

18 What I tried to do in this introductory part --19 in this five, ten minutes part -- is just to overview 20 the basic stages of technical assistance programs in which Hungary was involved, and what I would like to --21 22 the basic message that I would like to tell you today is 23 that this is or this was a journey from being a pure 24 recipient from a sort of teacher and student 25 relationship to where it's a cooperation with others,

1 including the U.S. authorities.

2	The first stage was in the first half of the
3	'90s when, as many other authorities, we received
4	long-term advisors several times from both the FTC and
5	the DOJ you know, they are always coming hand in hand
6	together and Hungary was clearly a pure recipient.
7	Part of the program was in my understanding,
8	at least an exchange of visitors. Some Hungarians had a
9	chance to visit U.S. authorities and I also had the
10	privilege to participate in '95 in such a program, to
11	spend a few weeks here in Washington and in the Chicago
12	field offices both of the FTC and the Department of
13	Justice and also there were some seminars in Vienna
14	organized by these authorities where Hungarian officials
15	could participate.
16	We prepared a little list. My colleagues
17	prepared a little list of the programs. It is not a
18	full list, but I could mention 10, 15 names from the
19	U.S. authorities who had contacts with the Hungarians in

various forms, and I could also T3us ans00 o(Tem0v3I0g00036.0000

1 this stage and also was a pure recipient in the context 2 of other technical assistance programs -- I mean 3 provided by others -- like the Vienna seminars of the 4 OECD. Also, the Joint Vienna Institute seminars and 5 some EC trainings. In this period, we really had very б serious money constraint in Hungary, so technical 7 assistance was not something just about knowledge but was really also about -- I mean, we were not able to 8 finance all of this so we could not do that without the 9 financial part of the program: all of these journeys 10 and travels and buying the books, et cetera. 11

12 The second stage again is mainly connected to the U.S. authorities when they started their Balkan 13 14 countries project in the first half of this decade, and it was a tricky arrangement, but I think it was a smart 15 The official recipient countries were the Balkan 16 one. 17 countries, but for many reasons, in my understanding there were some difficulties to find a place for the 18 19 programs.

There were diplomatic reasons also not to choose one of the recipients because maybe the others would take it as a message, so another place would have been needed, and we offered Budapest, and it was accepted so actually Budapest was the place for that, so our Hungarian colleagues could participate in the events, ind a place

even if we were not the main target of that program. So
 we became formally a sort of provider, but not in terms
 of substance.

4 We were still a recipient, if you like, a sort 5 of recipient, and again on this occasions, some U.S. officials came to Hungary, and the first part of this 6 7 arrangement -- these events -- lasted usually two to four days, and we could convince our U.S. colleagues to 8 stay one or two extra days in Budapest just to be 9 engaged exclusively by our colleagues in the authority 10 to have presentations as the second part of the 11 12 arrangement, they were very useful, and really a sort, or type, which brings efficiency. 13

14 The third stage started a few years ago with the 15 regional center of the OECD and the Hungarian 16 Competition Authority in Budapest. I think later in 17 this day, this issue will be elaborated more in detail, 18 so I would not go into the details of that, but it is 19 clear that regarding this center, Hungary is not just a 20 recipient or actually it's not really a recipient. It

1 It was like that only because our computer tech quy before had visited the U.S. DOJ and the FBI and 2 3 others over here, and it was made possible. It was made 4 possible because -- it was not impossible at all. Ι 5 don't want to say that, but it was much easier to do that because -- but let me step back and start with б 7 that. We set up a cartel unit, we hired the appropriate personnel -- maybe I could return to this a later 8 9 stage -- and so we had this unit. We had a guy there, and they just discovered that there is some sort of 10 training in Florida for exactly the same purposes on IT 11 forensics. And then I told them, "Wait a minute, maybe 12 you should spend a few extra days in the U.S. to visit 13 14 the DOJ and maybe others, and I could call Ann Olek, at 15 the DOJ, who I knew from Vienna." So that's it, because if I don't know her, probably it doesn't happen like 16 17 this. Maybe the Florida seminar was a nice one, but I'm sure that the extra program was really useful. 18

I think this is so far, and maybe I can
 elaborate or add something in the discussion part.

MS. PURCELL WHITE: Okay. Thank you, Csaba.
Very interesting.

I think, Graciela, you touched on the fact that in your area, there were countries that were receiving assistance from us but that were at very different

levels of development, and, Csaba, you touched on a
 similar theme that in your own agency that you went
 through sort of three different stages.

4 I'm wondering: What strategies or factors do 5 you think we should consider to better identify the 6 needs of the agencies that we're helping so that we can 7 tailor our programs better to match the capacity of the 8 agencies?

9 MS. ORTIZ: Well, we divided -- when I was 10 working for the Andean community -- we divided the 11 country in two parts. It was -- one it was Bolivia and 12 Ecuador with no authority, and the other three countries 13 that were Peru, Colombia and Venezuela, who had law and 14 authority.

15 We tried to have regional programs, and I think regional programs do have their benefits too because 16 17 when the authorities from the Department of Justice and the FTC have the seminars and the workshops, we got 18 19 official from the three authorities that could also 20 share their experience, so for us it was very good to have these regional events to receive the experience you 21 22 were bringing, and we were too could share our experience about those issues. 23

Formation of personnel was very important for the agencies with law and authority. They were looking

1 for what to investigate, investigative skills, whether 2 their investigative skills -- to have better decisions. While the other two countries, even though they 3 4 participate in these events, we were trying to get the 5 people acquainted with competition language and the б competition term and the competition logics, but they 7 were more worried about how they could put this that they were learning into the laws they were trying to 8 9 draft.

10 Certainly they were more worried about how could they draft a law, how could they lobby the law because 11 12 it's very important to lobby. It's important to lobby 13 to the Congress, to the Congressmen, lobby to the 14 private sectors, to lobby to the media so they can get 15 acquainted with language. They do this -- in Ecuador, for example, they use the word competition and 16 17 competitiveness together, and they really get very mixed up, and especially when it was an election year. It had 18 19 political consequences.

20 So it's important to lobby, and it's important 21 to help them understand how the authority has to be 22 structured. The autonomy of the authorities are a very 23 very important issue for countries that are just 24 drafting laws and having a new competition authority. 25 So really the needs are very different. One

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

works more on the authority, working on the authority to better the capacities of the authority. On the other side, you have the less developed for us, who are these countries with no law to work more or how to structure a authority, how to draft the law, how to have the law go through Congress to get it approved, and after that try not to be vetoed by the president afterwards.

8 So everything just goes in the same line, and 9 the needs are totally different.

10 MS. PURCELL WHITE: Csaba?

25

MR. KOVACS: Yeah, I think it can be different from country to country. Some speakers already mentioned the long-term advisor methods, and we also had long-term advisors in Hungary, and it was great. It was extremely useful. Nevertheless, we believed at that time that we could not utilize the whole potential, which in theory could be provided by long-term advisors.

Maybe some reasons are unique to Hungary, like the language. Hungarian is really -- it's not like Spanish or if you go to India to be a long-term advisor, probably you can be happy with your English. But in Hungary it was really an issue, and right now, many Hungarian officials in the competition authority speak English, but it was not true in the early '90s.

Of course they had an interpreter, but to be a

1 real long-term advisor, it is useful to read the files 2 or some documents, and it was impossible at that time, 3 and also the management of the authority was very 4 conservative in terms of giving access to information, 5 which was not necessarily sensitive, but you never know.

So there were -- I think there were serious б 7 restraints, and therefore we felt that the potential in this wonderful instrument was not used fully, but even 8 so it was very useful, and I would emphasize many side 9 effects which are very good. One of them is the 10 networking aspect, I mentioned already. I could mention 11 12 beyond this computer tech guy story more stories, but I resist for the sake of time. 13

14 It's really crucial, I believe, to have people 15 who you know that they are available, they are 16 responsive, they understand you. They know you because 17 they spent some time in your authority or you spent time 18 in their authority like myself in Chicago with Russ and 19 Tim -- and many, many fruits can bear in that tree, if 20 that makes any sense in English.

Also I think what is important, this continuation of programs. Finally, in the early '90s we decided a little bit to transform this long-term arrangement into a series of presentations, so we used the long-term advisors to have one or two seminars or

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

presentations in a week they prepared, and this way there was a continuous dialogue between them and the authority, so finally we could use them, but I think not in the original way that was intended.

5 So I believe that long-term advisors can be more useful in a little later stage when an authority is more 6 7 prepared to deal with them. Of course this is true for other means, too. This is also true for seminars. 8 9 Seminars are more useful if you know more, but probably seminars are less sensitive to this maturity problem. 10 At least in Hungary this was the case. Maybe it is 11 12 quite different in a Spanish speaking world or in other 13 countries.

14 MS. PURCELL WHITE: Graciela?

15 MS. ORTIZ: Can I comment? Language is very important, especially for less developed countries. 16 While long-term advisors, I will be thrilled to have a 17 long-term advisor in Peru in their authority for 18 19 example. I think I wouldn't advise it for a less 20 developed country without the law. You have to wait for 21 a law. You have to wait for authority and then think in 22 the long-term advisor.

Well, for medium sized authorities, less
developed authorities I would think a long-term advisor
would be great. I will be looking forward if Peru could

1 ever get a long-term advisor.

2	MS. PURCELL WHITE: Thank you. That was
3	actually a finding that was confirmed by ICN, which took
4	a survey of recipients of technical assistance, and
5	found that long-term advisors may be more effective
б	later on in the development of an agency.
7	One thing that you've both touched on, and is
8	probably one of the more important decisions I make is
9	who I send to be an advisor, and I think, Graciela, you
10	touched on the importance of adaptability, and, Csaba,
11	you touched on foreign language skills as being
12	important at least with respect to long-term advisors.
13	What other qualities did you find to be
14	important in the advisors that assisted your agency?
15	MR. KOVACS: May I?
16	MS. ORTIZ: Yes.
17	MR. KOVACS: I wouldn't emphasize language. You
18	cannot learn Hungarian.
19	MS. PURCELL WHITE: I was going to say, I was
20	getting a little bit worried.
21	MR. KOVACS: Language can be a factor in other
22	cases, I don't know. But in my experience, you know, if
23	you have this question, several items could come to your
24	mind like experience or knowledge or language skills or
25	teaching skills or teaching knowledge.

1 My experience such as -- I had contacts with 2 several people providing technical assistance, and my 3 experience is that these all can be important, except 4 for the language in the case of Hungary, but I think the 5 most critical -- it's difficult to measure -- but I б think are intelligences and a sort of professional 7 empathy. It is I believe, is the same as "adaptability" in Graciela's words, because you have to be flexible, 8 you have to understand the local environment and the 9 problem, which may on the surface sound very familiar, 10 but if you just give advice on that basis, maybe that 11 12 advice would not be good enough, so you need to understand the whole context on the one hand. 13

14 On the other hand, you have to -- to a certain 15 extent you need to -- be rigid to the basic principles of antitrust, not to be too empathetic. And my 16 17 conversations and my stories about discussions with people who provided technical assistance always 18 19 confirmed that it is the most productive, if those 20 people were intelligent enough to deal with this and they had a sort of professional empathy. And of course 21 22 experience can help in all of this, but I think it's not 23 absolutely necessarily.

24 MS. ORTIZ: Okay. On long-term advisors even, 25 though I would say you're welcome, sometimes there are

situations that when something is said by a foreigner, it is different than when it's said by a national, so I know in some circumstances you need to be a foreigner, and if a foreigner says something, it's very well looked on and it's positive, but sometimes when a foreigner 1 it depends upon what's the job he's going to do. Is he 2 going only to work in the region, in the national 3 competition authority? Then maybe language isn't so 4 important if the people over there speak English, for 5 example.

1 I describe a story and the other party -- I mean, the 2 provider -- says that in my understanding is this story 3 is about this or this, and then I have to correct, no, I 4 didn't mean exactly this or this, this was something 5 different, and then we are going around in circles like this, and the matter is how many times we have to run б 7 those rounds, whether it takes just one or two turns that we understand each other and we speak the same 8 9 language, or we cannot reach that stage or we can reach that stage only after the sixth or seventh time. 10

Also in some cases or in certain cases, and I forget to mention this, I experienced that some historical knowledge, not necessarily experience but some historical knowledge on the provider side especially in the case of a transitional economy or in a transitional economy was useful.

17 I don't mean history of the country, of the host country. I mean the history of the U.S. antitrust 18 19 because in some cases, when I described of the problem and this running -- this rounds -- started after the 20 second or third turn of clarification the other side 21 22 said, Okay, this is something we had in the '40s or "We had this sort of cases in the '60s", and "we did this," 23 24 or "that happened," or "this was not good" or" this was 25 just needed by the circumstances" and "I can look it up

For The Record, Inc.

(301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

actually in history books not in current best practices"
 or something like this. So it can be also a factor,
 certainly not in all cases but sometimes.

MS. PURCELL WHITE: Historically our programs have been directed to competition agency staff, and Graciela raised in her presentation the importance of training judges and people who will teach in universities.

9 I'm wondering, Liz and Tim, some of the programs 10 that you'ITE: Hiwtwho will teach in

So in that situation we did a lot of work with 1 2 the Consumer Protection Agency, which was an entirely 3 separate agency, but we were there. We knew consumer 4 protection work. We had both done that work here as 5 well at the FTC, and then the private industry was interested in kind getting in the bandwagon and seeing б 7 how we can improve the situation here for everybody, consumers and the corporations that had to do big 8 9 advertising.

10 The other place where we've done quite a bit of 11 outreach is in Indonesia and Vietnam. In Indonesia, as 12 resident advisors, we regularly engaged in training 13 programs for judges, groups of judges, and especially 14 the Indonesian Supreme Court, which has about 80 15 justices on it, so it's not like training our Supreme 16 Court.

17 Half a dozen of them were starting to get really deeply into competition and learn something about it, 18 19 and both in Indonesia and in Vietnam, every time we had 20 an opportunity with a short-term mission, a one-week long mission, we would tack on an extra day where we had 21 22 a program where we called it the stakeholders were 23 invited to, and the stakeholders were judges, private 24 attorneys, university professors, industry members and consumer advocates. All those kind of people would 25

For The Record, Inc.

1 come.

2	We would get a large turn out and conduct kind
3	of what the Indonesians called socializing the law,
4	basically getting people used to the concepts and
5	getting feedback from them as to what it was all about.
6	MS. CALLISON: I would like to add in Vietnam we
7	did some things that were very interesting when I was
8	there. One is they were reaching out to other

property. Each day -- I guess there were other days like this, but each day had five or six agencies in the government where competition authority explained what they were trying to do, and they discussed the overlap and developed stuff. That was really helpful to the agency and to the other governmental agencies.

7 Again my role was more provide U.S. experience, 8 to be a face, but more importantly after the day we 9 could talk about what they had heard, and we could have 10 just a conversation about that.

Likewise, in Vietnam they had a lot of outreach 11 12 when I was there with foreign direct investment people explaining, Hey, we have a law, this is what we do, this 13 14 is our law, this is how we operate, come to us with questions, really an outreach effort, and again I would 15 present little things, but mostly I was there to be a 16 17 face and also to, after the day was over, again go back and discuss the issues with the competition authority 18 19 that had been raised during the day and how they might 20 reach out.

I think that those activities are particularly important in places, in countries with new competition laws, and nobody really knows what those laws are, so it's important for the competition agency to reach out, and I was privileged to be there and get to participate

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 in that.

2 MS. PURCELL WHITE: Csaba, anything to add? 3 MR. KOVACS: Yes. I think they are important 4 and in the very early stage, they can be done easily, I 5 mean, something like a road show sort of exercise by the competition authority. Of course technical assistance б 7 providers can be included into that road show, or in Hungary, when we had a program related to technical 8 9 assistance connected to regulated industries, then we invited the regulators to participate, and they 10 appreciated it and it was useful. 11

What can be said additionally, I believe, is that -- at least in my experience in Hungary is that -after the very early stage or after or beyond a very sporadic sort of programs, it works -- especially regarding regulatory authorities, it works -- really well if also the provider side is coupled.

18 I mean, if we take someone from a U.S. antitrust 19 authority to teach our electricity people from the energy regulator in Hungary, that they should care about 20 this and that, they would find it interesting and they 21 22 would say that, Okay, we knew some of these already, and we are concerned about competition but we have a well 23 established relationship with the regulators 24 25 internationally, including FERC or the California

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 regulator or something.

And inevitably they trust more, on them, so they have -- for them they are the big brothers, and I think that it can be more effective if the provider's side includes those regulation counterpart in such events. 1 PANEL 2:

2 HUGH STEVENSON, Moderator, Deputy Director for Consumer 3 Protection, Office of International Affairs, FTC 4 PANELISTS: 5 VIRAG BALOGH, Investigator, Hungarian Competition Authority, SAFE WEB Fellow, Bureau of Economics, FTC б 7 RUSSELL DAMTOFT, Associate Director, Office of International Affairs, FTC 8 9 DAVID LAFLEUR, CRCM, Senior Examination Specialist, FDIC RICH O'BRIEN, Head of Internatal Programs, CPSC 10 PABLO ZYLBERGLAIT, Counsel for International Consumer 11 12 Protection, FTC

13

MR. STEVENSON: Why don't we take our seats again and let's get started.

My name is Hugh Stevenson from the FTC, and now I'm tempted to say, as with Monty Python, "and now for something completely different," but maybe not so much actually. There are a number of parallels here, and that's one of the things I think we'll talk about here.

This is a panel that we're looking to move to the world of consumer protection, and there's a sort of nautical or charting the course theme in the logo of this conference, and we now move to places where the landscape or the seascape may appear different in some

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 ways.

2	It's a setting characterized by great variety.
3	There's a variety of subject matters that is included in
4	the term consumer protection. Competition law is in a
5	sense a fairly focused subject, but consumer protection
6	encompasses a great range of things, from deceptive
7	advertising and fraud to credit laws, financial
8	regulation fraud, spam, privacy potentially, as well as
9	food or product safety in some settings.
10	There's a variety here also of agencies. You
11	have combined here some functions that are not combined
12	in other countries. For example, we do both consumer
13	protection and privacy issues. Others may have
14	different agencies.
15	Other countries combine functions together that

16 we do not. For example, here in the United States we 17 have the Consumer Product Safety Commission that 18 separates out and does product safety whereas that may 19 not be the model in other places.

In some countries we have the combination with the competition function; in other countries not. In some countries the policy functions are more closely combined as they are at the FTC and other places not.

24 This panel is looking at the charting the course

1 technical assistance in this area, what kind of 2 mechanisms are effective to deliver that and where we 3 should go from here.

4 The format of this panel is fairly simple. We 5 thought we would start off with a little show and tell б about where we've been in terms of technical assistance 7 in this area up to now and then have a conversation with two of my colleagues from the FTC, who have been 8 involved in this work, with two distinguished visitors 9 18 ecofiyometwonoabperBialaagenwaesrbhehodewdawi0graomeuaspect 11 of consumer protection: The Consumer Product Safety 12 Commission and the FDIC. And then we also have the perspective of nt ua,6TD(13

1 IO NAPOT, Csaba and Virag, just to show you that some 2 Hungarian can in fact be learned, very limited but some 3 of it can be learned.

4 So as Hugh mentioned, we have similarities but we face a different paradigm when it comes to doing 5 б cooperation in consumer protection, and I like what Tom 7 Barnett said about this idea of being a two way street. Another colleague from DOJ mentioned that we really 8 9 learn as much as they do on applying these principles. When a consumer agency in another country needs help, 10 they can call several people in the U.S. We may be the 11 12 general jurisdiction issue, but there are several 13 issues.

There's also the fact that we have the luxury in 14 the U.S. of having a segmented consumer protection 15 system with specialists. We have the CPSC focusing on 16 17 product safety or the FDIC focusing on banking issues. In some countries they just don't have the resources or 18 19 know how yet to have this segmentation so there is a consumer agency that deals with any consumer problem 20 from clean water to privacy, you name it, and anything 21 22 in between, so the players are definitely a little more difficult to identify than in a competition setting. 23

24 We tend to pick up where competition leaves off, 25 and as Hugh mentioned, we cover the gamut from truth in

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

something that starts as a small question, and sometimes you know you get that question, and the way the question is phrased you know there's just not a lot of knowledge on the other side, and it's like you rephrase the guestion for them to give them the right information.

6 We do telephone conferences all the time, video 7 conferences more and more, and we do find there's a 8 significant difference on that face-to-face contact that 9 allows to you flush out the subject matter and also 10 allows you really to put a face to the name that 11 improves cooperation in the future.

12 We constantly have foreign visitors come through our door either on a one-hour meeting on a specific 13 14 topic. I met with Csaba a few months ago. He had some specific questions, follow-up on a seminar we did, to 15 two or three day study tours where somebody calls us up 16 17 and says, we would like to send somebody to your agency to learn everything about what the FTC does in consumer 18 19 protection. We try to give them some reality check, in 20 two or three days, you can only absorb so much.

We do these short-term seminar missions. That's sort of been our bread and butter for the past decade or so, and now we have the SAFE WEB fellows as was described earlier and Virag is one of those.

25 MR. STEVENSON: Pablo, one thing that's not on

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

your list there generally is the long-term missions that we just heard a fair amount about in the discussion of competition issues. Maybe you just want to comment on that, and for instance, why isn't it on the list?

5 MR. ZYLBERGLAIT: Well, I think what Hugh is 6 mentioning are the long-term resident advisors, and I 7 should qualify that some of our long-term resident 8 advisors, like Russ for example, have done both 9 competition and consumer protection in their residency.

We have had -- probably the main challenge has 10 been funding issues. This is an expensive proposition 11 12 to send someone away for six months, and I think usually with limited funding, we tended to fall toward the 13 14 seminars. Especially in the regional context with 15 limited funds we could bring 10, 20 countries to one seminar and make all those contacts at once, but that's 16 17 something we should explore. I think this is something for discussion during the panel that we should look in 18 19 more.

20 What do they get? lcooprote7uue0000 0.0hfp1ft17jET1.0000

together countries from the former Eastern Block, and I was amazed and shocked that these people that were within driving distance of each other, a lot of them had never even talked to each other, never even knew each other, so I think we sparked some cooperation in that.

6 We also provide some expertise with some --7 there are rather technical issues that it's a difficult 8 thing to do on a telephone conference I would guess.

What do we get? Well, hopefully we're promoting 9 sound consumer protection principles. We get better 10 stronger regional contacts, and perhaps on the long-term 11 12 more important, we have improved cooperation. It's a lot easier for us to pick up the phone on an enforcement 13 14 matter and say, look, there's a web site out of Prague 15 that's creating havoc in the U.S., can you take a walk, can you take a look at this and see what's happening, 16 17 can you give us some corporate information.

18 On policy issues, we are constantly undertaking 19 policy initiatives in international fora, and it's 20 always good to be able to build those coalitions too so things go the right way, and on discrete international 21 projects, we have had a couple of spam related projects 22 just to educate business on how to close certain 23 24 technical loops to prevent spam, like secure your server 25 or spam zombies where we have cooperation from 27, 26

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

questions. We do a lot of work on lottery scams for the UK, and questions about specific targets from all over the world all the time. Everyday I get a question: Does the FTC know anything about this company, can you help us out? We consider that a form of technical cooperation.

I mentioned visitors. This is just a sampling
of people we've had come through the door recently on
visits or study tours. And we do now have Hungary and
Canada as participants in the SAFE WEB program.

We have some done interesting video 11 12 conferencing. These are some recent examples with countries with which we've had one to two hour video 13 conferences, and it's a very productive way to get to 14 15 know the real players, and to really jump start a relationship with which Email and phone is not something 16 17 that is necessarily as conducive, and we even actually helped host one the CPSC did with China recently. 18 19 They're sort of getting going in that mode as well, so 20 we were glad to help out.

As was mentioned in the prior panel, we did have in the '90s some resident advisors in some seminars. This is just a sampling of ours: Lithuania, Romania, Hungary again, USAID supported and based programs, mostly Eastern Europe.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 As we entered the new decade, we tried to kind 2 of streamline what we were doing in the short-term missions, consolidated a lot of the programs for 3 4 quality, consistency. This is just a smattering of 5 programs that we did sort of earlier in the 2000s. This б gives you more of a geographic idea. I'm not going to 7 read you the very small print, but this gives you an idea where we were between 2001 and 2004, and then 2005 8 9 through 2007.

10 This ranges from a full out one-week seminar on 11 consumer issues to sometimes going to the international 12 consumer protection and enforcement network that we do, 13 sort of like the ICN for consumer protection, where we 14 did trainings every year on issues like Internet 15 investigations, advertising review, et cetera.

So what is the typical mission like when we do 16 17 these one-week missions? Funded by USAID usually for a region or countries, sometimes they just have funds to 18 19 do a program in Vietnam and sometimes it will be Eastern 20 In some cases we are training the trainers, and Europe. 21 this is a concept that was alluded to earlier, how 22 Hungary acts sort of as a mentor to the region when we 23 leave, so hopefully some of our gift keeps on giving as 24 far as the people that we've trained do become mentors 25 to other countries in the region.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 Just because USAID doesn't fund a country 2 doesn't mean that we forego the opportunity to invite 3 others that want to pay their own way. I'll give you an 4 example in a minute, but we try to be as inclusive as we 5 can when we're in the neighborhood and a three-day seminar usually turns into a five-day mission because we б 7 do things on the side. We try to be efficient with our 8 time.

9 Like Csaba was mentioning, we were in Budapest 10 to give this regional seminar, we were asked to and we 11 did a full one-day seminar just for the competition and 12 consumer protection authorities in Hungary on areas that 13 go beyond the scope of the seminar.

14 When you visit these countries, a lot of them 15 want you to do media outreach for several reasons. One is to help educate their own audience about issues in 16 17 which we have expertise. They also like to show off. They like to say, look, we're looking forward, we're 18 19 learning from other countries as well, and it's good for us to do that work and to show that we're working 20 together. And we also do a lot of work with the State 21 22 Department. We briefed the State Department in what we're doing, and they give us a lot of background on 23 24 where we are going.

1 earlier how we can deal with a host of consumer related 2 agencies. Well, we start with competition agencies. A 3 lot of them do consumer protection work. There is some 4 overlap, and it changes in every country. Again going 5 back to Hungary, which seems to be the case study today, б the Hungarian Competition Authority does a lot of our 7 advertising review work so we work with them and we invite them: Misleading advertising, financial 8 services, food and product safety agencies like to come 9 to these seminars as well. 10

Weights and measures, and this may sound sort of 11 12 like a state issue, but in other countries you don't have such a thing as federal and state. I always like 13 14 to say Hungary doesn't have any domestic flights. Every 15 flight into Budapest is an international flight. There is not that division so as a result, we provide that 16 17 information, and in many cases we made linkages with state attorneys general to deepen that understanding. 18

We deal with consumer associations quite a bit 1 2 as well. In some countries there is no such thing as a consumer protection law or agency. Graciela was 3 4 mentioning how you have the law vetoed in Ecuador. 5 Ecuador doesn't have a consumer protection law as far as б I'm aware of yet. And industry groups, which is 7 something we do domestically -- we invite them when appropriate, if we're not talking about investigative 8 analvlething we do domestically -- we invite them when 9

1 This is just another example. We did one in the 2 former Soviet Republics in Ukraine, and we did the same thing except whenever we can, if we have the budget, we 3 4 try to be as language-based as possible so we did that 5 in Russian which was the closest thing to a common language. They all did speak, and some of them didn't б 7 want to admit they spoke it, but we provided materials electronically to them as well. 8

9 This is at the convention center in Kiev so 10 that's basically the background of what we've been up to 11 for the past 15 years or so.

12 MR. STEVENSON: Thank you, Pablo. Well, that gives us some context for some of the challenges, and I 13 14 thought we should turn next to Dave Lafleur from FDIC, 15 who had been involved in some of the short-term mission As Pablo mentioned, the FDIC was involved with 16 work. 17 Maybe you could just describe for us basically that. the experience that you had in doing that, and what 18 19 kinds of things seemed to be the most useful in terms of doing that kind of short-term mission. 20

21 MR. LAFLEUR: I had the privilege of traveling 22 to Cairo twice, both with attorneys from the Federal 23 Trade Commission and an economist to work on a really 24 very specific project dealing with the development and 25 implementation of Egypt's first credit bureau, which we

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 tend to take very much for granted in this country.

2 You can pop on the Internet at threereaulobktin 3 the morning and get a new credit card through the beauty 4 of credit scores and credit reports. Their banking 5 system is very, very different from ours, and this is б part of a project. It's, the way I describe it, a cog 7 in a much bigger wheel to develop a more efficient real estate lending market. The credit bureau is just one 8 tiny piece of it, but they're all running 9

10 simultaneously.

Now, our role for going there was to provide 11 12 assistance both to banks that will be using these reports and also the Central Bank of Egypt which will be 13 14 the primary regulator of the single credit bureau that 15 will be operating there. We did this project jointly because of our jurisdictional issues herelbuhebeakhaband 16 17 FTC has jurisdiction over credit bureaus and certain other users, and my own expertise as the consumer 18 19 protection aspects of banks that use credit bureaus and 20 also provide information, so that's by way of background sort of while we were there. 21

22 What we learned when we were there I 0 35000 Nlo Nlo rg

concept of the system, much less be at the level of
 building a regulatory program.

3 So during the first trip, it was designed as a 4 five-day seminar to sort of talk about different ways 5 they could regulate their system by hearing our experiences. We weren't there necessarily to compete б 7 between the FDIC and the FTC. The FTC's an enforcement agency. The FDIC does things by routine examination, 8 9 very different approaches. We wanted to present this in a very coordinated way to show them the different ways 10 they could do things and see what might work best for 11 12 them because as on our system, their system is 13 completely based in law. This wasn't something they 14 were creating. It was based on the consumer protections 15 designed in an existing law that they had passed.

That being said, we were very cooperative with 16 17 each other as agencies, but also in our mission which was to show that consumer protection and economic 18 19 development are never mutually exclusive but they need 20 to be done together. As we learned, not only did they not really conceptually understand how a credit bureau 21 22 system worked, there was a tendency to want to, even at 23 that stage, with a very basic understanding 24 over-regulate it and basically quash any economic 25 development that could come from it.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 So it really, at certain points promoted 2 arguments, but generally a very rich discussion, and my 3 hat's off to them for really understanding what needed 4 to happen because clearly going into it, we weren't 5 aware of sort of where they were at in the life cycle of 6 this.

7 Subsequent to that trip, it was more of a presentation and let them ask a lot of questions both 8 9 not only in the consumer protection way to regulate the players in this industry, but also how a credit report 10 works for the average person because what we learned 11 12 there is that less than 5 percent of their population 13 actively uses a bank, and typically bank underwriting, 14 for even the most simplest of transactions, involves hiring a private investigator who will interview your 15 neighbors, your boss, your co-workers. It's a very long 16 17 and drawn out process, something that was highly educational to us just to contrast how their credit 18 19 market operates.

Where we left it at the first trip was sort of the two ways to regulate this type of industry and some of the consumer protections that they would need to be aware of so that fraud, potential fraud and other things wouldn't undermine the system as it developed, and also to get buy-in not only from the users of the system,

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 from the banks, but also consumers to understand their 2 safety in this sort of aggregation of information about 3 them without the sort of private investigator interviews 4 in a credit situation. That was in 2006.

5 As it evolved after we left, the folks in our 6 seminar were then tasked with different assignments to 7 go back and actually start drafting up a system, so we 8 went back late last year to actually sit down and work 9 with small groups of people to come up with an outline 10 of the regulatory scheme.

11 Myself, someone from an American credit bureau 12 and an attorney from the FTC broke up into three groups 13 actually to provide some very hands on guidance, not to 14 tell them what to do but to show, compare and contrast to wanting to let anything about yourself be known really to anybody, much less your creditors, so by showing the system and how a strong system of controls could be placed, it really opened their eyes to I hope consumer protections in the system and how that may work to benefit in getting this thing off the ground.

7 More than anything, I think the benefit is what I took away, which was really a new found understanding 8 9 of the possibility of consumer protection being used to stymie economic growth really because we're so far in 10 the system in this country and we tend to work in a silo 11 12 of strict consumer protection issues dealing with the worst case scenario really gave me I think much more 13 than I left, which was a benefit of understanding how 14 these issues might be taken to the enth degree so much 15 so that they sort of undermine the entire purpose of the 16 17 project.

18 So in that regard I think it was an extremely 19 valuable experience for me personally coming back as a 20 regulator and as someone working on implementing the 21 regulations here at home.

22 MR. STEVENSON: Maybe we should compare that to 23 the experience that the CPSC has had. We have here Rich 24 O'Brien who directs the international matters at the 25 Consumer Product Safety Commission, and Rich, maybe you

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

could tell us a little bit about the experience you've
 had that may be analogous to what was just described.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thanks, Hugh, and my thanks to FTC and DOJ Antitrust for the invitation to be here today. Before I begin, I have to make the usual disclaimer from our agency. This presentation has not been reviewed and approved by the commission and may not reflect its views.

9 Just to set the stage, I want to quickly review 10 what it is we do at CPSC. First, we make sure that 11 where product safety standards should exist that they do 12 exist, and those may take the form of either mandatory 13 or industry consensus/voluntary standards.

14 Secondly, we communicate those standards, and I 15 would say 30 years ago we for the most part communicated 16 those standards to domestic American manufacturers. 17 These days we spend a significant amount of time 18 communicating them to manufacturers overseas.

Third, we enforce compliance with the mandatory standards and applicable U.S. law, and those take the form of many types of recalls, fines, preventing release of product at the port and so forth.

Fourth, we do market surveillance and emerging hazards analysis. A new example is we're forming a new import surveillance division. An old example is the

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

and that China has agreed to ensure that Chinese exports will meet certain U.S. standards such as no lead paint on toys. What I thought you might be interested to hear is something about how their system works or is intended to work and how CPSC is trying to leverage the Chinese

- 1 working at tf1Bmovincial level.7
- 2 Secondly, for export1Bmoducts,t tfy have 3007

3 local China Inspection and Quarantine bureaus called7

4 CIQs with 30,2000employees workingfor tflBeijkin7

inspection officials and industry has been to stop
 embarrassing the country and make whatever changes need
 to make to get it right.

The pipelines for many of the Chinese products CPSC regulates are very long, and it's still too early to predict what will be the impact of the crackdowns of the past few months. There's some evidence that the CIQs and the Chinese industry are taking Beijing's message seriously. We've seen revocation of export licenses and creation of approved supplier lists for 1 U.S. importers and the Chinese CIOs are going to 2 have to be vigilant to get them out of the production 3 chain. Without in any way minimizing the primary and 4 statutory responsibility that U.S. importers have to 5 ensure that their products comply with our laws, let me explain how CPSC is working directly with the Chinese б 7 government and Chinese industry to try and bring about the needed systemic changes. 8

9 I should first add that we are not alone in our European Commission, European industry, 10 efforts. American industry are all sending the same message. 11 One 12 problem area we knew we had to deal with, many Chinese producers had no idea what the U.S. standards are. 13 They 14 either produced specifications from a U.S. importer who 15 is not specifying the standards, or they make a product that finds its way into the export stream without regard 16 17 to the standards of any export market.

18 CPSC's response to this is to get standards into 19 Chinese language text and to communicate our standards 20 and our requirements to Chinese inspectors to give them 21 a better understanding of our rules. A number of texts 22 have been done already. A lot of material is now 23 available on the Internet, by the way, and we're about 24 to launch another round of translations.

25 We've also tried to get the point across to the

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 Chinese producers that knowing our standards is vital to 2 their financial health. While we can and do fine U.S. 3 importers for violations, after all they are the parties 4 within our jurisdiction, a recall can cause a disruption 5 to the Chinese supplier's business that could be 6 disastrous. We want them to see their stake in this 7 too.

8 I've made two recent trips to China during which 9 I've pushed that message. Feedback from Chinese 10 industry association officials suggest that they agree 11 and are willing to push that message to their members. 12 The Guangdong Provincial Toy Association actually quoted 13 me delivering the financial self interest message in its 14 membership magazine.

15 CPSC outreach to foreign stakeholders, both the 16 technical messaging and the more general guidance, is 17 also about to move into a new digital dimension. We're 18 gearing up to begin webcasting seminars to Chinese 19 producers and inspectors. The Chinese have told us that 20 they would welcome this kind of outreach so we're 21 anxious to see how well it can work.

Another problem area we identified is Chinese inspectors don't always understand how we do our testing so they can't always replicate it. If we're going to leverage Chinese government policy to inspect their

For The Record, Inc.

1 exports, we need to ensure they can get it right.

In response, we're finalizing a plan now to provide training in critical areas in order to help Chinese inspectors do their jobs better. As I mentioned earlier, they have an inspection intensive system that's grown out of their communist history, but if U.S. consumers can benefit from, and we'll try to provide the necessary training.

9 MR. STEVENSON: Thank you very much, Rich. I 10 appreciate that.

I would like to turn next to ask Russ Damtoft, his name came up I noticed several times in the last panel on the competition subject, to say a little bit based on his experience about how this area -- how you would compare providing technical assistance in this area with providing it in the competition area.

17 MR. DAMTOFT: Okay. Thanks, Hugh. It's an interesting question, and I think the answer for me 18 19 really depended on how closely the area we were working with related to the core mission of the FTC. 20 The idea between -- at least in technical assistance terms 21 22 between looking at them together is you use competition assistance to help create a situation where competition 23 24 can create choice for consumers, which brings about all 25 of the usual good things that it does, and then consumer

For The Record, Inc.

(301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

protection allows them to meaningfully exercise that choice.

Now, when I was a long-term advisor in Lithuania, I was actually housed in the Lithuanian agency, which had a deceptive advertising unit that roughly corresponded to our Bureau of Consumer Protection, and I spent time working with them in really simply had a law enforcement view that if it's bad, then
 we should regulate against it, and that was often about
 as far as the analysis would go.

Now, that was actually not the true case in
Estonia or Romania where they were both a little more
forward thinking than that, but there were some agencies
where there was some truth to that. So I would reach
out and find areas.

9 In Romania, through the Consumer Protection 10 Agency, they were very interested in the idea of 11 business sponsored self regulation, as Tim Hughes 12 described earlier, and we tried to see if we could help 13 create an analog to the Better Business Bureau, and we 14 reached out and had some cooperation from the Council of 15 Better Business Bureaus in doing that.

In Estonia, the Consumer Protection Agency realized that consumer education was the area that they really needed to work on the most, so we said, fine, and that was the area we worked on, and I reached back to the predecessor of the office of consumer and business education here at the FTC, and we really helped them formulate some strategies to do that.

The other area which was actually within the competition agency was looking at competition advocacy as it came up in consumer protection regulation. There

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 was one country I was working in in which an agency that 2 was not the Consumer Protection Agency proposed a set of 3 regulations for the guidance of commerce, and those 4 regulations said there should essentially be no selling 5 of consumer goods below cost under any circumstances, б that there were criminal penalties affixed to that, and 7 that sales reducing from the regular price could only 8 happen twice a year.

9 I actually had the opportunity to discuss with 10 the competition agency why this might present some 11 competition issues and why it certainly didn't do very 12 much to protect consumers, and that actually led them to 13 try to -- what I did was try to help them be more 14 effective advocates for sound competition policy.

15 When you reached outside of the spectrum farther and farther away from the core mission of what the FTC 16 17 does, there was often very little that I could do to add value, so, for example, we would sometimes get questions 18 about consumer product safety, and frankly I didn't know 19 20 enough about that to say anything useful, so we would simply provide the contact for Rich or his predecessors 21 22 and hope that would lead to something useful.

It does lead to a very difficult question of defining, for the purpose of technical assistance, what do we mean by consumer protection. At some level almost

everything that government does is for consumer

1

2 protection, but you have to draw some boundaries, and 3 where I really tried to draw it effectively was the 4 process by which competition and consumer choice helps 5 make markets work better.

6 MR. STEVENSON: Thanks, Russ. Actually it 7 reminds me of a remark Rich O'Brien had made to me 8 earlier today about the challenge of putting some of the 9 agency's particular work in context. I don't know, 10 Rich, if you wanted to comment on that as a useful sort 11 of thing in dealing with agencies in other countries.

12 In one of my recent trips to China MR. O'BRIEN: I did a talk for the State Department, four city tour, 13 14 and the talk was on the history of consumer activism and consumer policy in the United States. The reason for 15 the talk was to somehow maybe subliminally get across 16 17 the point that recalls and product safety activity in the United States didn't suddenly start springing up out 18 19 of nowhere with China as the target, that in fact it's 20 based on my historical count anyway 135 years of consumerism in the United States. 21

22 So I had this one hour presentation where we 23 actually did this talk on what's the background, and 24 invariably the reaction from the audience in China was: 25 We didn't know that, wow, you mean it didn't all just

1 start in the summer, and I was reminded while I was 2 sitting in the audience awhile ago that on an upcoming 3 trip to Vietnam, I really need to schedule making the 4 same talk. I was going to do technical work, but I 5 think I need to get the embassy to schedule me for the same talk because it is that useful to set the context б 7 for what it is we are doing, why we do our jobs and so 8 forth.

9 MR. STEVENSON: And, Virag, I think you were 10 commenting before, maybe to pick up on the other point 11 Russ was making of this sort of issue of what is 12 consumer protection and how that plays out -- sort of 13 the challenge of defining it in terms of how to deal 14 with agencies on this subject.

MS. BALOGH: Before that, if I just might give a short history of the consumer protection work in technical assistance that has been done with the Hungarian Competition Authority and the FTC in the recent years.

I think actually that it's a great metaphor that Csaba and I are now here, he presenting the more developed, well respected antitrust side of the Hungarian Competition Authority's work and me being a less experienced but eager to work, enthusiastic young colleague who is just looking to work in the consumer

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 protection area.

25

2	And I have to point out that from the beginning,
3	from 1991, the Hungarian Competition Authority had the
4	jurisdiction over the deceptive advertising, misleading
5	advertising cases, and a lot of times as it was
6	mentioned earlier by Russ or Pablo, the technical
7	assistance workshops actually contained parts of
8	deceptive advertising or misleading advertising.
9	So the notion was already there when I joined
10	the Authority in 2004, but it just somehow didn't
11	cumulate in our everyday work, and somehow around when I
12	joined the agency, we started to get a feeling that we
13	needed more sophisticated view on consumer protection,
14	and we needed more empirical background to the cases,
15	and we needed to define better what we want to do with
16	our consumer protection jurisdiction.
17	So our real first down on the consumer
18	protection side was last spring when we had the chance
19	that the chairman, Deborah Majoras, was in Hungary, and
20	attached to that visit we had Pablo Zylberglait and Russ
21	Damtoft gave us a one day seminar, a really good seminar
22	on the work that the FTC has done in the consumer
23	protection area, and I think that really got the ball
24	rolling kind of.

Since then, we've been reading papers. We've

1 been keeping in touch with them. Csaba has been 2 visiting Pablo, and we had teleconferences, and this 3 whole cumulative effect just ended up with me being here 4 as an international fellow, and so it might be just our unique experience because in the consumer protection 5 world, every country is so different, but I think for us б 7 the real benefits of technical assistance came on the 8 consumer protection side when we already had something 9 in mind, when we already could phrase our questions 10 right, when we already had some ideas about how to place ourselves, what to put as a center piece of our work, so 11 12 when we were already on a path to somewhere.

We obviously had to have some directions, some help on what path to choose and where to go, and that's what was extremely useful I think with the one-day seminar and the work since then, and I hope that will just be enhanced with me being here and seeing your work.

19 So I think back to your question, I think what 20 Russ said was really important about consumer choice, 21 that that's the thing I think we would look at as the 22 most important part of consumer protection, that 23 consumer choice must be free in order to choose best, in 24 order to be able to choose best, because in this way we 25 have this integrated view -- we would like to have this

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

integration of consumer protection and competition, and
 we hope with the frequency of choice that automatically
 comes with free competition, and then the other side
 too, competition helps to protect consumers.

5 It's funny that I'm saying these sentences 6 because these were almost the exact sentences that we 7 heard last spring, I don't know either from Pablo or 8 Russ, and obviously it stuck in my mind, and I just keep 9 repeating them.

10 MR. STEVENSON: Well, thank you. You talked a 11 little bit about the different sort of forms in which 12 the assistance had taken, sort of the shorter term 13 seminars, obviously here now SAFE WEB fellow. We had 14 some discussion earlier about the kind of long-term 15 advisor model or long-term merchant model in the 16 competition side.

Do you think that has a role in consumer protection, and if so, what? And maybe our other panelists also might have a thought on that.

MS. BALOGH: I obviously think it might, but I just would like to point out the fact that Graciela has made in the previous panel that on the consumer protection side, the need for adaptavity is even greater than on the competition side because consumer protection, in my thinking, is closer to the culture of

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 the country itself.

2 I mean, the consumer protection is more diverse 3 than competition, that's obviously true, so I think 4 that's a higher notion there in this area. 5 MR. STEVENSON: Okay. MR. ZYLBERGLAIT: I just want to follow-up on б 7 what Virag said, which follows up on what Graciela said. I think Graciela gave the example of you should know 8 9 when to say something and when not to say something. I can't tell you in my experience how politically linked 10 and how this is a human rights issue in many countries, 11 12 this idea of consumer issues. I can't tell you how many times I quote JFK's 13 consumer rights from the '60s, which we don't often 14 15 necessarily quote these days, but this idea that consumer rights are -- it's a civil right, it's a human 16 17 right, and that leads to a lot of rigidity and a lot of; But this is not true, why should we allow it. Try to 18 19 have an economist argue, Well, this is not efficient, let it go, it's not important. 20 You would really need that cultural 21 22 unf.00'on't ofn m0 424.mn4

statement and that's how we apply the principle, it's
 just not going to carry you very far.

And that's why I think the long-term advisor is vital in the future because it allows you to gain that understanding and maybe in a couple weeks or a month begin to make those sound choices. role or what is the dynamic of the potential for
 economics as sort of a basis for technical assistance?
 Maybe if, Russ, you wanted to comment on it and then
 Virag.

5 I think it's critical, and it's MR. DAMTOFT: interesting. When I first went over as a long-term б 7 advisor, there's always been kind of a friendly tension 8 between the lawyers and the economists, and I 9 immediately looked to make contact with the lawyers, figuring that they were really from my tribe, and I 10 11 found exactly the reverse was true, that the economists 12 understood what the purpose of both the competition and the consumer protection law was supposed to fulfill. 13

And those were the people I had the most receptive audiences with, and I think if I wasn't able to bring over some of that kind of thinking, then I would probably have been wasting my time.

18 MR. STEVENSON: Virag, go ahead.

19 MS. BALOGH: I think it's extremely important0000 th and

I just think that the hard part of this being that -- I mean, competition economics is something that has been well established for a long time, and everybody agrees on the main principles of that, whereas the economics behind consumer protection I feel, and my understanding being very narrow on this, is that it's a lot more fragmented.

8 Sometimes there's even arguments about the 9 principle thoughts of that area, so it's something 10 that's still developing, so it's harder to apply 11 something that is still in the stage of development to 12 something that is well established.

MR. ZYLBERGLAIT: I also wanted to add that most of the countries we deal with in technical assistance are civil law countries where the attorneys are the guardians of the law, and they're very focused on these very elaborate complex legal principles and make sure that we're following the bureaucratic letter of the law.

Most of the bureaucrats that we deal with in the Consumer Protection Agencies are not attorneys themselves, but the heads of agencies, that if you're lucky, have some economist or some engineer or someone trained in the business.

The economists really are the messengers of that market system. They're the ones that can really explain

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

the underpinnings of why we're doing this, and forget that law, it doesn't really help you, it doesn't help consumers. If you do this, you're going to see prices come down, quality go up, et cetera, et cetera.

5 So I think that's why it's fundamental that the 6 economists come along, and I frankly don't want to be 7 stuck having to explain a medical survey to somebody. 8 The economists at the FTC do a much better job.

9 MR. STEVENSON: How about on enforcement in the 10 role of technical assistance related to working on 11 enforcement? I think our DOJ colleague from the 12 previous panel talked about one useful role being there 13 sort of in the moment of application of the law and that 14 kind of thing and whether to bring cases and how to 15 bring cases.

How important is that in this area of technical assistance and is that an area to focus on as opposed to say the policy, the economic analysis?

MR. ZYLBERGLAIT: I think that's key. That's where the rubber hits the road. You can spend months talking about the policy. It's also worth explaining. A lot of the agencies we deal with have no prosecutorial discretion. If the problem is dropped on their lap, they must act on it, and I think we have a key role to

1 certain leeway there.

2 Another example is, for example, the Costa 3 Ricans wanted to roll out a campaign against what they 4 call miracle products which would be your typical 5 completely phoney, baseless weight loss products or cure б products, and we have the good fortune of doing a 7 seminar like the week before and be there for the press If you can be there as they're crafting 8 conferences. 9 their message, as they're working within industry, which is a form of enforcement prevention, if you will, you 10 can do a lot of good, and so I think those are two 11 12 important things to keep in mind.

MR. STEVENSON: I guess one last issue is where we go from here and whether there are certain areas geographically in terms of subject matter that it would be useful to focus on in technical assistance efforts.

17 MR. ZYLBERGLAIT: I always have something to 18 chime in. Substantively speaking, we're seeing more and 19 more of the penetration of the Internet, and it's 20 amazing how quickly things can go like wildfire on the 21 Internet.

One analogy is the analogy of people in Vietnam who somebody mentioned on the previous panel you couldn't get a phone for 17 years in some countries. That was the case in Vietnam. They leap frogged the

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

technology, and everything is cellular over there. The
 same thing with the Internet use. You see how quickly
 things can develop.

We need to develop the confidence in that medium to spread the markets so it's important that we keep putting out the message of, look, you don't have to pass a new law in ECommerce, just the same law of advertising, substantiation and truthfulness applies to the Internet.

10 MR. STEVENSON: Rich, I'm sorry, if I can ask 11 Rich O'Brien maybe just in terms of the product safety 12 area where the sort of priority areas are as you see 13 them.

MR. O'BRIEN: Well, first of all, I'll piggyback on Pablo and then talk about the geographic aspect. For us the Internet is equally an issue, but we have a problem in that we don't know the answers so we can't 3 that it's an unsafe product.

order something directly from a foreign supplier and have it delivered to your home because we've decided that it's an unsafe product.

4 That's a very difficult issue for us, and it's 5 hard for us to tell other countries how they ought to 6 handle it.

7 As far as geography goes, for us the question is very much all of the things I told you about China. 8 9 Where do we have to prevent those kinds of issues next? Where do we have to look for the trends in boom consumer 10 product manufacturing that's going to get ahead of 11 12 quality and safety capacity potentially? So, we're looking at the countries around the South China Sea 13 That's for us the next area to focus on. 14 area.

MR. STEVENSON: Thanks, and I'll give the lastword to Virag.

17 MS. BALOGH: I just wanted to point out a notion that maybe is not classically technical assistance but 18 19 international cooperation related; that the European 20 Union is on their way to unify consumer protection laws in its member states, so it would probably be a good 21 22 idea to look at the European consumer protection law in comparison to American consumer protection law because 23 24 what I feel is it's 0008Se

importance of global trade and everything. So, yeah,
 that would be an area.

MR. STEVENSON: Okay. All right. On that note and promoting dialogue, we obviously have a lot of work ahead of us. I would ask you to please join me in б thanking the excellent presentations of our panelists. (Applause.) (Pause in the proceedings.)

- 1 PANEL 3:
- 2 RUSSELL PITTMAN, Moderator, Director of Technical
- 3 Assistance and Economic Research, Antitrust Division,
- 4 DOJ
- 5 PANELISTS:
- 6 ALBERTO HEIMLER, Central Director for Research and

work, and then maybe get to some discussions of how we
 might all coordinate better on some of the common
 issues.

We're going to open with Alberto Heimler, who is the chief of the policy section I believe, Research and International Affairs at the Italian Competition Authority and has been involved a great deal in the EU's training program, both personally and in his professional role, so Alberto.

10 MR. HEIMLER: Thank you very much. As Russ said, I'm here mostly with a European hat, not so much 11 12 with an Italian one. This is so because I will talk 13 about the Italian experiences with the training projects that the EC launched in 1998, right at the time when the 14 new institutions in the transition economies had been 15 already in place for quite some time and needed 16 17 directions and indications for action.

The training projects, as you know, are just not 18 19 on competition. Since 1998 there have been more than 20 1,000 projects, on all areas where European regulation has an influence, from agricultural matters, customs, 21 22 organization, police cooperation and of course competition and state aid. Indeed there have been 13 23 24 projects on competition in these past ten years. The 25 Italian Authority participn a.W0.00 rgBT36.0000 lf 256.2000 TD(Romania, one each in Czech Republic, Malta and
 Bulgaria).

3 Indeed the Italian Authority took these project 4 seriously, and in 2000, just two years after the 5 twinning projects had been launched, we replied to a request by the Romanian Competition Authorities and б 7 submitted a proposal for conducting a two years program in Bucharest. Up until today I thought that many 8 9 national competition authorities had been involved with these twinning exercises. However, looking at the list 10 that was given to me by the EC commission for the 11 12 preparation of this talk, I noticed that the Italian Competition Authority had quite an important/leading 13 14 role, which until I gathered material for this 15 conference was unknown to me.

In general independent competition authorities 16 17 did not participate to the twinning exercises. Ministries did also in twinnings in competition. 18 In 19 particular the German Ministry of finance played a leading role. This was the case because most of these 20 projects has a State Aid component as well, a matter 21 22 about which competition authorities of the (old) member 23 States did not deal with. The idea of these training 24 projects is to train the administration of a beneficiary 25 country with the help of an administration of a member

1 country of the EC in a long term relations whose aim is 2 to bring the administration in question to the European 3 standard, and this means a lot of things, not just hard 4 law. It means soft law and organizational matters as 5 well.

6 My experience is very much related to the fact 7 that the success of these programs is case by case. 8 It's not really the program that makes the success, but 9 it is the relationships between "demand" and "supply" 10 that defines the "equilibrium". There is a supply and 11 there is a demand of technical assistance.

12 The objective of these programs was to guarantee that a particular country in a specific subject matter 13 14 had reached the level of a member State of the European 15 Union. As a result these programs were quite important for these countries, and they had to accept them (in 16 17 order to show their desire to comply with European standards) and also they had to accept the advice of the 18 19 competition authority or whichever administration was in 20 charge in order to comply with European regulation so as 21 to gain accession faster.

22 So in this sense the twinning exercises had a 23 political dimension that is not very common or usual for 24 technical assistance programs. However this does not 25 mean that there was always confidence that the

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 assistance would be beneficial in a substantive way. 2 Demand for technical assistance was driven by a 3 political objective more than by a modernization 4 objective, so there was a risk that the agency would try 5 to comply only formally with the European standards and pursue whatever domestic objective it was considered б 7 politically convenient to pursue. Sometimes of course this was the case. In many other, which of course had 8 9 to do with the person in charge with the authority, with the specific circumstances of the country, there was a 10 genuine desire by the beneficiary country to modernize, 11 12 not just to comply with EC rules and EC regulations and what we call hard law, but to comply more in general to 13 14 have an organizational structure that would make the enforcement of competition law more effective and more 15 efficient. 16

17 It's difficult to know when this happens, but certainly it is related to the management and the 18 19 leadership of the authority of the time and what type of 20 vision that leadership has at the time. What we always thought was that what matters is the enforcement of 21 22 competition law, and the enforcement of competition law is not just related to rules and to the substantive 23 24 rules, but also to procedural matters, such as 25 guaranteeing the rights of defense of companies,

ensuring transparency, and also, as Craig suggested this morning, organizational issues, like obtaining and maintaining high quality staff, organizing the authority in a way that would guarantee an efficient decision making process, making sure that there were enough resources for the most serious cases.

7 The problem was that many countries lacked the culture of hiring good people, and they did not even 8 9 have a hiring law or practice that would make sure that good people would apply to the job and would be 10 selected. In these instances our advice also went in 11 12 the direction of making sure that there was a transparent process of hiring which was related to the 13 identification of competences, not so much on university 14 degrees, but on the actual capability of people working 15 for the authorities that they were indeed capable of 16 17 applying the antitrust laws, that they knew something about the law and economics of antitrust. 18

And also in some countries, we heard this morning Ecuador, suggesting how indeed there was a problem of high turn over in the authority staff, which is quite common everywhere and has been quite common everywhere in Eastern Europe. Romania, when we got there in the year 2000, had very young staff, and that staff was revolving quite rapidly, so they did not even

have the time to train them and they had already moved
 away, and the reason certainly was not the fact that the
 job was not interesting.

4 The reason was a very bad salary that the staff 5 of these authorities were receiving, and so we had an experience to share at that time which was the Italian 6 7 experience where indeed the salary structure of the Competition authority was the same as that of the 8 9 Central Bank, a system we tried to promote that in Romania and other countries as well, suggesting that 10 indeed what is the most important element for having an 11 12 effective antitrust enforcement agency is for the authority to have a high standing in the country, and 13 14 the high standing is also related to the position of 15 their employees within the public administration of the 16 country.

17 The reputation of the authority had also to do with the leadership of the authority. The higher the 18 19 standing of that leadership, the higher also of the standing of the authority as such, but also of course 20 the position of employees within the authority, within 21 22 the public administration of the country is quite There were instances in which indeed the 23 important. 24 Italian experience was followed successfully, and it was 25 quite an important one to follow because the Italian

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 Authority was created in 1990, not so much before the 2 authorities of the countries we were trying to help and 3 the experience we were relating to was quite recent and 4 therefore easy to follow.

5 But of course what really matters is the б enforcement of competition law, and in this respect, as 7 I mentioned before, of course there are the substantive 8 issues of the hard law that is being applied. These are 9 easy to adopt. Procedural issues are more difficult to change because they also depend on the administrative 10 11 law of the country. For example, in the Czech Republic 12 the first appeal against a decision of the Authority is with the Chairman himself, a procedure that was 13 impossible to change because it was an enshrined 14 15 principle of the Czech administrative system. There are also some important issues related to soft law matters 16 17 like the way substantive000 lxyids shouled bs

1

sure that the greater transparency is achieved.

2 This can be done just by showing what we do in 3 our countries, and competition authorities -- my 4 experience is a very good one, but only when competition 5 authorities are willing to modernize, are open and have a genuine interest to modernize. They don't just б 7 promote these programs because there is the money or because they are there and just to put a paragraph in an 8 9 annual report, but there is a genuine desire to modernize. They're very happy and willing to follow. 10

11 There are also the organizational issues, of 12 which we have experience, like the way to manage complex 13 organizations of 100, 200 people. For example whether 14 to have a sectorial approach or a functional approach in 15 the organizational structure, is an issue we have dealt 16 with guite frequently.

17 This is where a long-term technical assistance matters because issues like the standing of the 18 19 authority, the reputation, the transparency or 20 organizational matters are very difficult to be achieved 21 with short-term missions. You can only do this with 22 long-term assistance, staying in the country, 23 understanding its culture, its legal system, its 24 political environment. Of course there is a funding 25 problem with long term programs.

We were quite lucky in Europe because the European Commission had the political goal of quickly integrating these countries within the European Union and has continued to do so now with countries at the edge of the European Union, like Ukraine and the Mediterranean countries like Morocco and even Russia. Funding was quite substantial.

Just to give you an idea, the budget of one training project, is around one million Euro over a two years period. Not all of the money is spent, but nonetheless, this is the order of magnitude of the cost of these projects. Altogether a multiple the \$600,000 I heard today Chairman Majoras referring to as the total funding of the US technical assistance on competition.

I would like to end by saying that the bureaucracy associated with these projects is key to their success. There is a discipline that originates from bureaucracy and which makes this twinning programs quite effective.

There is a covenant that starts with objectives that need to be achieved, and then also there's a final report that shows how these objectives have been achieved. Furthermore the final report contains some policy recommendations to the authority and to the country. These recommendations can be used if by the

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

competition authorities to promote change. Because of
 the reputation of the institution that recommends them
 (the winning team) and the reputation of the European
 Commission that approves them, these recommendations can
 be and have been influential.

6 MR. PITTMAN: Okay. Thank you, Alberto. Our 7 next speaker, Shyam Khemani, senior competition advisor 8 for the World Bank.

9 MR. KHEMANI: Thank you very much. It's a 10 pleasure to be here. I would like to preface my remarks 11 by pointing out that whatever I'm going to say and 12 discuss is in my own personal capacity, partly because 13 the World Bank really doesn't have one uniform view.

The principal objectives of the World Bank Group are to promote broad based, inclusive, sustainable economic development and poverty alleviation, so fostering investment, especially private sector led investment and competitive markets are considered an important, if not the critical way for achieving these objectives.

Now, during the past two decades along with our sister organization, the IMF, we worked with member country governments to promote sound economic management, monetary fiscal exchange rate stability, reduced government deficits, trade investment

1 liberalization, deregulation and the like.

2 Policy measures in these and other related areas 3 have generally been pro-competitive and have led to 4 widespread economic benefits within as well as among 5 countries. However, the World Bank view is that the sustainability and benefits that accrue from an improved б 7 competitive environment are also dependent on policies such as having a clearly defined, accountable and 8 9 transparent legal and regulatory framework, minimal barriers to entry and exit, flexible and responsive 10 markets for labor, land, finance, good provision of 11 12 infrastructure services and other productive inputs.

Here most of developing countries face major challenges that need to be addressed, and if the emerging competitive markets, economic environment are to be maintained, protected and promoted, then these challenges have to be addressed in these areas.

18 These challenges are also importantly 19 conditioned, the nature and type of the World Bank Group 20 policy advice, technical and financial assistance 21 programs and the relative role and positioning of 22 competition, antitrust law and policy in the broader 23 framework of policy advice to promote a market economy 24 in our member countries.

At the outset, it may be useful to note that

25

within the World Bank Group there is no single unit,
 division or directorate responsible for promoting
 competition, competitive markets or for providing
 competition law and policy advice.

5 Yet the recognition of the role and importance of competition in fostering sustainable broad based 6 7 economic development is a common thread connecting many of the World Bank policies and programs, whether this 8 9 relates to the provision of infrastructure services, export promotion, attracting foreign direct investment, 10 government procurement, developing tourism or policy 11 12 advice relating to specific economic sectors.

However, the broad consensus in support of competition tends to be less pronounced within the World Bank Group when it comes to encouraging countries to enact competition laws and establish competition agencies. This may come as a bit of a surprise and as a disappointment to many of those in the audience here who come from or support antitrust agencies.

The doubts partly stem from the fact that many member countries need to address problems of a higher priority, such as providing basic health, education, water, sanitation, roads and other infrastructure services to its population, and also to fight HIV/AIDS.

institutional capacities and capabilities in areas such as the civil service and judiciary, the enactment of competition laws and the creation of competition agencies are viewed in some quarters of the World Bank as possible vehicles for unnecessary government interventions and emerging or nascent markets, may be captured by vested interest, corruption and the like.

The case for competition laws and agencies 8 9 becomes weakened when tangible and clear examples of their impact on alleviating poverty and improved 10 consumer welfare cannot be provided. Yesterday I had a 11 12 meeting with Bill Kovacic, and we discussed that indeed this is a challenge for competition agencies in 13 14 developing countries as well as in industrialized countries to point to tangible examples of the benefits 15 of competition to the common man. 16

17 Citation of examples such as reduced cost and 18 accessibility of mobile telephone services, domestic 19 passenger airline travel services, automobile, et 20 cetera, are viewed more as testaments to market 21 liberalization than to the impact of the actions of 22 competition agencies.

In other words, one can have competition without having the competition law as many developing countries and indeed many of the fast growing East Asian economies

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

have shown over the past two or three decades, and enacting a competition law does not necessarily ensure competition as we know that there are now about 120 jurisdictions, and when one does a survey, one finds that it is very spotty in terms of the success and the implementation of competition law policy.

7 So the World Bank Group's technical assistance specifically related to competition law policy and 8 9 competition agencies has generally been ad hoc in nature. Broadly speaking it has been demand driven and 10 is based on requests by governments as part of an agreed 11 12 package of policy and structural economic group forms 13 and lending programs where competition policy or 14 competition law policy and agencies happen to be one of 15 the several other elements as part of a package of policy advice to those countries. 16

17 Contrary to the popular misconceptions, only on rare occasions have the enactment or strengthening of 18 19 competition law agencies been a conditionality of World Bank loans and programs. I myself worked in the bank 20 for now about 15 years, and I can only think of about 21 22 two or three examples, Indonesia, Korea where we 23 insisted on strengthening of their competition 24 provisions of their financial crisis, Argentina and 25 maybe a few others.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 So the nature and type of technical assistance programs that the World Bank does provide when it 2 3 receives such demands spans the range of the provision 4 of advice that we have discussed this morning, drafting 5 new and amending existing competition laws to training б of staff and institutional capacity building to 7 conducting sector specific competition assessments to funding study tours, internships, resident expert 8 9 advisors for short and medium time periods and on occasion also arranging for expert advice on case 10 specific matters. 11

For example when Brazil was facing major consolidation in the brewery or beer industry, they asked the World Bank could we pull together a team that could provide them how merger cases in such industries had been handled in various jurisdictions.

17 So given the World Bank itself has limited internal capacity and expertise in competition law 18 19 policy, much of the technical assistance programs and 20 partnerships with the industrial countries -- much of the technical assistance program is delivered through 21 22 consultants and where possible in collaboration with and partnership of industrial countries' competition 23 24 agencies, so we have collaborated with the Department of 25 Justice, the Federal Trade Commission, the Office of

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

Fair Trading, the Canadian Competition Bureau and a number of other agencies around the world including those in developing countries where technical advice from one country that is slightly ahead on the learning curve has gone to countries that are just starting in this program.

Now, when we cannot provide tangible benefits about competition and also when, as the discussion on consumer protection the earlier panel indicated, there are problems in markets, what happens importantly is that it undermines the faith in markets and competition, and so that faith is not as wide spread in developing countries as one would tend to think is prevalent.

14 So the World Bank Group advice and analysis with 15 respect to competition policy has primarily focused on 16 public policy based restraints to competition such as 17 government regulations impeding entry exit price 18 controls, the time and procedures taken in conducting 19 doing business, registration of companies and so on.

In areas such as the main provisions of competition law policy relating to cartels, abuse of dominance, mergers and acquisitions, advice is provided and issues relating to what are the priorities are tailored to different countries in different situations. So for example when David Lewis from South

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

Africa feels that countries should be giving prominence to addressing merger and acquisition transactions and restructuring, as you said in the Fordham Law speech a few years ago doesn't really apply to Tanzania which doesn't have very many listed companies and or a high pace of mergers and acquisition activity.

Now, recently the approach towards strengthening and promoting competition in the World Bank Group really is starting to focus on what we call systemic issues of competition, that is various government rules and regulations affecting markets that particularly impact on the poor and provide inputs to multiple other industries.

14 So, for example, when it comes to electricity, 15 telecommunication services, the transportation services and so on, which are vital inputs for competition, 16 17 integrating markets and promoting competitiveness as well as every case and sector specific analysis relating 18 19 to such products that are staples such as rice, beans as 20 we did recently in Costa Rica, or vegetable oil or 21 cooking propane gas because that's where consumers can see the benefits of changing the regulatory environment, 22 of enacting an effective competition law and therefore 23 24 build faith in the market mechanisms.

25 We also have been through these types of

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

programs engaging in universities and building up local 1 2 consulting capacities, and so if in recent years one has found that the World Bank is less demanding of U.S. and 3 4 Canada or industrial country based consultants for 5 services, it's because we're really trying to identify qualified consultants in local economies in the region б 7 to build capacity so that they can apply these within their framework. 8

9 I do want to mention, as a final set of remarks, 10 that there is a big gap between the methods and approaches of industrial countries in doing competition 11 12 analysis, including the application of economic principles. I do not agree with the statement made 13 14 earlier today that economics is the same across countries. Yes, economic laws and analytical methods 15 may be somewhat similar. 16

17 However, the situation in developing countries is far more challenging. For example, in economies 18 19 where it takes 175 days just to register the name of a 20 company may be a significant barrier to entry. You say why, it's only 175 days, it's less than a year. 21 But 22 without the name of the registration -- of a company, you cannot borrow. You have no legal entity. You 23 24 cannot recruit. You cannot hire labor. You are not 25 given a recognition.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 So it's a bit like Gulliver being tied down by 2 the Lilliputians with a series of little pinpricks and 3 threads because of the lack of a business infrastructure 4 that is very important for facilitating competition and 5 supply responses.

The time period that we use in merger guidelines б 7 in the U.S., Canada and the UK -- where we say, Well, if it takes three years or so or more, barriers to entry 8 9 are high -- are not applicable in developing country contexts. We need to go back to the drawing board 10 because entry could take place five years, six years, 11 12 seven years because access to capital is not there. The 13 infrastructure is not there. Access to land is not 14 there.

15 So let us not assume that markets for land, labor, capital are as fluid and flexible as they were in 16 17 the United States or in Canada. They are significant gaps in institutions. You don't have credit bureaus. 18 19 You don't have information. So how do you 20 do competition assessment in an informationally poor country where you can't -- you don't have scanner data, 21 price data, and neither do you have the resources in the 22 23

1 So I personally think the lessons that I've 2 learned from the World Bank experience is that we have 3 to collectively put our minds to some other approaches 4 to handling competition problems that challenge 5 developing countries, and it may mean going back to б methods that were applied prior to the computerized 7 techniques and quantitative mathematical and econometric techniques that we are so used to here maybe the kind of 8 9 techniques that were used in the 1950s and '60s.

10 Thank you with that.

11 MR. PITTMAN: Thank you, Shyam. Our third 12 speaker is Edward Whitehorn. He's head of the 13 competition relations with non-members at the OECD. 14 Edward, welcome.

MR. WHITEHORN: Hi, and thank you. What I would like to do is give you a brief overview of the OECD's technical assistance program. The OECD's program, like the program of the two U.S. agencies, started in earnest in around 1989 when the Berlin Wall came down, but in intervening years the focus has shifted from Eastern and Central Europe to cover most parts of the world today.

We have regional programs, for example, now in Asia and in Latin America and of course in Eastern and Central Europe. The OECD's particularly fortunate in being able to call upon the expertise of its member

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 countries in delivering a technical assistance program.

2 So, although we have a relatively small secretariat staff in Paris, we are able to put on quite 3 4 an extensive program, and we use experts from the 5 agencies like the two U.S. agencies who very generously give of their time and their expertise to act as experts б 7 or as panel members in events which we organize, and most of the program which we put on is events, training 8 essentially for officials in new competition 9 authorities, and last year, in 2007, we organized 21 10 such events in different parts of the world. 11

Apart from these fairly regular training events, we do also annually put on two big events. One is the global forum on competition, which this year is due to take place in a couple of weeks time in Paris, and this is a big gathering of this year we anticipate about 90 delegations from all around the globe with a total of probably something like 300 people in attendance.

This is not strictly speaking a technical assistance event. It's more what we call in OECD jargon a policy dialogue occasion, but it is an opportunity for officials from the newer authorities to participate in a discussion with more experienced officials about a range of issues, some cutting edge issues, some rather more pedestrian day-to-day issues.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

venture, if you like, between the OECD and the host
 country, and essentially the OECD provides the
 professional expertise and input to the center, and the
 host country provides finance and also administrative
 support in terms of organizing the program of events.

б Each of these centers has a regular program for 7 officials in their region: The Seoul center clearly serving Asian official and the Budapest center the 8 9 Eastern and Central European countries, and typically there are about six events each year in each center, and 10 they would on average last about three to four days and 11 12 attract perhaps something like 20 participants to each 13 events, so as you can see this attracts quite a large 14 number of officials during the course of one year.

15 The courses are at various levels. We have introductory courses, more advanced courses and try to 16 17 target a particular audience when designing the program. As you would expect, the subjects are the usual 18 19 competition pillars, the three obvious areas: Mergers, 20 unilateral conduct and restrictive agreements including of course cartels, the kinds of things you would expect 21 22 to see in a competition training program.

Another technique which we use quite extensively in these centers, and again I think this is probably an OECD originated scheme, is to ask the participants to

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 each bring one case with them, so they bring details of 2 a case which they're working on at home or have worked 3 on, and this case is then presented in the seminar.

4 It's discussed with the other participants and 5 with the expert panel, and everybody has an opportunity 6 to learn by looking at a real situation and considering 7 the various issues and the various options and perhaps 8 looking at the way in which that particular authority 9 has dealt with the case.

10 At the end of each event, we do ask participants 11 to provide some feedback for us, to complete an 12 evaluation form, and I'm pleased to say that that 13 evaluation is generally pretty good and pretty positive, 14 and it also helps us to plan ahead, to plan for the 15 following year and to make sure that we are responsive 16 to the needs and requirements of our target countries.

17 I think this is a very important general point in a seminar such as this, that we should not lose sight 18 19 of the fact that what we are doing is providing a 20 service of benefits to others, and we should be very aware of the fact that there are specific needs and 21 requirements in each of these countries, and we need to 22 be very aware of what their needs and their requirements 23 24 are in order to provide an effective technical

25 assistance program.

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555 This brings me to an issue which we have been thinking about quite a lot just recently, and that is the sustainability of the efforts which we make in this area. There is a danger it seems to me in doing a lot of ad hoc seminars and workshops which, although no help with in order to produce a better environment for
 reducing bid rigging, for example?

And we currently are working with two countries, with Brazil and Chile at the moment. The project has only been underway for about six months or so, but we have now devised a work plan for both of those countries in which we have identified a number of issues which we think are important in trying to reduce the level of bid prigging in public procurement.

10 And to finish, ladies and gentlemen, I wanted to

1 whom we know about, and we have asked them to provide us
2 with details of events which they have planned over the
3 next six months or a year.

We have compiled this all into a calendar, which as I say is now available on our web site, and I hope will provide a useful indicator both to providers and recipients of technical assistance of what is going on, what is available, what people are doing and where and help to provide a more coherent and coordinated program around the world.

11 Thank you.

MR. PITTMAN: Thank you, Edward. Our fourth and final speaker, my colleague, Anne Purcell White, who is going to talk a bit about the International Competition Network and its role in all this.

MS. PURCELL WHITE: Thank you, Russ. I have
felt funny sitting up here because I'm obviously not an **16**e a **neaTDsaRMf**onPURCELMRWWPETETMANhankhynk, y&ussEdwardaveOur fourth an

1 execute those priorities organizationally once they've
2 set them?

The other important line of business in the competition policy working group has been the subgroup on technical assistance, which has been very ably chaired by the Federal Trade Commission, first Commissioner Kovacic and then Russ Damtoft has been in charge for the past few years. 1 the consultation mechanism.

2 DOJ is a member in that mode, and basically what 3 we've done is put an advertisement of sorts on the ICN's 4 web page listing the sort of topic areas of what we 5 think we can help new agencies with, and we've designated a point of contact that new agencies can 6 7 call, and then that point of contact will put the case handler of a new agency in connection with a case 8 9 handler at DOJ.

10 Unfortunately, neither of these two models have 11 been used very much or as much as we thought they would 12 be. One of the things the subgroup is working on this 13 year is trying to figure out why that is and trying to 14 improve this line of work. A number of ideas have 15 floated around in that respect.

I think this morning we heard about the importance of personal contact, and it may very well be that these two methods of facilitating communication may be just still too virtual in nature and, therefore, not used as much as we thought.

The other line of work for the technical assistance subgroup has been publishing findings on technical assistance. You all should have these findings in your folder you received when you registered. They are ten findings on technical

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 assistance that were based on a very comprehensive and 2 quantitative survey that the working group conducted in 3 2004 and 2005 of 49 recipient agencies of technical 4 assistance.

5 I'm not going to go in to all of the findings in 6 detail. I believe Danny Sokol will address some of this 7 as well as George Korsun later this afternoon. They 8 have studied the data today from the survey in much more 9 detail than I have, but there were a few findings that I 10 thought I should at least point out to the group which I 11 found in particular to be interesting.

12 On the subject of advisors, what the survey revealed was I think or more or less confirmed is that 13 14 the quality of an advisor is very very critical to a project's success. What I think was more surprising 15 though was what qualities in particular agencies valued 16 17 in an advisor, and those were knowledge of the subject area, applicability of the advice and the quality of the 18 19 materials.

20 Surprising at least to me was that knowledge of 21 local conditions was really not considered a very 22 important qualification in terms of evaluating the 23 effectiveness of an advisor, though I suspect there's 24 probably some link between an advisor's adaptability and 25 knowledge of local conditions. I don't think an advisor

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

can be quite that adaptable if it didn't know going in
 some of the local conditions of the market in which it's
 working.

4 The other finding that was interesting was that 5 current or prior employment in a competition agency is very highly valued by recipients of technical б 7 assistance, both for -- fellow agencies are valued both because they have the practical experience in 8 9 investigating cases, but also because recipient agencies now have somebody in a fellow agency that they can 10 maintain follow-up contact with. 11

12 The third area that the findings address, which I think is interesting, which again this sounds obvious 13 14 in its broad sense, which is that technical assistance 15 must be matched to the capacity of an agency. Okay, that sounds obvious, but some of the details were sort 16 17 of interesting, and that is that long-term advisors and study missions were found to be more effective in 18 19 relatively mature agencies and maybe even 20 counterproductive if introduced too early on in the stage of development of a new agency, and that 21 22 short-term seminars are particularly useful to very new agencies, and with that I'll close. 23

24 MR. PITTMAN: Okay. Do we have any questions or 25 comments from our colleagues in the audience?

e mat0 TDn ptTtrodu51.6some1n ptTtrodu51.6somr

I was very interested in this calendar that OECD has developed. I think we've for a long time been in a situation where we've done a lot of informal coordinating among all our agencies, and I think the ability to coordinate that would be a big benefit. I wonder if you, Edward, you or Alberto would

7 like to say a word or two more about evaluation.
8 Edward, you mentioned people evaluating programs when
9 you're finished with them.

Have either of you in either the training or in your programs figured out ways to do that beyond was this a successful program? Did you learn from it? Is there more to it than that? Is there more that we can do?

15 MR. WHITEHORN: I'm not sure there is a lot The usual kind of evaluation form we have used 16 more. 17 quite extensive, and we do as a matter of course ask participants after each event to fill out a form, giving 18 19 us some feedback on all aspects of the event, from the 20 facilities and the food provided to an individual assessment of each presenter and each panel member. 21

So I think that is useful, particularly if one can look at a number of events and compare the kind of results you're getting and see whether there's a trend over time, whether things are getting better or worse

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

and how perhaps one regional center maybe compares with
 some other venue.

3 So we do do that, but I think there is obviously 4 a clear limit on the amount of weight one can put on 5 that immediate response from participants.

6 We do also conduct once every two years a more 7 extensive survey where we send again another form to all 8 the countries who participated in our technical 9 assistance work over the previous year, and we ask there 10 for a more reflective view: After a time, what do you 11 feel has been the benefit of attending a particular 12 course, a particular event you've put on?

And again those results I think are useful, but going much further than that I think is difficult issue: How does one actually make an assessment of whether the 1 made between the recipient authority and the giving 2 authority where indeed all the objectives are being 3 identified. wanted to make sure that what we said was really learned by the staff of the authority, there have been occasions were they wanted us to make sure that these inputs would indeed translate into better knowledge or better abilities to analyze restrictions of competition. We could not give exams, so these training events remained among the objectives of our programs as such.

8 There are things that you can measure; other 9 things you cannot measure, and in the case of training 10 you measure just the input hoping that you had listened 11 too.

12 Thank you, Alberto. MR. PITTMAN: Okay. Shyam? 13 MR. KHEMANI: In the World Bank projects, we 14 have monitoring and evaluation indicators, and we have to indicate the success or lack of success of a project 15 which so what is the impact? So let me give you a few 16 17 examples.

18 When it comes to addressing systemic issues on 19 competition policy, for example, broad competition 20 policy, which is, say, the time it takes to register a 21 company, the number of procedures that are involved, the 22 cost of licensing -- we monitor that. And when the number of procedures gets reduced from 12 to 3, the 23 24 number of days gets reduced from 175 to a hundred, and 25 then the target being Canada, United States -- not

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

institutions like ours. 1

2	MR. HEIMLER: I just wanted to say that the
3	enemy of competition is never monopoly. This only
4	happens in the university textbooks. The enemy of
5	competition is always something else, security of
6	supply, stability of markets, employment, whatever, so
7	that's the problem. Everybody understands that
8	competition is a fight for monopoly. I don't think
9	that's ever the issue, not in developing countries nor
10	in developed countries. What is not understood is that
11	monopoly is bad with respect to all these other
12	objectives.
13	MR. PITTMAN: Anne?
14	MS. PURCELL WHITE: I just wanted to talk a
15	little bit about coordination. I just wanted to add a
16	thought. This is not related to your question, John.
17	Sometimes, and this has come up in ICN and it has come

up in UNCTAD. 18

19 There are proposals to basically have us 20 providers divide up territories for technical assistance essentially, and saying -- you asked why don't you focus 21 22 on Central and South America, this country focused on Europe and Asia, you focus on Asia -- and I'm very much 23 in favor of coordination. 24

25 I'm very much not in favor of that type of

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 coordination because I think implicit in proposals like 2 that and sometimes proposals to coordinate our efforts is the suggestion that duplication is in and of itself a 3 bad thing, and I don't think that's necessarily true to 4 5 the extent, for example, that the U.S. agencies and б Alberto are delivering consistent messages, I think that 7 may very well be a reflection of consensus on an 8 important issue and that's important to hear. 9 On the other hand, I once heard Graciela talk

10 about how she had to devote one person full time to jus well be a

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	(1:50 p.m.)
3	PANEL 4:
4	RUSSELL DAMTOFT, Moderator, Associate Director, Office
5	of International Affairs, FTC
6	PANELISTS:
7	STAN ANDERSON, Senior Counsel to the President, U.S.
8	Chamber of Commerce
9	ELEANOR M. FOX, Professor, New York University School of
10	Law
11	NICHOLAS S. KLISSAS, Senior Commercial Law Reform
12	Advisor, USAID
13	ANGEL LOPEZ HOHER, Comision Federal de Competencia,
14	Mexico
15	RUSSELL PITTMAN, Director of Technical Assistance and
16	Economic Research, Antitrust Division, DOJ
17	JAMES F. RILL, Partner, Howrey LLP
18	
19	MR. DAMTOFT: Good afternoon, and welcome back.
20	This is always a difficult position on the agenda to be
21	right after lunch. So we will do our very best to keep
22	everybody awake.
23	This morning we've talked about what the
24	agencies have done in the past. We've looked at
25	consumer protection work and what efforts have been done
	For The Record, Inc.

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555 1 in technical assistance around the globe. This

2 afternoon, we have to talk about what the needs are

and International Affairs at the Comision Federal de Competencia in Mexico, and Russ Pittman, who you met earlier, with the Department of Justice, director of economic research and director of international technical assistance in their economic analysis group.

6 To my left, your right, is Nick Klissas, who is 7 with the U.S. Agency for International Development. He 8 is an attorney, and he has been the point person for 9 most of USAID's work in the competition policy area as 10 well as other economic growth areas.

To Nick's left is Eleanor Fox, who is a 11 12 professor at the New York University Law School. She is a very astute observer of developmental issues involving 13 14 competition policy and many other topics. She is well published on the subject and has herself been a provider 15 of technical assistance in a somewhat different capacity 16 17 from what we do at our agencies and in many countries around the globe. 18

Moving to the next spot is Stan Anderson, who is senior counsel to the President and Chief Executive Officer of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce. He's also currently chairing the Chamber's effective effort on global regulatory cooperation, and in the far left position --

MR. RILL: Unusual spot. I'm not usually

25

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

positioned here. I feel like Huckabee at the Reagan
 Library debates.

3 MR. DAMTOFT: -- is Jim Rill who comes at this 4 issue from more directions than we have time for today 5 to even introduce him. He's currently a partner at the 6 Howrey law firm. In that capacity he represents clients 7 who interact with competition agencies around the world.

8 He was the Assistant Attorney General of the 9 Antitrust Division at the time that our technical 10 assistance program was founded, and I think he can quite 11 properly be called one of the founding parents of the 12 program. He was a cochair of the International 13 Competition Policy Advisory Committee.

14 So I think we have a good group to talk about 15 these issues. We're not going to approach through formal presentations, but we will try to make this as 16 17 much of a conversation as we can. The first question I would like to take on is really from the point of view 18 19 of a newer competition agency or a middle aged one, what 20 is it that's needed? What does the agency itself think are its needs for assistance from outside? 21

And I would like to turn first to Angel Lopez to hear your take on that.

24 MR. LOPEZ: Thanks, Russ. Thanks for having me 25 here today. I'm not sure 14 years qualifies as a middle

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

aged agency, but I'm not really sure. What I can tell
 you is that needs evolve as an agency matures.

3 I haven't had the opportunity to actually 4 experience firsthand a very young agency, but I've had 5 some experience advising some very young ones in El Salvador, in Honduras, in the Dominican Republic, which б 7 indeed are very young agencies where pretty much everything needs to be done, from the institutional 8 9 setup, to the way you organize internally, to very basic training on specific techniques and handling of 10 evidence. 11

12 So for very young agencies, whatever they can 13 get in terms of technical assistance is useful as long 14 as it's done in manageable chunks. I found if you get 15 too technical, if you get too long-term, then the 16 absorption capacity is just not there. So you have to 17 do it in a way that keeps it in very manageable bites 18 and does some very basic things.

As an agency that matures, then some of it just stays because the Mexican experience is that you have a very high turnover, which is pretty much structural I think. Alberto Heimler was talking a while ago about how badly officials are paid. Some of that is inevitable. The private sector in our countries will always pay more than the public sector, so you'll have

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

to live with a lot of turnover. That means that you'll have to retrain and retrain people as time passes, and that part stays pretty much constant. It increases, I believe, in terms of facilitation and of the degree of detail, but some of it is just cyclical.

But there are things that kind of come with б 7 evolution as an agency matures, and one of those is that advocacy within the jurisdiction becomes more and more 8 9 key as you have the basic plumbing sorted out. That means a relationship with regulators, a relationship 10 which is crucial with judiciary, and we've been working 11 12 on that for some time now with the support of the FTC 13 and the DOJ, so you have to reach out to new audiences.

14 You have to reach out to even consumers and to 15 public opinion because I agree that people understand the basic market mechanism as long as they're involved, 16 17 but if you talk about policy issues, that jump is not always done in developing countries. So you know what 18 19 you're supposed to pay for something, and you know that 20 supply and demand are there in your basic everyday life, but if you take the jump to policy, it's not always that 21 22 clear for the people in the street.

23 So as an agency matures, you have to focus on 24 that more and more, and there's the legitimacy that can 25 be provided by outside voices via technical assistance.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

That can be very useful in getting that message across,
 so that's what, with the very limited time available, I
 would like to say about that issue.

4 MR. DAMTOFT: Jim, you've been involved in this 5 from the early stages. What do the needs look like from 6 your point of view in the newer agencies?

7 MR. RILL: One of the basic questions you're asking is do they evolve over time? And the clear 8 9 answer to that is, yes, they evolve over time, but at 10 the very outset in our experience one needs to deal with literally: What is the role of an agency within the 11 12 framework of the economic and political structure of the 13 country involved, which varies of course from nation to nation? 14

And some of the basic questions that arise in a newly created competition regime is: What am I doing here? I do remember I think on a trip to one Eastern Europe country in 1990, we found that the staffing of the price fixing board was immediately transported over to be the staff of the competition agency, which created some vast need for retraining.

I think that something so fundamental and basic as assistance and advice as to the role of competition, not theoretical or too technical, in the context of the national economic and political structure is the first

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

step, and that leads to work on things like framing
 legislation and some sort of converged notion of sound
 economic thinking, institutional advice.

We never suggest there should be two equally general jurisdiction competition agencies existing under the same umbrella, I wouldn't want to talk about that today, but we do suggest that there is the need for some level of independence and independent review, preferably judicial review in framing the legislation.

I think Angel makes an excellent point in saying there should be counsel on relationships. Certainly relationships and advocacy function between the agency and other instruments of government, particularly when one is devolving from a command and control economy, and the vestiges of state control are still working abroad, and assistance is needed there.

17 It's no secret that we have some scar tissue in 18 the U.S. in that vein, but also relationships with the 19 private sector. Those are, if you will, the customers 20 of competition policy, and I think that there hasn't 21 been enough of the endorsement of that type of 22 relationship or its importance.

Even indeed, discussion of possible press relations. I remember when we were involved in the structural competitive talks with the Japanese, that the

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

how cost curves are drawn and what's wrong with monopoly, and maybe not enough advice on what you do when you get to your desk in the morning and there's a merger case or an abuse case, and I think that's something we were able pretty early on to successfully get involved in.

We started working on it with these hypothetical cases, and I think maybe Craig's pickle merger was the first one that we ever did, and it was very successful.

In terms of the -- in terms also of the 10 background of the staffs and the focus of the staffs, I 11 12 think one of the very useful functions we performed and still perform is to try to focus people both at the 13 14 management level and at the staff level on the idea that 15 competition is a process rather than an outcome, and that if the market works well, you don't need 16 17 bureaucrats trying to figure out if the prices are right or the profits are right. 18

19 Of course it's a temptation we're not immune to, 20 and I think in many of the countries we work in, they're 21 not immune as well to thinking, gee, let's make sure 22 that all these outcomes are right rather than having the 23 confidence that the competitive process will take care 24 of itself. I think that's something that we've tried 25 hard to share.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 MR. DAMTOFT: We also think about assistance to 2 a competition agency as Shyam alluded to earlier, as 3 being part of the development agenda. What is it that 4 helps bring a development economy into a functioning 5 market economy and that's really part of the purview of 6 the USAID's assistance program, and, Nick, where do the 7 needs tend to be from your perspective?

8 MR. KLISSAS: Well, competition policy, the kind 9 of work that we ask our friends at the FTC and the 10 Department of Justice to do for us, fits into activities 11 that we call the business enabling environment. (By the 12 way you guys do an excellent job, thank you very much!)

I work in the Economic Growth Office of the Bureau of Economic Growth Agriculture and Trade as a commercial law reform advisor. I have worked on issues like commercial laws, trade liberalization, and the micro economic policies of countries.

USAID has focused on economic growth issues forquite a number of years, particularly since the break up

idea that if people can only obtain secure title to
 their property, they can at get better access credit,
 make them feel more secure in their households, and
 better enable them to pursue a better livelihood.

5 Another thing is what we call the sanctity of 6 contract, that is that contracts are enforceable in 7 courts. It means that individual engaged in business 8 can have some predictability that if they go to court, 9 their business agreements with others will be enforced.

10 A third thing is something that we call business 11 rights. This means that people have the right to go and 12 start up their own business, to become entrepreneurs. 13 This is an area to which competition policy applies. To 14 put in other terms, competition is meaningless without 15 the possibility of other firms, other businesses, have a 16 right of business entry.

17 Countries need to become more competitive. They 18 need to engage not only in domestic commerce but 19 international commerce, and if you limit people's 20 ability to form businesses, to compete against other 21 businesses, these countries then lose out on the ability 22 to be competitive.

The fourth thing is dispute resolution. I mentioned that already when I talked about contracts. I'm somewhat saddened to say that competition policy

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 thought a lot about development issues. Where do you
2 think our competition fits into that?

MS. FOX: Yes, thank you. First I feel have to be humble in the presence of this audience, which includes such people as Shyam Khemani and Bill Kovacic, who have done so much work in this vineyard, and I'm really trying to build on their ideas.

8 Secondly, partly repeating Shyam and partly 9 repeating Nick, competition law is a tiny, tiny piece of 10 good market policy for developing countries. Developing countries at the economic bottom, which comprise one
 sixth of the world's population. Some have competition
 laws. Some ask for technical assistance.

4 Collier is skeptical that technical assistance to these countries, of any kind, can work at all until 5 б much deeper problems are solved. This is, however, he 7 says, a window of opportunity, perhaps when the country gets a new leader, in which assistance can "take" and be 8 9 meaningful. My lesson is by no means to forget the bottom billion. The book has impact in both putting 10 antitrust in perspective, poignantly calling attention 11 12 to context, and underscoring that there are windows of 13 opportunity. As to the last point: Do our decisions as 14 to what missions to take and when to take them match the windows of opportunity? I suspect not. Can we do 15 16 better?

As to context and attention to beneficiaries 17 needs: I have been stuck by the vision of Hernando de 18 19 Soto and much of the work of the World Bank (Shyam 20 Khemani, Mark Dutz and others) concentrating on breaking 21 down the huge state barriers that keep the masses of people from participating effectively in the economy. 22 In my article, "Economic Development, Poverty and 23 24 Antitrust: The Other Path, " in the Festschrift Symposium 25 for Lawrence Sullivan, I propose concentrating also on

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 working.

2	We've been at it for 15, 20 years, and income
3	distribution is the way it is and our competitiveness is
4	dropping and where's my piece of the pie. It's a very
5	valid point, and it's potentially a tragedy in the sense
6	that we have not really had real market economies yet.
7	We've been selling them for 15, 20 years now, but the
8	process of reform hasn't quite reached the stage where
9	it starts to really click in many sectors.

1 competition are related to faulty regulation. Then you 2 can't really speak about a market economy. You run the 3 very real risk that you discredit the whole idea of 4 reforms that has been carried out with stops and starts 5 and very much effort for the past 15 years if you don't б add to the mix a very vigorous competition policy, at 7 least for countries that are broadly at Mexico's stage 8 of development.

9 That's one of the things that's -- well, you 10 have to try and get across to the general audience in 11 your country. It's not very easy to do, but it can be 12 done, and I think it should be done and again if there 13 is outside help on that, that's very useful for 14 competition authority.

MR. DAMTOFT: Stan, your members are down in developing countries all the time. What do the needs seem to be from your perspective?

18 MR. ANDERSON: Jim, you and I can share this I19 suppose.

20 MR. RILL: I suspect so. We're both on the 21 extreme left.

22 MR. ANDERSON: I wanted to see maybe if I could 23 take a little different perspective. I don't disagree 24 with anything that's been said, but obviously from the 25 business sector, the need for a strong domestic economy

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

is obviously important in order for us to sell our products and our goods and services, but it seems to me also one of the aspects that need to be -- that needs to be examined in addition to kind of the aspect of competition policy per se is the element of -- and the combination with respect to trade policy and regulatory policy.

As indicated earlier, one of the things that I'm doing at the chamber is overseeing a broad based effort to try to look at this whole issue of international regulation, and it seems to me that those elements are all three interrelated in any kind of effective technical assistance program.

14 So I want to talk later about some of the 15 specific elements of that, but it seems to me that we 16 have to look at these issues in a much broader 17 perspective than just competition policy or just trade 18 policy or just regulatory policy.

MR. DAMTOFT: Okay. Russ, did you have a couple 20 points?

21 MR. PITTMAN: Two quick points. Stan mentioned 22 regulatory policy. I think one of the very useful 23 things that competition agencies have been able to do in 24 many countries, in many developing countries is work on 25 the restructuring of the natural monopolies.

We have played a big role and the agencies have played a big role in helping governments figure out how these big old state monopolies can be restructured to get greater efficiency and to get competition where possible.

I also just want to respond to something Angel
said. I think we've missed -- and maybe this is what
Angel is saying as well. I think we've missed a great
opportunity in Latin America in the last 10 or 15 years.
We had this wonderful Washington consensus that had all
these things that economists loved about liberalizing
and privatizing and so forth.

13 There's very little in it about how to make sure 14 that the poor got their share of it, and I think it

1 They just said lower your tariffs, privatize, 2 stabilize inflation, and presto you have a market 3 economy. Well, we later found out that, no, we don't 4 have a market economy if you only do those things. You 5 have to go the extra mile and look at the business 6 enabling environment, and competition policy is part of 7 that.

8 MR. DAMTOFT: I want to come back to you, Stan, 9 for a moment. Businesses are a key user of the system 10 in many ways of competition enforcement systems, both as 11 complainants and occasionally as objects of enforcement 12 activity. Where do you think from that point of view 13 the needs ought to be or how do you think the needs 14 ought to be viewed from that perspective?

MR. ANDERSON: First let me make an overall comment that since I've been increasingly involved in these issues, I've been struck by, at least from my perspective, the lack of kind of a long-term consistent strategic approach to the kind of issues we're talking about, and I'm going to talk about funding later because I think that's an important element.

But it just seems to me that from what I can see, a lot of these things are very ad hoc in their nature, and we need to have a longer term strategic approach to the kinds of issues.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 So what does business look for? First I think 2 consistency across jurisdictions with respect to 3 competition law, it seems to me to be extraordinarily 4 important. Businesses, if they know what the rules of 5 the road are and they know how they're going to be 6 applied, they can operate effectively, so it seems to me 7 first of all consistency across jurisdictions.

8 Second, it seems to me there's an increasing 9 concern about industrial policy and competition rules 10 and regulations being used to enhance particular 11 countries' industrial policy, and so I think it's 12 necessary for our programs to recognize that that's the 13 case and deal with it as effectively as they can.

As part of that, it seems to me it's important in whatever sets of program that we're actually talking about to make sure that we have a broad based approach. It seems to me that it is fine if we're just dealing with a regulatory agency in one country or another, but that may not be where the ultimate decisions lie.

And so it seems to me that we have to have a broader based approach to what we're talking about to make sure that those who actually make the decisions in any particular country are the ones that are actually benefitting from our technical assistance activities. We can provide all the technical assistance in the world

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

to foreign regulators, but it will not matter if those regulators are trumped by higher ups in government who are looking to push an industrial policy.

4 Finally, I think again from our perspective, there's increasing concern about state owned enterprises 5 and their effect on the marketplace and on the economies 6 7 in all kinds of countries, but particularly in developing countries, and it seems to me that our 8 9 existing agencies don't really have a lot of expertise 10 in that area. Europeans perhaps have greater expertise than we do, at least with respect to the subsidy side of 11 12 that.

13 So I think any kind of longer term program needs 14 to recognize that state owned enterprises and subsidies 15 are an increasing problem for companies operating in 16 these jurisdictions.

MR. DAMTOFT: Jim, you represent people who do business in these countries. How does it look from your end of the table?

20 MR. RILL: First of all, I think Stan made some 21 very excellent points, and I agree with everything he 22 said. In addition, I think that business -- first of 23 all, once the fundamental recognition of the importance 24 of the contract rights, rule of law, private rights of 25 property is underpinning any system. They would hope

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 that there's an acceptance of sound economic principles 2 and the recognition, as someone once said, that really a 3 competition policy is better than the alternative for 4 the growth and development of business.

5 They want honest, unbiased, independent review, 6 free of corruption. They want clarity and transparency 7 to understand, as Stan suggests, what the rules are and 8 that the rules are clearly explained and articulated in 9 any determination of outcome.

10 They want as nearly as possible an efficient 11 operation in the sense of if there's to be a review of a 12 merger or a joint venture or competition practice, that 13 it happened in a timely function, in a timely manner 14 within a reasonable scope and again is expressed in the 15 outcome with transparency.

Finally I want to underscore what Stan also 16 17 said, that I think business is very anxious to see as much substantive convergence as is possible. 18 19 Increasingly as business operate across multiple 20 national markets, the frictions involved in efficient business in an attempt to comply with a volume of 21 22 inconsistent time periods, regulations, approaches it's 23 difficult.

I'm not dreaming that we'll ever haveharmonization. That was tried shortly after World War

II and came apart very early on in the early GATT days,
 because there are national differences, but there's a
 lot of work that still can be done and should be done
 towards convergence of competition principles.

5 MR. DAMTOFT: Angel, if the Commission -- your 6 commission is anything like our commission, you hear 7 from the business community from time to time about what 8 they think about all this. What are you hearing? What 9 would the business community be saying in your country?

MR. LOPEZ: I think at least in Mexico it's hard 10 to talk about one business community. I'll talk about 11 12 two different kinds of business users that have very different things in mind, and one is business being at 13 the receiving end of enforcement, and there what Jim 14 Rill said about transparency, about predicability, about 15 an independent review that works and that is in and of 16 17 itself predictable, that's extremely important.

And that's the job of the authority of the commission is to provide the guidelines to give certainty, to be consistent, to try and enhance the technical problems of the judiciary and so on in order to provide that kind of predictability and consistency and transparency.

And that's of course a very important goal for us, and that's one of the things that needs to be worked

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

on by an authority where technical assistance of course comes in very handy, but there's also a second way in which you relate to the business community, and that's especially for small and medium enterprises that might be suffering from anticompetitive behavior from suppliers or from clients or whatever.

7 And there the first thing you have to do is 8 create awareness that there exists an instrument for 9 them to solve that problem they're facing to provide 10 avenues for them to actually use enforcement mechanisms 11 that are out there and to make life or access to the 12 systems for them as easy as possible.

And that's also in Mexico a non negligible challenge to actually get the point across to them and make them realize that this might be something they might find useful if they actually used it, and that's also a very important branch of our involvement with business.

When one thinks about business interests in this, one tends to think about the first portion of what I was saying, and also the second portion, especially in developing countries like Mexico. You have to make a significant effort for them to realize that this is an instrument that might actually help them, and also there are significant needs for younger agencies in developing

1 countries.

2 MR. DAMTOFT: Okay. I would like to take the 3 panel back to the map, which we saw early on which 4 showed the countries in which we had had a technical 5 assistance activity, since we got into this business 6 since Jim Rill's time in government.

7 The assistance we have had, especially from USAID and also from TDA has been funded in response to a 8 9 development agenda that tended to focus on certain baskets of countries. Typically lower income, the 10 "bottom billion" countries I think, but not exclusively. 11 12 But there may be other interests, business interests or other development interests that suggest that maybe 13 14 those aren't the right targets, and maybe that we are -maybe we're not all focusing our efforts in the right 15 16 place.

17 And I wonder if there's any thoughts on how our 18 priorities ought to be set from a geographic point of 19 view. Jim, do you have any thoughts on that?

20 MR. RILL: Not so much from a geographic point 21 of view, but I think certainly there's a certain 22 asymmetry, if you will, between supply and demand in 23 this particular area. I think maybe demand in the terms 24 of need may be somewhat different from where the supply 25 is focused, and the supply being focused in the poorer

and underdeveloped countries whereas the need or the
 demand, if I could call it that, may be greater in more
 mature jurisdictions.

4 Let's get rid of the term technical assistance 5 for this purpose for a second, as Tom Barnett suggested 6 this morning. Let's call it overall cooperation or 7 competition cooperation or at least maybe technical 8 cooperation, listen as well as speak, but in our initial 9 experience in the former Soviet satellite nations, those 10 were not underdeveloped countries.

11 They were command and control economies but they 12 were industrial economies that were not at the bottom 13 end of the production sphere other than the fact that 14 they were hampered by command and control, and I think 15 the infusion of competition assistance in those 16 countries was very salutary.

17 I think another target that may be ignored or at least not part so much of the USAID notion of technical 18 19 assistance are inputs or cooperation in a more intensive 20 way with countries that are not the U.S. or the EU, although there seems to be a cooperation there, but 21 22 countries with somewhat newer -- let's say middle aged, if I may, competition agencies where there's a certain 23 24 amount of vigor that's been put into the system. 25 And whether it's increasing activity, I'll be

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 very open and say areas such as Brazil, which is an 2 increasingly active competition agency, certainly Korea, which is very much involved now in unilateral conduct 3 issues that seem to actually have reached out for advice 4 5 and assistance on a listen and talk, not command and б control, if you will, assistance; Korea putting out a 7 request for quotation on how does the European Union handle unilateral conduct issues. 8

9 I think that's an area where wholly apart from 10 the USAID project, technical cooperation can be 11 extraordinarily valuable and certainly extraordinarily 12 valuable for the purposes of the business communities 13 effort to work under a system and world system that 1 what it did was to take the Russian law as a model.
2 And the Russian law had some provision in it where some
3 poor soul within the competition policy agency had to
4 survey the entire economy and figure out which
5 businesses had more than a 30 percent market share.

And then these businesses would be put on a б 7 suspect list for further examination and reviewed. This was, needless to say, very formulaic. And what's so 8 9 interesting about competition policy to me is it defies formulation. It's not something that a computer can do. 10 There's an art to it. You have to look at a given 11 12 situation from many different angles. There's an economist's perspective and there's a legal perspective. 13

Of course there's a divergence between 14 15 countries that need to enhance their business enabling environment for development purposes and countries where 16 17 U.S. business, would like to get additional traction for their investments. China, for example, just recently 18 19 established a new competition policy agency. But 20 China's not a place where USAID is active because they've become a wealthy nation. But many people ask 21 22 whether we nevertheless be able to provide some kind of 23 technical cooperation with Chinese counterparts? Ιt 24 would not only be good for Chinese consumers, but it 25 will also be good for U.S. investors.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

year about following the antitrust modernization commission to go to the Congress and urge them to appropriate additional funds, new funds, funds that can be used for these kinds of purposes to both the department and to the Commission.

6 We're going to continue to make a push with the 7 Congress because we think that's extraordinarily 8 important, and that if we're going to have a long-term 9 view of these issues, we need to have the kind of 10 resources necessary to accomplish that.

Secondly, you asked what kinds of priorities should be used in the development of our programs, and my sense is that there may be four different elements that should be looked at when trying to identify countries in which we should be more active.

First, whether these countries currently misuse competition policy; second, are there fundamental questions about the rule of law basic due process and are there concerns about that? Thirdly, is there a lack of clarity with respect to the legislation, the regulations implementing that legislation, or the implementation of either the law or the regulations.

And finally, certainly from our perspective,
again whether or not there's a multiplicity of state
owned enterprises and subsidies that global businesses

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

are having to deal with. It seems to me that those are
 the priorities that should determine what countries that
 we're focusing on.

4 MR. DAMTOFT: Technical assistance or this 5 larger concept that Jim alluded to is something, which б is done by a lot of people. You heard from some of us 7 who have done it from the U.S. government point of view this morning. We heard about international efforts 8 9 later this morning, but we also know there are people involved in this from the private sector and from 10 academia. 11

I wonder if we could focus for a moment on what extent does U.S. government assistance meet the technical assistance needs that we've addressed, and to what extent should the private sector in the academic sector be involved in providing technical assistance, and what are the relative strengths of each?

18 I think I would like to ultimately run the table19 on this one, but let me start with Russ.

20 MR. PITTMAN: Well, I think the short answer is 21 all of the above. We need all of the above and all the 22 perspectives that all of these people can provide.

If there's one thing that the government agencies can do better perhaps than anyone else, it is something that Anne Purcell White referred to earlier

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

this morning, and that is the establishment of long-term relationships, the following up on individual events, the development of long-term consultative relationships with agencies.

5 I think that one of the ICN survey results that 6 maybe Danny is going to talk about later is that this is 7 one of the things that makes technical assistance most 8 effective, and I think certainly one of the things we 9 found is that if we've done some events in a country, 18 Affmaybeeweatelhadraomealwagytehm advasersherhehentwengs are all to the good, but really the best thing over the 11 12 long-term is if Csaba and I have each other's Email addresses and phone numbers and call each other when we 13

1 materials and the hypothetical cases and so forth.

2 MR. DAMTOFT: Okay. Jim, let me go to you on 3 the private side.

MR. RILL: Well, I don't think there's any disagreement but that the private sector has a role to play in the cooperation technical assistance programs, and it's stated at page 6 of the FTC-DOJ report on this program.

I mean, after all, the private sector at least 9 from the business side is the principal customers of 10 competition policy, the principal users and pay user 11 12 fees in the process, and it's important to have -- as in any public administration course will teach you, it's 13 14 important to have the consent of the regulated for an 15 effective regulatory program, and for that reason it's important to have the private sector play an intensive 16 17 role in the development formulation assistance of the competition policy program. 18

And I think the private sector brings a different perspective than that of the enforcement agencies, not more important but certainly important with perhaps a better sense, on line sense of what's actually workable, what actually would be the effect on the frictions within the actual operating business -community business segment of the economy, what would be

1 the more efficient result from the standpoint of the 2 users and how can that be brought about?

Well, I think in a variety of ways. First of 3 all, there has to be trust both ways, and I don't say 4 5 that as a platitude. I think there's a problem out б there that exists not in the United States, not in the 7 European Commission so much, but I sense in my travels 8 that there's not always the greatest degree of 9 confidence between the enforcement agency and the business community, not to the same extent that it, well 10 11 frankly, exists in the United States, and I think that 12 has to be something that needs to be fomented. I think that the provider governments can help 13

still relatively new agencies. A number of law firms do
 that, that type of internship and then a return to the
 government. Working on the confidentiality issues of
 course can be very challenging.

5 Angel mentioned this morning, not this morning but a few moments ago, that there's a turnover within 6 7 the agency because of the perhaps more remunerative climate in the private sector. I would say you could 8 9 work that the other way. Recruit from the private 10 sector for responsible jobs within the agency, even if the person is only there for two years. Many people do 11 12 that or three years. Many people do that here.

13 It's a bit of a revolving door, but I think it 14 provides both the agency and the private sector with the 15 cross fertilization of ideas and expertise, and also can 16 build more of the climate of trust that I think is 17 critically important.

18 Those are just a few points I think that would 19 enhance the role of the private sector and I think 20 enhance the efficacy of the entire program.

21 MR. DAMTOFT: Angel, how does this look to you? 22 MR. LOPEZ: A couple things. One, I have to 23 completely agree with Russ on the great benefit of 24 having a day-to-day, pretty intensive relationship among 25 the government agencies, and that's perhaps the most

important long-term outcome of a technical assistance effort, building that kind of personal relationship where you can pick up the phone and just ask questions, provided that the whole waiver thing and so on is handled.

The point is building a long-term relationship б 7 that is based on shared goals, shared understanding of things, and that makes it very worthwhile for -- it's 8 9 one of the points where a newer agency derives most of the value from the technical assistance, so that's one 10 of the things that I agree, it's probably just possible 11 12 to do that with another government agency but it's extremely useful. That's one of the things that should 13 14 probably be aimed for consciously when setting up 15 technical assistance programs.

16 On the role of the private sector, I agree with 17 Jim Rill that there is, especially in developing 18 countries, a large degree of mistrust that has to be 19 overcome on both sides, but it's not very easy, and I'm 20 not sure that I can provide any easy answers for us as 21 to how that should be done.

22 Some of the things like interrelationships and 23 things likes that make a lot of sense to me. We've been 24 trying to get that revolving door working the other way 25 around. It's pretty hard. Somebody who is in a

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

significant position in the private sector has to take
 such a pay cut in our countries that he would have to be
 Mother Teresa or something to do that, and there's a
 short supply of that in law firms.

5 Maybe there are some things that the private 6 sector, perhaps not -- perhaps one step removed via some 7 kind of NGOs or something that the prospect brings to 8 the table, and that's an agility of response that's just 9 not possible to achieve for government agencies that are 10 hampered by a lot of bureaucracy and paperwork and that 11 kind of stuff.

12 One of the things that one faces when one receives and is very thankful for technical assistance 13 14 is that there's a huge degree of paperwork involved, and maybe that makes sense for longer term programs but not 15 so much for short-term put out the fire kind of efforts, 16 17 and maybe that is one area where -- and I'm just talking off my head here -- where one could explore more 18 19 involvement by the private sector without as many 20 bureaucratic strings attached to get into the technical assistance effort that way, and that could be even a way 21 of building some trust that could be used in ongoing 22 23 efforts.

24 MR. DAMTOFT: What's the view from the ivory 25 tower, Eleanor?

1 first provider of long-term technical assistance to the 2 European community in the early 1980s when you spent a 3 year there. That was a point at which they needed and 4 welcomed collegial assistance, from a wise, sympathetic academic, practitioner. Barry knew our law. He knew 5 6 their law. He knew their context. He knew how to bring 7 lessons from abroad to the European framework and 8 culture.

9 Now, in this new era of a hundred antitrust

1 MR. DAMTOFT: Nick, USAID has experience with 2 both government providers of assistance and private 3 sector providers. How does this look to you?

4 MR. KLISSAS: Well, certainly this is a 5 multifaceted sort of problem that we're looking at, and it gets into issues of what I might call legal culture. б 7 What sometimes eludes us but is nevertheless very important is what is the mindset of people? Many of the 8 countries we work in, have a civil code of tradition. 9 But it's not so clear to us whether the difficulties we 10 face in promoting legal reform reflect fundamental 11 12 issues stemming from the civil code tradition itself or whether it's something else, like a tradition of 13 bureaucratic centralism that we find in countries like 14 France. Our experience is that those countries with a 15 civil code tradition also have government agencies that 16 17 want to enforce their writ and regulations, instead of being more customer service oriented. That's a lot 18 19 different from the scenario I'm hearing around the 20 table, and what Jim mentioned earlier, which was that in this country the regulated actually support the 21 regulatory agency. This appears to be the best sort of 22 23 environment to be in.

24This is a paradigm shift from imposing25government will. So we have a challenge before us of

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

how to use the private sector in developing countries in order to further a culture of competition. If we can do it, then that's a great way of moving forward.

4 On the other hand, there is also another 5 fundamental challenge in our legal reform problems that we should face. That is how do you actually go about 6 7 institution building, especially when you are trying to assist a competition policy agency that's just getting 8 9 off the ground? Certainly nothing works better than having people that have actually worked in an 10 organization like that and know how to establish 11 12 administrative processes, how to develop the relationships that have to be developed with other 13 14 agencies and parts of the government, like the judicial 15 branch, the legislative branch, and if it's an independent agency, the executive branch. 16

MR. DAMTOFT: Stan, last word on this topic.
MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. I've actually never
thought of Jim Rill as Mother Teresa until recently, but
we're very appreciative of your two years.

I agree with everything that Jim had said so I don't want to repeat that. It seems to me that the business community and the private sector, which is what I think it's more important to talk about, so whether it's business community or academia or think tanks or

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

all of the related private sector organizations, all of
 those need to be, in my view, much more active than they
 have been in the whole technical assistance.

4 Certainly one of the things that we're trying to 5 do is to encourage the business community to be more active. An example of that was last year as China was б 7 finishing up developing its AML law, we had a group of legislators in town for several days in cooperation with 8 9 the Commerce Department and walked them through a series of meetings, and they went out to the valley in 10 California and spent a week there getting hands on 11 12 training with various companies.

13 It seemed to me that that's the kind of activity 14 that the business community can very readily engage in. 15 It is less bureaucratic. It requires less paperwork, 16 and frankly I think the resources available for that 17 kind of thing are greater and can be used and maximized 18 I think even more than they have been.

MR. DAMTOFT: I have one last specific question I want to pose, and hopefully we can give pretty quick responses, which is: We've heard about the tools that both agencies and private sector providers have brought to bear in the technical assistance arena. How well are those tools meeting the needs which we've identified? Angel?

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 MR. LOPEZ: Well, I have to say that it has been 2 extremely for us -- and for this question I can speak of nobody but ourselves, but it has been extremely useful. 3 4 We found that the efforts that have been made are very 5 applied, which is extremely important. It's not, at б least for an agency at this stage of life where we are, 7 you have to go beyond the recipe, the checklist and go to make an effort, I mean, and tailor your message to 8 9 the audience.

10 And I think that has been extremely useful. The 11 flexibility that's been brought to the table is also 12 extremely useful. What I was talking about a moment ago 13 in terms of building a personal relationships --14 personal is perhaps not the right word, but 15 relationships that go on independently and beyond the 16 specific technical assistance effort.

17 That's perhaps the most important take away for 18 the receiving agency, and one other thing that has been 19 extremely useful for us specifically in the interaction 20 with the FTC and the DOJ is it's opened doors for us in 21 other institutions, and I'm speaking here specifically 22 of the development bank, and I think that's one of the

1 might not be provided by the same let's call it donor.

Pointing an agency in the right direction to get some additional technical assistance is I think one of the things that could provide most value in terms of what was said here before of providing a more long-term perspective on that, and I think that has been extremely useful for us too.

8 MR. DAMTOFT: Okay. Russ, how well do you think 9 we've matched what we do, and by we I mean all of us, do 10 towards where the needs are?

MR. PITTMAN: Well, we've learned a lot in 15 years, and I think we've improved at least. As I said I think the hypotheticals that we used have been very valuable. We've developed more and more of them over the years. I think they've gotten better. They've gotten to wider issues.

I think the first ones were very good, but I think we've spread to a lot more, and I think those are going to be one of the great legacies we leave, partly to help people learn their jobs, learn what we do, and partly as a train-the-trainers exercise, both between agencies and within agencies.

As an economist, I think I would say that I think in my experience we've done a pretty good job in sharing economic tools. Obviously we don't -- we don't

expect agencies in developing countries to be estimating demand equations, but the economic way of thinking can be a very important part of competition law enforcement, and I think we've done a pretty good job of sharing the ideas behind things like cross elasticities of the demand and critical loss analysis and tools like that.

7 Finally, I think we've done a pretty good job of 8 sharing our experience with competition advocacy, and 9 I've heard you and I've heard Maureen Ohlhausen and I've heard a lot of my DOJ colleagues, and I think our 10 experiences with competition advocacy, whether it's with 11 12 advertising restrictions or natural monopolies restructuring, whatever it is, I think our experiences 13 14 have been very valuable and instructive for our partners 15 in other countries, and I think we've used those well.

MR. DAMTOFT: What do you think, Eleanor?

16

MS. FOX: I agree, Russ. I'm going to phrase the question a negative way and say: How have the tools not worked here? I want to repeat that great phrase of Angel's, which is "Beyond the checklist." We must go beyond the checklist. I mean really, conceptually, way beyond the checklist.

In my view, the most important thing that can be done and we all try to do it is to get our hosts to ask two questions: First, how can this enforcement help the

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

consumers, competition and the market? And second, does this proposed enforcement hurt consumers, competition, and the market? If they can just appreciate those two questions, they're very far ahead, and the details will come.

For my second point, I want to pick up onsomething Stan Anderson said. Given what are and areup on

point. One of the things that -- and Russ Pittman just talked about it in exactly the right words, I think training the trainers. One of the things that I think makes sense is thinking about ways in which to multiply the efforts that goes into it.

6 I know that the budget for this kind of thing is 7 not exactly extremely big, but one way of getting the 8 most bang out of your buck is to focus your efforts on 9 those agencies that are in a position for one reason or 10 another to pass it on to others.

The way we have tried or we've started to try to 11 12 do it, for example, in Central America. There is I believe a case to be made for developing countries 13 talking among themselves, and sometimes it's extremely 14 useful for the message not to come from one of those 15 evil developed countries and so on. It's tragicomic, 16 17 but it's still out there, the whole feeling about we don't want to be taught by those people and so on. 18

19 So beyond getting more return on your 20 investment, it also maybe makes sense to focus things in 21 this way of multiplying for that reason, and one of the 22 things -- and with this I'll stop hopefully.

23 One of the things that are needed for that and I 24 think some thought could probably go into that is to 25 generate more permanent materials that stay on when the

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

technical assistance goes away. It has to do with the
 benefit for the agency itself.

3 Again, I'm coming back to the whole turnover 4 thing, but it also has to do with the possibility to 5 pass it on to others to the extent that they are more б permanent materials, and I'm talking about hypothetical 7 cases or some kind of guidelines or some kind of textbooks or whatnot. It's pretty open ended, but to 8 9 the extent that that is there and that is tailored to specific profiles of countries, that could have a huge 10 multiplying effect for the whole technical assistance 11 12 effort that is, I understand, very time consuming and 13 resource consuming.

14 Thank you very much.

15 MR. DAMTOFT: Last words, Russ?

16 MR. PITTMAN: Boy, great points by Angel, and I 17 agree with every single word he said. I want to add two quick things. First of all, what I've said earlier 18 19 today, I think the two most important things we do in 20 technical assistance is help people appreciate that what competition law is about is the process of competition 21 22 rather than particular outcomes, and that we can help the staffs of very young agencies understand not 23 24 economics or law, but understand what you do when you 25 arrive at your office and you have a case and how

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 exactly you go about approaching all that.

The other point I would say: I hope people like Angel appreciate this. I think for my 15, oh, almost 20 years of technical assistance now, not only because my boss said it's technical cooperation rather than technical assistance, but also because I'm quite convinced that every time I do any kind of technical assistance, I learn at least as much as I teach.

9 And I think there's an awful lot of cross 10 fertilization there, and even when we are the evil 11 developed countries who are lecturing, if we're doing 12 our job right, we're learning just as much as we're 13 teaching.

14 MR. DAMTOFT: Nick?

MR. KLISSAS: Well, for the record I as well agree with everybody on this panel, and I don't have anything further to say apart from some final thoughts.

Our agency is heavily involved with providing technical assistance to developing countries conducting trade liberalization. Considering some things that Eleanor and the other panelists around the table were saying, I've seen a typical pattern of our visiting countries and finding that there's a state-owned 1 encounter extreme bureaucratic and even popular 2 resistance to privatize these monopolies. Oftentimes, 3 the cry is that the state (or the people) are reluctant 4 to do so because these entities, these monopolies, are 5 like the crown jewels. Control of these assets is a matter of national security or of losing public pride. б 7 Sometimes, like in one country with a revolutionary 8 history, the reason mentioned is that the monopoly 9 should not be given up "because the people sacrificed so

I only wish we could help these countries understand that competition in the provision of these kinds of services helps consumers, and that consumer income -- not state revenues -- is what the battle is all about.

16 I'll leave it at that.

much" to acquire these things.

10

17 MR. DAMTOFT: Eleanor?

18 MS. FOX: I propose that our agencies do a

19 usefulness report as a debriefing exercise. When thekinds of ser

1 that's just been said, but let me just make two final 2 points if I might.

3 It seems to me with the proliferation of competition authorities around the world that we really 4 5 are presented with a real challenge, and there's an б extraordinary amount of I think importance that is at 7 stake here and that we need to recognize that, and I get 8 back at, the fear of being Johnny One-Note, the 9 importance of having adequate resources both at the government level and the private sector level in order 10 11 to deal with these kinds of issues. I don't think we're 12 doing nearly as much as we should do.

13 Second point: I think this needs to be an

both, and that is that market competition nourished by
 an effective enforcement program is much better than the
 alternative.

And the alternative is not, as many may think in
foreign countries or perhaps in the editorial board of
The Wall Street Journal, some kind of Utopian
untrammeled free market growth. The alternative is
stultifying demand and control regulation. Thank you.
MR. DAMTOFT: And on that, I would like to thank
all of my panelists, fellow panelists for their words of

- 1 PANEL 5:
- 2 WILLIAM E. KOVACIC, Moderator, Commissioner, FTC
- 3 PANELISTS:
- 4 SCOTT COOPER, Vice President of Policy, American
- 5 National Standards Institute (ANSI)
- 6 MICHAL S. GAL, Professor, Haifa University School of Law
- 7 GEORGES KORSUN, Deloitte Financial Advisory Services,
- 8 LLP
- 9 ANDREAS REINDL, Executive Director, Fordham Competition
- 10 Law Institute
- 11 DANIEL SOKOL, Visiting Associate Professor of Law,
- 12 University of Missouri School of Law
- 13 MARK WHITENER, Senior Counsel, Competition Law and
- 14 Policy, General Electric Company
- 15

16 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: My thanks to Jim Hamill, 17 to Anne Purcell, to Randy, to the DOJ and FTC teams who 18 put this session together. As you can imagine, 19 something done well doesn't happen by accident alone and 20 indeed requires a great deal of help, and my thanks to 21 my colleagues for making this -- making this a 22 possibility and making it come together so well.

I've always wanted a session where we would provide panaceas and one size fits all solutions because they're so often said not to be attainable, but we're of

I were -- there's a scene in Citizen Cain where Cain gets all the reporters from the other newspapers and takes a picture and says: This is the kind of newspaper staff I always wanted to put together. This is the kind of panel I've always wanted to have to address these topics.

7 Our format is to take each of these topics 8 separately. That is, I've asked each to give relatively 9 short comments on each of the topics: First, based on 10 their experience, what's worked; second, what's failed; 11 third, what are the right steps going ahead.

We'll simply go down the panel asking each to give us short interventions. We'll have time for each segment to have a discussion among ourselves and then move on to the closing two segments and wrap up with a discussion of good steps going ahead.

And I would like to invite Danny from the University of Missouri School of Law, again well known to this audience, Danny, can you get us started on what's worked?

21 MR. SOKOL: Sure. Thank you, Bill, and thank 22 you, DOJ and FTC, for putting this together. I'll give 23 you six broad issues to think about in terms of what's 24 been effective.

25

First of all, I'll limit it to what we know in

1 an agency setting of what has been effective. Primarily 2 I am basing my comments on my empirical analysis of the 3 survey that Anne Purcell had mentioned earlier today, 4 the ICN survey, and the results we got from that, plus 5 another 50 or so discussions with recipients and 6 providers of technical assistance as some qualitative 7 follow-up work to the quantitative studies.

8 First, it seems that our findings on long-term 9 advisors echoes what we heard earlier today. Long term 10 advisors seemed to work better than short-term advisors, 11 than having various conferences or academics writing 12 reports, so this is a point against my own personal 13 interest that academic conferences and guest lectures 14 seem to be not so effective.

15 Second is the relative influence for both the 16 donor and the recipient on the design of technical 17 assistance programs actually has a substantial impact on 18 their reported quality, which is not surprising as we 19 think of it as Jim Rill said -- not as technical 20 assistance but as technical cooperation.

Third is an interesting finding, that perceived donor over-involvement by the recipients actually eventually leads to more effective technical assistance, which suggests that sometimes the people at DOJ and FTC, among others, know what they're talking about.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

like to turn to Andrea who you know from OECD, from
 Skadden, and most recently and happily you know from
 Fordham. Andreas?

4 MR. REINDL: Thank you, Bill. I'm a little bit 5 of a dissenter here. We heard throughout the day about 6 the --

7 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: I think we can move on to8 the next topic.

9 MR. REINDL: -- throughout the day about the 10 effectiveness of long-term projects, Danny also 11 mentioned. We all agree, that we would love to have 12 people out there that are running long-term assistance 13 projects and come back and telling us how wonderful 14 everything was.

My point is that we don't live in an ideal world so short-term projects will still be necessary, and the question is: How can we make short-term projects useful from the perspective of the recipient. There are a couple points based on my work at OECD, and also on what we do currently at Fordham that can make short-term events potentially useful for people who attend them.

The first is that events ought to be very well targeted and well organized, and that's certainly true for short-term events. The amount of preparation that goes into them is disproportionate compared to longer

events because it just requires much more time compared
 to the actual time of the events to get everyone on
 board.

4 And when I say well targeted, it also means organizing events not so much for a competition 5 6 authority, but for very specific groups even within an 7 authority; for example, the heads of agencies, economists, or enforcers. These short-term events can 8 9 also be organized for groups that perhaps are not really 10 accessible for long-term projects, and one group that was mentioned several times today is judges. 11

12 It's just very difficult to give judges a 13 long-term advisor who looks them over the shoulder and 14 tells them how to decide a case. So again, that's a 15 different group where a short-term -- well prepared 16 short-term event can be very useful.

Another key component for all events, whether short or long-term, is a very good faculty. A good faculty is not necessarily the great names, and it's not necessarily someone from a competition authority. We had very good speakers from competition authorities to make that point.

23 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Extraordinary.
 24 MR. REINDL: Extraordinarily good speakers and,
 25 to be honest, we had speakers from some authorities who

1 what kind of materials we can use that both help during 2 an event but also remain useful for the time after the 3 event is over.

4 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Great. Thanks, Andreas.
5 Next we have Mark Whitener from General Electric. We
6 probably claim Mark as one of our alumni. Welcome home,
7 Mark.

8 MR. WHITENER: Thank you, and in that connection 9 I was briefly part of the team of folks who were 10 dispensing technical assistance, though that was many 11 years ago, when I was at the FTC and the program was 12 getting started, so that colors some of my views, 13 although I don't really pretend to be an expert on 14 what's been going on more recently.

So my perspective is mainly that of an advisor to a company that's on the receiving end of the regulation and enforcement that is dispensed by the recipients of your assistance, and I would probably, in the course of these minutes, echo a lot of what Stan said.

But GE is an example of a company that is global. The majority of our sales are now non-U.S. sales; strong emphasis on growth in developing countries and a strong sort of compliance culture that says we 3r and 6000 0.00000e t0001Wxunw cng codgtibrief0 dispensin

1 of these jurisdictions.

2 And the problems that businesses face that I 3 think -- and again all kidding aside, I think you are 4 doing a great job particularly with the resources that 5 you've had at addressing, and Stan touched on these, our б inconsistency, obscurity in enforcement policy and then 7 finally, overly aggressive enforcement. But that last one is only one piece of the puzzle, and consistency and 8 9 obscurity are also key issues, and I think what you've done in these 15 or so years and has been, particularly 10 looking at the resources available, quite effective. 11

From my perspective, what's been particularly effective? I think bringing economics and a fundamental sort of market driven view to what you do is at least as important as the other critical piece, which is, as Russ Pittman said, the template for what you do when you come in and sit down at your desk.

Concepts like elasticity, substitutability, the SSNIP test, vital to be understood, but you can't apply them if you don't have data or at least the ability to ask the right questions, and I think at least from my experience, the providers from the agency have done a terrific job of bringing their experience to bear on those practical questions.

25 I think you have effectively built

relationships. Almost everything I'm saying has been said by somebody else just in the time I've been here, building relationships with enforcers abroad, and that I think has set the stage for what you see now in terms of ICN and other cooperation that couldn't have been imagined five or ten years ago.

7 My sense is a lot of the relationships that led 8id by somebody else just in the time I've been here, 1 constructive input to bear on them has been very

2 effective, and that's more on the non-technical side of 3 technical assistance.

4 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Thank you, Mark. Scott 5 Cooper has done an enormous amount of work dealing with 6 consumer protection issues and Scott comes to us from 7 ANSI. Scott?

8 MR. COOlwh?

1 is what everybody then works toward.

I think for consumer policy, that may be a bit of waiting for Godot. Consumer Policies I think is much more of a loose term. I think it's a flexible work in progress, especially in the global marketplace where sovereign nations can't control what's going on with how consumers are treated across borders.

8 You need more players I think to participate in 9 that work, and I think that that's one of the things 10 that technical assistance for consumer policy should 11 look at. Angel raised the point of the tiredness of 12 current marketplace reform.

I think that's very true for consumers. I think they feel aggrieved, not just in the United States but I think around the world. They feel that somehow they're left out from this process. I think that in the United States we have a lot we can bring to the table however.

where they were induced to help the businesses of
 Romania. Why should Romania care?

Well, they can't sell to the global marketplace because nobody is going to buy from an autonomous buyer or seller in Romania. They needed someone like the BBB to give them credibility. Well, to do that, they're going to have to meet the standards and the requirements that BBB is going to set for them.

9 So you can have sort of a top-down approach 10 where we have technical assistance coming from all our 11 agencies to Romania or wherever saying: Here's what you 12 need to do and you will get perhaps reluctant 13 acquiescence to that. If you're a businessman in 14 Romania, you know these are things you have to do.

15 So I think there's a lot of organizations like the BBB, I like to think ANSI as well. We're just doing 16 17 something now through a TDA grant in Vietnam where we're going to Vietnam. We're going to be training a lot of 18 19 people in a lot of different agencies in the Vietnamese 20 government on the global marketplace. We're going to 21 look at testing and compliance certification, inspection 22 issues, WTO compliance, environmental sustainability, all these issues that will make Vietnam that much more 23 24 credible and valuable within the global marketplace. 25 That's something where again it was a small

grant from TDA, so in a sense it's technical assistance coming from the government, but it was something that was asked for by the Vietnamese government, and we think that not only will we train the people for the week that we're there, but the expectation is that they will then contractual obligation, such as Mattel or whomever, can
 force that obligation down the supply chain to that
 sub-sub-subcontractor, so that everybody knows that
 those are the rules of the road.

5 That's something you can do within the time 6 frame of next Christmas, we think, we hope. That's 7 something that the CPSC is probably not going to be able 8 to do in a rulemaking anywhere within that kind of time 9 frame, though we hope that ultimately they will ratify 10 this kind of solution.

11 So I'll end there for the moment, but what I 12 would like to offer is sort of a somewhat provocative 13 idea is that we're looking at least for consumer 14 protection for technical assistance. Try to think 15 outside the box of beyond just all the usual suspects, 16 all the usual agencies. Think of those quasis like the 17 BBB, like ANSI or trade associations or whatever.

18 I'm not talking about Beltway Bandits or 19 consultants or whatever, though they're certainly fine 20 people too.

21 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Some of them, some of 22 them.

23 MR. COOPER: The benefit of the doubt. Those 24 who do work in these arenas and have a credibility that 25 they want to hold on to, but also a credibility that is

1 fungible and others know about, if there's a way to bring those groups in, I think that they would really 2 3 help at least again in consumer protection and in expanding opportunities in the global marketplace. 4 5 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Thanks, Scott. That's 6 great. Our next panelist is Michal Gal, and if you put 7 the world of academia into different chronological cohorts, for her generation, she's the finest writer on 8 9 development you can find. Michal? 10 PROF. GAL: I think I should shut up after

11 this, can't meet this high standard. Well, thanks for

129 19 hanitayo gricany yerrian Olaps at the N Cab algiaghily & Digit Lato Der Basa Der Cab and an analy 24

1 So let me start and make a few points about 2 subject matters. I would like to echo many of today's 3 speakers in emphasizing the importance of long-term 4 involvement, where such involvement is possible, and I 5 would add to the discussion that was raised earlier, 6 that long-term and short-term projects are not 7 necessarily either/or.

8 Rather, I regard short-term projects as building 9 blocks in the long-term relationship. Of course much 10 depends on the budget that you have, but where possible, 11 long-term relationships are very important.

12 The second point I would like to emphasize is 13 concentrating efforts on a few well chosen projects. 14 This is especially so because we are all strained for 15 resources. Not only for money, think of time as a 16 resource that we're all constrained with.

17 So given this, it's often better to concentrate 18 efforts on a few long-term projects and see them to 19 successful completion rather than focusing on a wide

the better its human resources, the less the need for walking it through the steps of a case and vice versa. Yet when you're talking about a very young agency and inexperienced, I think it's important to choose a few cases and go the length with the agency.

6 Another point which is highly important in my 7 view is assisting the authority in setting priorities on 8 enforcement and advocacy. I will elaborate on this 9 point later on, but let me just share with you a lot of 10 people who do TA, have told me that they observed that 11 many young agencies would like to take on Microsoft.

12 It's a sexy issue. It gives them the feeling 13 they're doing something which the large players do. It 14 has appeal in the public eye, but it is generally a very 15 bad decision, and a much better decision would be to 16 take on cases such like the bread cartel in Peru or the

1 relevant country as facing relatively similar problems.

2 You can use an example of a country which has 3 benefitted from TA, but you really need to choose your 4 example carefully because the receiving country has to 5 regard that country as one that has faced relatively 6 similar problems to its own.

And I think it's also important not only to
point to the benefits of competition but also to point
to its limits and costs in order to create balanced
expectations. By the way, this point was made by many
2 othedsp7ingutdongtCommlasfonerrbeborahcPaabteMgjemas and
Alberto Heimler during the day.

Let me just offer you two more remarks: One about human resources allocation issues, and here I would echo what a lot of people have already said, that creating relationships based on a close personal contact is extremely important, because these contacts

1 agency, should that be possible.

2 My last remark involves micro issues. Technical 3 assistance may well work best when assisting 4 jurisdictions realize the unique problems that they face 5 and assisting them in putting their efforts where it would be most beneficial. This is important because б 7 oftentimes we observe a tendency to cut and paste laws and enforcement tools and priorities of others, which 8 9 are generally large and developed economies with long established laws and sometimes different objectives than 10 those of the relevant country. 11

12 So emphasizing similarities but also working on 13 the differences is important in order to enable the 14 country to tailor the law and enforcement to its own 15 needs.

16 Let me just give you one small example from my 17 own experience. I work with mainly small economies, 18 Micro economies may not need elaborate doctrines of 19 extra territoriality as they won't need them. They 20 rarely apply them in practice. Thanks.

21 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Thanks, Michal. That's22 great.

I turn now to Georges Korsun, who is an economist with Deloitte, and Georges is really the person who taught me most of what I know that's good

1 about doing technical assistance. Georges started doing 2 this in a serious way when many of us were just reading 3 about it, including spending several times in Mongolia in the early 1990s when Mongolia was not steered towards 4 its destination, much less a place to do work. 5 б Georges, thank you. 7 MR. KORSUN: Thank you, Bill. My reward for having been such a loyal and faithful friend to Bill is 8 9 to be the last panelist on the last panel of the day. That's all right. 10

begins with a plan for the agency that's comprehensive,
 and I think it starts with a comprehensive needs
 assessment, and that's a fairly obvious statement but
 it's kind of surprising.

5 If you look at the results of the ICN survey of 6 the 40 some agencies, that received technical 7 assistance, less than half had a formal needs 8 assessment, and that seems to me to be such a 9 fundamental prerequisite to doing good technical 10 assistance that we ought to change that formula somehow.

I think good design means that one has to 11 12 understand the structure of the agency. Obviously, one 13 has to understand its capacity. One has to identify the 14 champions, the local partners who are going to make a difference, and one has to really identify or appreciate 15 or gauge the appetite for reform, both in the agency and 16 17 in the country as well as the stature -- people have spoken about this earlier, of the agency and its heads. 18

I think more importantly though the program has to be put in context, and that means in the context of the kind of structure, the kind of economic structure that the country effectively possesses. We've heard a lot about the problems of state ownership, and that's clearly -- ownership in general is an issue because it goes beyond state ownership. It also goes to economies

that are organized around sort of multiple boards that
 control vast combinations of enterprises.

3 It goes to economies that are controlled by 4 monarchies where there is one big monopolist 5 fundamentally, so I think it's really important to understand that economic context, the level of б 7 development of the economy, and finally I think it's important to understand the role of competition policy 8 in the much broader set of market liberalization reforms 9 that might be going on. 10

11 So I think if we do this and if we think about 12 this comprehensively, we might come up with a program 13 that would have a long-term perspective and that would 14 give us a number of tactical kinds of responses that 15 would be opportunistic perhaps, but at least within a 16 constraint that has to do with long-term development of 17 competition and market reform in that economy.

18 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: I remember, Georges, one 19 place we worked where the monarch owned roughly 25 20 percent of the entire economy, speaking with one government official who was looking at the monarch's 21 22 photograph and we said: What significance is there, the 23 monarch -- and how do you apply competition law where he 24 does have 25 percent? And we were assured I think on 25 that occasion that the monarch was just a passive

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

investor and not to be concerned, just probably looking
 at the statements every month seeing how they turned
 out.

4 I would like to just put --

5

MR. KORSUN: Passive and benevolent.

б COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Passive and benevolent --7 to put one thought of my own on the table for what it's 8 worth related to several of the comments here, and that 9 is to emphasize again the benefit of long-term engagement, not necessarily a long-term advisor all the 10 11 time, not necessarily the presence of many advisors or 12 study tours or visits, but long-term engagement in the sense that the recipient and the provider have the 13 14 expectation that year after year there will be 15 continuing contact.

16 And long-term, I mean not to be six months but 17 more like a decade or more if you accept the idea that relationships, there is a natural weariness on the part of the recipient. When you have the pros come in from Brussels, from Washington, from Ottawa, from all of the well established jurisdictions, several doubts: Do they really know what they're talking about with respect to my jurisdiction?

7 Are they simply the running dogs for an agenda 8 being pursued by their own jurisdiction, and more 9 interested in feedback effects to their own capital than 10 to the well-being of my own citizens? And third: Are 11 these really development tourists who are here to 12 collect good stories, good souvenirs?

George and I remember one consultant who gave the advice, always buy your souvenirs on the first trip. Are these people really interested in the long-term? If you have a continuing interaction over period of years, a decade, that kind of trust develops.

18 Second, in the course of that, you begin to 19 actually have a much better feel for what local needs 20 are, and it can start with a needs assessment that 21 Georges discussed, but you actually acquire some 22 knowledge about local conditions0.0y dlhroughe

1 And over a course of discussions over time, and 2 many people in this room have had this experience, the 3 moment that takes place -- the moment that you know your 4 counterparts trust you for your advice, see you as being 5 a neutral provider of options and an analyst rather than б just an advocate, when that light bulb goes on, you know that's what we call in academia the teachable moment. 7

Those I think are very, very fruitful, extremely 8 9 fruitful relationships. So when I think about the long-term engagement, it's completely compatible with 10 what Andreas was talking about, about the benefits of 11 12 individual short-term interventions, but it's the expectation that there will be a continuing process of 13 14 discussion and engagement overtime, and I mention it 15 with a certain amount of sorrow because that is not our strong suit in this country. 16

```
17
           It's in academ
```

6 7s65tm9-1g7nyru 54431.0 ec in -eTn

Presidential election on this because in 1993 and again in 2001, I saw incoming administrations basically say, the presumption of idiocy that we attached to our predecessors naturally forces us to rethink everything we're doing, and it means that long-term sustained projects that had the promise of success were terminated or cut back sharply?

8 It's not our strength I think institutionally to 9 do this, but that's exactly the kind of engagement that 10 I think makes a difference over time.

11 On this point, and I think of how it is 12 difficult to look in one place, and it's possibly 13 something we can extract from this program, if we search 14 through the different sessions and just take the advice 15 that our panelists have given now. You can come up with 16 a pretty good guide to the sorts of things that work 17 well.

I was wondering if I could ask all of you on the spot, in some ways Scott's given us a terrific example, with working with NGOs and Better Business Bureaus. Can you think of a specific illustration or example, perhaps a country experience or a course you've done or a program you've put together that you walk away from saying that worked, that was a good event?

25 Maybe something you're working on now, something

you've done, an instance where you start to think 1 2 about -- in my own experience I have -- when I work on 3 projects I have two columns. One column says how soon 4 can I leave. The other one says how long can I stay, 5 and in the typical project, it is a manic depressive б roller coaster through these. The two columns are relatively evenly matched for the most part, but I do worked. 14 14 8 have in my mind events where I think these were the good 9 days.

10 Which projects, illustrations, examples, when 11 you think that's an example of something that's worked 12 well? And Scott in some ways has already given us one. 13 Any that come to mind, that stand out? Well, that 14 worked.

15 MR. REINDL: At the risk that I'm saying 16 something completely wrong now by your own definition, 17 there is no project that really did work, and it's not so much a country specific experience, but I can say 18 19 that for whatever reason, our attempt to gather a highly 20 specialized group of experienced enforcers from a large 21 range of jurisdictions that makes more experience considered and less experienced jurisdictions but the 22 23isdict000r40.00 12 well? And Scott in some ways has already

local beer merger I think, and they were looking at it
 very much as the U.S. agency staffs would have done.

The other thing that the U.S. economist had done by the way is he had hooked them up to something called the Internet, and that was the first time I had ever used the word, and he hooked them up so they could get documents from the FTC Bureau of Economics to do their work so it was a great, early example of technology.

9 Here's the other side of things. They were 10 working on what to do in terms of pre-merger 11 notification, and the ideas they were getting, and I 12 have to admit I didn't body block this, were very much 13 sort of U.S. concepts of what you do when you want to 14 have a pre-merger notification review, but they didn't 15 get all the --

16 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: You mean just ask for a 17 couple cartons of documents, small steer document 18 requests.

MR. WHITENER: Well, there was that, but I'm talking about just the thresholds, but once you translated the complex U.S. process to their mindset, and actually I don't recall where they went in the early '90s, but where those concepts sort of not really adequate explained were heading were toward the kinds of fairly egregious examples we see now globally of pre-

merger review and thresholds that really don't serve the
 interests of the local countries.

3 So maybe that's a good and a bad. The good was 4 the long term advisor from BE was tremendously 5 effective. The bad was the technical pre merger advice 6 I think was totally ineffective.

7 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Thanks. Michal? 8 PROF. GAL: The example I would like to use is 9 not a long-term technical assistance, just a few days 10 program that I put together with a Supreme Court Justice 11 in Israel voluntarily, in which Judges from all the 12 courts in Israel came in for a four days seminar, and we 13 provided the basics of antitrust.

We went through hypotheticals and real-world examples and we got all the leading economists and academics in Israel to do that, and I think it has increased the quality of some of the decisions.

I think what was extremely helpful is that we later spent a few days with the Judges' research assistants. Sometimes not going directly to the decision maker but going to the one who assists in writing the first draft of the decision might be helpful.

24COMMISSIONER KOVACIC:Scott?25MR. COOPER:Very briefly, Janet Steiger in the

1 attain your goal, and that I think is the first step in building the kind of trust relationship, Bill, that 2 3 you're talking about. Sometimes it's just as simple as 4 making those initial connections between two people, but 5 I would say where it's worked the best is where we've actually seen an overlap of technical assistance and б 7 capacity building on the one hand with our existing international organizations on the other. 8

9 And I think if we take a very broad view of what 10 technical assistance capacity building is, I think the 11 ICN is ultimately that part of capacity building that 12 has worked and has been a success.

13 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: I will offer three quick 14 items of my own, and one deals with the larger spillover 15 effects to the economy of building good microeconomic 16 and legal training and analysis that in many instances 17 don't stay just inside the competition policy system, 18 but spillover into other areas, and I'll give one 19 example that does involve Georges.

20 When I think, Georges, of the seminars and work 21 that you did in Mongolia to pick one example, I think of 22 the young kids who sat in the rooms for the 23 microeconomic policy workshops. The people or the young 24 kids in many instances became the government officials, 25 the ministers, and there's a note in a bottle quality to

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

that. That is, you don't know who will pick up the
 bottle. You don't know if they'll read the note. You
 don't know if they'll follow the instructions.

4 When I think in a number of instances how as part of the training and the courses and the projects 5 what was transferred and what continues to be б 7 transferred was some valuable intellectual capital, and I realize that's not directly tied to a specific 8 9 technical assistance result, but to the extent that the effectiveness of market oriented reforms depends on 10 that, I do see that as having been successful. 11

12 The second I think of is related and really tied 13 many ways to Andreas' comment about good faculty

program that Shyam and Mark put together that we've talked about several times, that the bank conceived in the late 1990s to be in effect the universal course materials, the modules on competition policy, and Mark

20 what Andreas said: Pick the right materials, adapt them 21 to the environment in which they were most likely to be 22 used.

23 They vetted people who would teach. They

what you're going to do, and I remember that the people

who auditioned were not invariably the people that got
 the parts, and this was a way of testing their
 seriousness about doing the work.

4 The materials were assembled. There was one 5 full prototype run in Washington, a local adaptation б developed in Singapore, and sadly the bank didn't 7 continue to pursue it. That is, there it was. Here was 8 the golden path I think laid out, but I think back to 9 the people who sat in those classrooms and attended the programs and went, and we now see lots of those people 10 11 again and again.

12 It's the core of the group. I remember seeing 13 at one table David Lewis and his colleagues who have 14 been so instrumental in the development of South ourselves and for our Hungarian counterparts, but the fact of having the seminars brought people from the region into Budapest, into the other capital so that now Csaba and his colleagues really play that leading role throughout the region. On a rainy day I like to think of examples like that to show how it can be done.

7 Well, part two of our session is to focus, and 8 we've touched on it already, on what's gone badly, and 9 in the way of growth and self development, we're going 10 to turn to things that might have been done better.

11 Danny, can you lead us off?

MR. SOKOL: One fundamental issue and one that I don't think we've spent enough time on in today's sessions discHomimigtiB tBatondmettimes staff needs are very different from agency leadership needs, and the kinds of technical assistance that we provide and capacity building has to of course make sure that we meet both of those types of needs.

24 19 timutsrovSncendhaTewodddostgghsofthworkomnthmesowh'vety's 28 imppsbwidhdovkipagang hnohnésalealspstlnneebthkwerledgdy's 21 capacity building, which is to say that sometimes either 22 a short-term intervention or a long-term advisor comes 23 inT36.swk f t22mlultnce that wanthat teerts, bitday's

(301) 870-80 - www.ftrinc.nwk - (800) 921-5555the

of how to undertake a certain type of conduct in terms
 of a case or the right type of competition advocacy and
 really imparted that knowledge on the recipient
 agencies.

5 I think that where we've seen significant failure is where the donors, the providers and the б 7 recipients don't actually communicate well with each other, and it's not merely the donors and recipients. 8 Ι 9 don't think we've spent enough time really parsing out that sometimes donors and providers are different. 10 Each of them has their own agenda, and we have to make sure 11 12 that everyone is on the same page.

13 The third major point that strikes me is that 14 legitimacy matters, building off of what Michal said. 15 It's not just bringing on a good case that you can win. 16 It's bringing on the kind of case that can create 17 legitimacy for the antitrust agency to pursue its non 18 enforcement goals.

I don't think we've spent enough time today talking about the competition advocacy work of antitrust agencies, and you really can't be effective with your advocacy work if nobody takes you seriously, and the best way for someone to take you seriously is to bust your bread cartel, your rice cartel, because those are the things that are going to create the very populace

1 ground swell that you need to create a pro-competitive 2 mindset in a country.

3 Another factor I think that's gone wrong is 4 sometimes we have the wrong kind of advisors. Bill talked about the people who are looking for the exotic 5 vacations, and I think that anecdotally I've gotten many б 7 stories of this from recipients, that they say that sometimes it's been the providers of aid that have 8 9 learned as much as the recipients about technical assistance because the providers have been learning 10 on-the-job. 11

Part of that problem strikes me is because you're getting people with the wrong kind of background in terms of the selection process. Another problem we see is how short-term advisors come in. I agree with Andreas that there's an important role for short-term advisors.

18 I think the reason that we've seen it less 19 effective in the long-term in terms of the survey data 20 and in terms of the anecdotal qualitative work that people share with me afterwards is because there's too 21 22 much red tape when it comes to our short-term advisors. 23 From the time you recognize say that there's an issue 24 that you want to bring until the time that the person 25 actually sets foot in the country may be six months, and

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

in that time, the situation on the ground may have changed significantly, where they may no longer need to be there for that issue but there's something else that's come up that's more important, and so the wrong person again is on the ground or the timing just didn't work out well.

7 So the take-aways are: That there is too much red tape, there needs to be more technical assistance 8 9 and capacity building that is done in-country, fewer fancy vacations for agencies heads and their staff in 10 exotic locations where it's Bali, Paris, New York and to 11 12 the extent that agency staff used to travel for a 13 conference, make sure people are going for the right reason -- to learn. For example, more conferences 14 should be held in industrial towns where it's easy to 15 get to air service, so when people are coming, say to 16 17 Bratislava, when they're coming, they're really coming to learn and increase their capacity, not because 18 19 there's a nice beach.

And I'm sure there isn't one in Bratislava, so it seems to me that those are significant take aways, and all too often finally, we focus on the success stories and not enough on our failures, and we have to be spending more time thinking about what goes wrong and not what goes right.

1 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Andreas?

2 MR. REINDL: A couple points. If you want a 3 specific example of something that hasn't worked, I 4 remember my trip to Cairo to speak before the Arab 5 League of Nations, and I had a wonderful presentation about cartels. Of course half of the audience were OPEC б 7 When I came back to Paris and I had to fill up members. my car the next time at the gas station, I noticed that 8 9 my presentation was certainly very good but not very 10 effective.

It hink this summarizes what can go wrong. You speak to an audience that's not well selected. You speak to an audience or to participants who just are not ready to take on what you want to tell them, and you have an overly broad agenda that is not targeted to what their needs are.

17 Now, I think an interesting question is then: Would it be better to just stop talking about 18 19 competition law before members of the Arab League, and 20 probably the answer is, no. To some extent, in some contexts it makes sense. We should just not expect that 21 22 we have effects like we've seen, say, in Hungary, that you find a competition authority that's actually 23 24 following what you say. In the long-term building-up 25 recognition of comprehensive law may be something useful

1 -- maybe it helps in 10 or 15 years.

2	So I think broad and untargeted agendas and
3	countries are not ready to implement a market economy
4	are the biggest obstacles in these programs. All the
5	reverse things that I said before; unprepared
6	presentations, unprepared presenters, obviously that's
7	all the same thing as I mentioned before.
8	COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: I doubt there's anyone in
9	this room who does not know the difference in these
10	settings between a ghastly talk and a good talk, and how
11	many people here have been to a program, as I certainly
12	have, where the speaker in going from one exotic
13	destination to another, did not take the time to change
14	the location of the talk so it was the right day, right
15	topic, but it was Bali instead of Rio, and the audience
16	said, is this the right venue?
17	Mark?
18	MR. WHITENER: Okay. Well, first of all,
10	Androsa I don't think you should fool too had about not

Andreas, I don't think you should feel too bad about not single-handedly taking down OPEC, okay? It would have been great.

Two or three comments. I guess I'll start with some technical things and then bigger picture and then it's always about money.

25 On the technical side, I get the sense from

1 those of you who know more about this area than I do 2 that there is still more to be done in terms of 3 measurement and sort of quantitative and objective 4 assessment of effectiveness. Eleanor talked about this 5 in terms of self assessment, which seems like a very 6 good way to approach it; obviously, assessments that go 7 out into the field and try to get information from the 8 recipients.

9 I'm not sure how the regulated parties like my 10 company could help. We can certainly point out which countries have raised problems, but I'm not sure that 11 12 gives you a lot of insight into effectiveness of technical assistance, but measuring -- if we're going to 13 14 have a discussion about failures, obviously we need to know more than just anecdotes. We need to know what's 15 actually working based on some sort of objective 16 17 criteria.

A different technical issue, and I referred to it before, is merger process. For most global companies, except for a few that are always in the headlines -- for most of us, our most frequent interaction with global regulators are in merger reviews.

For the most part that's a technical process and does not end up being a significant substantive process.

That is a part of the global competition law picture
 that is not particularly effective.

3 I don't know how much the agencies could have 4 done or can do now through technical assistance, but I 5 think it's always been an important part of what you do. б I suspect it was not as effective early on before people 7 sort of realized what was happening, that all these jurisdictions were putting in place merger review 8 9 thresholds that captured a lot of deals that really didn't have much impact on their country, so I think 10 that's sort of a problem that got formed possibly in 11 12 part because of assistance that wasn't as focused, and now it's something we all have to deal with. 13

14 On the substantive side, my main comment here 15 because again I'm not expert in effectiveness of 16 particular types of assistance, is just to look at the 17 consequences of some things that government agencies in 18 the so-called sophisticated or major jurisdictions do 19 have control over, and that's their own policies.

I think from the business perspective, when we see enforcement decisions or policies articulated in the U.S. or the EU that may or may not be as well explained as they could be, that may be less rigorous as they might be, and that's not been a particular problem in recent years, but you will still see enforcement

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

Then a fourth related point, which I'll talk 1 2 more about when we come to recommendations, is I think more can be done in cooperation, and others have made 3 4 this point, among U.S. government, non U.S. government, 5 antitrust and non antitrust agencies, academic б institutions, Bar groups and private industry to figure 7 out how to bring resources to bear in the most effective 8 way.

9 I think government to government technical 10 assistance is still something that has a unique role. 11 It's vitally important and needs to be funded, but there 12 are other constituencies represented by various 13 panelists today who have an interest in this, and I 14 think if there was some greater effort to coordinate 15 those efforts, we might be able to do more.

16 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Thanks, Mark. Scott, I

COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: I agree, too much
 happiness is definitely a bad thing.

3 MR. COOPER: This is your last panel. Back in 4 the late '90s, there was a group called the Global 5 Business Dialoque. Some of you may have heard of it. б It was a CEO driven organization. It was 7 Bertlesmann, Vivendi, Nokia, Deutsche Bank, Fujitsu, NAC, HP, IBM, Disney, AOL, Time Warner. 8 In fact, the 9 kabuki dance or the mating dance between Time Warner and AOL started really at the GBD, back then the CEOs were 10 very larger than life. 11

You may remember late '90s, they were on top of their game, and what they perceived with the global marketplace was that is the writ of law did not run from sovereign nations. They were going to fill that void, and they made I think a pretty good effort at doing so before they all got fired because they were in Davos too many times.

But this was a high powered group. There were about 70 CEOs of all the largest multinationals who were really full of themselves from about '97 to 2002 or so. One of the issues they picked -- and my old boss was leading the effort with cross border trade because they were looking at economic commerce as really kind of the cross cutting issue here, where really the writ of law

1 did not run.

2 What do you do with the cross border trade where 3 you have in a sense two jurisdictions? You have the 4 jurisdiction of the consumer. You have the jurisdiction 5 of the business, and you have multiple laws out there. б Just in Europe alone, you have the Treaty of Rome that 7 says, no, it's the jurisdiction of the consumer that trumps here. And then you had that followed up with the 8 9 Brussels Convention that said: Well, except in some cases it's the business jurisdiction when it's 10 electronic commerce. 11

12 It really can be neither. You cannot have an either/or in a situation like this, and this is one of 13 14 the problems I think we are going to see over and over again with the global marketplace. Unless you have not 15 just bilateral rules, but multilateral rules that are 16 17 truly effective across all boundaries, you're going to have to come up with some sort of third-party resolution 18 19 to this thing, and this is what the GBD tried to do.

20 What we did about mediating disputes was through 21 an alternative dispute resolution mechanism that won't 22 take the place of the jurisdictions, but hopefully it 23 will be successful enough so that people won't even 24 think about going to their local jurisdictions because 25 it won't be cost effective. If they feel they've gotten

1 basically their day of court through this.

2 And so what we did was we went to Consumers 3 International, which is the umbrella group for all 4 consumer groups around the world, and it took us three 5 years, but we sat down in a series of meetings, endless Emails, 17 drafts in all. At the end of three years we б 7 had a document, which was an agreement between this very large business group and this umbrella consumer group on 8 9 the rules of the road for dispute resolution on cross border trade. 10

This was not business to business. 11 This was 12 strictly consumer to businesses, but what a wonderful life we were living in back in the late '90s for lots of 13 14 reasons, and this seemed to be one of them. We actually 15 had resolved a problem and got it off the plate of the FTC, the EU, Industry Canada and they all came back and 16 17 patted us on the back and said, this is great, we now 18 have a document.

Well, then how do you do implementation on something like this? And this was something that was the next step. This was rev 2. Unfortunately, Davos intervened. The dot comm bust intervened. All the supporters of this effort basically went on to other things, and we sat there with a document and no implementation.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

And so the two points I would like to make here that I think have been made already, are: One, you need long-term engagement, and somehow we've got to get businesses as well as consumer groups involved in that long-term engagement, and how we do that, I think, it has to be tri-party. We have to have all groups working toward that same goal.

We can't just say, business, you go fund this 8 9 and we'll pat you on the back when you're done, or consumers, we will pay for your way to these meetings, 10 aren't we nice, but you're going to have to sign off on 11 12 all this. And second, for government, for all reasons that Bill just raised, we've got to have this kind of 13 14 long-term commensurate commitment from government 15 towards solutions that may not come directly from the government in the first place. 16

Here we had something that all sides agreed on, but how do we ratify something that does not come from the government? How does the government actually give its imprimatur on something that was not invented there? So these are issues I think that for going forward for the global marketplace, where again we're

1 call civil society, which is basically academics, it's

whole cake or make somebody else eat the whole cake, you
 might simply choke. So that the solution which was
 championed by Bill and others, which I would also like
 to echo, is of course to teach and coach gradually.

5 Second point: Technical assistance will not be 6 effective where the one providing the assistance does 7 the work rather than the coaching. I think of technical 8 assistance as a bit like teaching a young child to walk. 9 You cannot do it for him. You cannot carry him around 10 all the time. He has to learn to do it on his own.

A point about human resources issues. I think 11 12 that programs might fail where the receiving agency has not been able to hold on to those people who have taken 13 14 part and enjoyed the TA programs in order to build upon 15 what they have gained from them. We've heard about this problem this morning from Ms. Ortiz from Peru, and I've 16 17 heard it also in the Caribbean, where they say that people enjoy the programs, and then they leave to the 18 19 private market, which pays them much higher salaries.

In fact, this situation might even strengthen the problem of the agency as now it has these wonderful trained people on the other side of the cases that it brings.

Two micro issues: First one, even the best technical assistance program may fail where political

1 this one and I'll take that one, and you can imagine 2 what the end product looks like in terms of coherence 3 and internal consistency.

I think it's a real issue. I think perhaps it's
mitigating, but with all the work on convergence, but I
think that's an issue.

7 Second, I think that a lot of the technical 8 assistance narrowly targets agencies to the detriment of 9 the wider population of affected parties, particularly 10 the judiciary, the private bar and so on, and that's 11 sort of a fundamental design flaw I think.

12 Other factors we've talked a lot about: The 13 quality of the technical assistance advisors. I think 14 perhaps this is an issue. I think there may be a supply problem on this question. There's so much material out 15 now from multinational organizations that sort of 16 17 establish the standards that advisors ought to be somewhat familiar with local conditions, despite what 18 19 the ICN survey results also say, and certainly with what 20 the multinational organizations are putting together in terms of best practices and standards, and I understand 21 22 that's not always the case.

Then finally, I also hear, although I can't testify to this myself -- but I also hear that sometimes agency material is not quite appropriate for the

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

situation in which it's used, so hypotheticals and so on aren't quite tailored to the instance that they're applied in.

The last point I think on budgeting and donor funding, you know, there's sort of this three legged stool between the funders, the technical assistance providers and the recipients, and that really confuses the relationship between the client and the provider.

9 And I understand that relationship when the technical assistance provider is a private sector 10 consulting firm. It's less clear to me why it has to be 11 12 there when there's another government agency involved. I understand that there's a move to shift budgeting away 13 14 from donor agencies and directly to the FTC or directly to the DOJ or to the provider, and I think that makes a 15 lot of sense because that sort of three legged, three 16 17 party communication about what the objectives are, I mean, all parties have different incentives, and I think 18 19 it makes it much more difficult to have clear 20 objectives, and if you don't have that, then it's very difficult to design an appropriate program. 21

22 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Yeah. Let me echo 23 several of these comments and elaborate on them a bit, 24 and then ask for reactions to what each of you have 25 heard from each other.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 One on my list is the first that Georges 2 mentioned, and that's provider rivalry is out of 3 control, not the fact of some rivalry, but the out of 4 control nature. We may be thinking of the same example 5 in a specific country where the voice in the room, as we б were speaking, after everything we said, almost as a 7 litany in the religious tradition I'm raised in, a litany where the response to each of our comments was: 8 9 You have now just heard the Anglo-Saxon point of view.

And it was spat out as though it were a 10 vulgarity, that is, you've now heard the Anglo-Saxon 11 12 point of view about where we should have lunch and now you've heard the Anglo-Saxon view about how we should 13 14 get from --well, we wouldn't want to identify the 15 country, but it was an echo in the room after everything we said about the most unremarkable propositions about 16 17 competition law.

18 I can think along those lines working in 19 Indonesia where at one time for the then emerging KPPU, 20 there were ten donor supported technical assistance projects, four of them from USAID, one from the bank, 21 22 one from Australia, one from Canada. It was easier to 23 come up with a list of countries that were not providing 24 technical assistance to the KPPU than it is to come up 25 with a list of those who were.

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

died that day because the providers were guarding all their projects and what they were doing as precious know-how, attorney/client work product, only to be coughed up in the face of dire oppressive demands and weren't willing to cooperate.

I think more generally I'm struck in our city here about how of all the people who do work, how often do each of us who do work here sit down and talk about what we do? How often do we gather regularly just within our own agency where we have people coming and going on a trip and sit down and say, You just got back from? What happened?

13 We do a little of it, but we don't do it

1 other competing universities in the area of friendly 2 competition. What are you doing, what are you working on, what are the results of that process? We do not 3 4 even have a simple basic process to share know-how on 5 that score, and yet here we are gathered once, maybe б we'll come back in a few years to talk about this again, 7 an astonishing lack of cooperation simply on the way in 8 which we do work.

9 The other item I wanted to mention is related to the question of needs assessment that George mentioned 10 before, and that is if you do a careful examination of 11 12 needs, that really is a good step in going along, much like it benefits commercial airliners to plug into the 13 mission control computer the coordinates for where 14 15 you're going rather than simply flying to Los Angeles, to know where LAX is, the difference between 1(.00 rg0.0bg12e1 16

1 Damian Neven, Paul Seabright, that's a hall of fame team 2 for you, that looked at the development of the systems 3 in Central and Eastern Europe, Csaba's agency and 4 others, a careful internal diagnosis of how things were 5 going, the framework and profile of cases being brought, the development of the management team and the б 7 infrastructure, the key insight that the choices of institutional design shape substantive outcomes, along 8 9 with the framing consideration of political economy 10 concerns, why the agency was getting all of what looked like contract cases when in many instances because, 11 12 well, the contract courts didn't work, people reframed their allegations in competition policy terms and came 13 14 to them, just a wonderful assessment of how things were going, in many ways to add more of that to the mix would 15 be extremely useful. 16

17 Last, perhaps too little honesty in our interaction with our counterparts with the political 18 19 realities we deal with, that is to talk about how you 20 cope with the political pressure when the minister 21 calls, how you cope with the demands on the larger 22 society for effective redistribution policies, how do you cope with the urgency pressed upon you to deal with 23 24 transitional inequities that aren't necessarily within 25 the immediate frame of reference of the competition

could go down -- comments on the other discussion about shortcomings, and then we'll turn to our last segment?

3 MR. SOKOL: From what we can tell from the ICN 4 data, political economy does play a huge role in terms 5 of the outcomes, and it's something that shouldn't be 6 lost in all the follow-up studies in talking to agency 7 people what they suggested.

What didn't work well is in spite of how we read 8 9 some of the data, all the qualitative comments afterwards, all the interviews, literally every single 10 one suggested when there was a malfunction with the 11 12 technical assistance, it's because they didn't understand the broader politics of the agency, how the 13 14 agency interacts with other parts of governments, and 15 within a larger sort of cultural understanding as well.

And one area that we haven't talked about is how 16 17 agencies react and respond to sector regulators, and that was -- particularly as agencies, we're doing 18 19 technical assistance or receiving technical assistance, 20 that was an issue that came up time and time again of: How do we deal with these agencies oftentimes that have 21 22 preceded us but oftentimes who do not have the same market orientation that we do, who are more prone to 23 24 capture than we are and that somewhere have much more 25 power than we do?

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Andreas?

1

2 MR. REINDL: One puzzle that Michal raised that 3 I wanted to highlight is that programs need to be 4 targeted to the absorption capacity of the recipient; 5 start with easy things, go after the bread cartel before 6 you move on to the next cartel and on to difficult 7 things.

That may well be the right approach in some 8 9 countries. The problem is that some of the recipients of technical assistance live in a different environment. 10 To give you one example, we just started to work with 11 12 Barbados on a technical assistance program that we put 13 together, and one of the major competition concerns is 14 how to control the telecoms monopoly. Essentially, all 15 antitrust cases emerge in that industry.

16 That's just a very, very difficult thing, and 17 helping to go after the bread cartel will not 18 necessarily help them in a more complex area where 19 there's enormous political pressure on them to show 20 results. The second example that I remember is 21 antitrust/IP; one of the most difficult areas of 22 antitrust law and policy.

Our inclination would be to say, Well, start with all other things before you get to this difficult things. But I remember David Lewis, of the South

African Competition Council as he sometimes would
 explain that they were under political pressure to
 tackle, for example, problems in the pharmaceutical
 industries so practice in the pharmaceutical that raise
 IP and antitrust issues.

Again, you can't just tell them, Okay, go after the bread cartel and wait for a couple years and then do the difficult stuff.

9 I agree with Michal; obviously, you don't want 10 to start there and you want to start at the easy part, 11 but the problem is that in reality this is not 12 necessarily what the recipients of technical assistance 13 expect or need.

14 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Comments between the15 discussion here? Anyone else want to pitch in? Mark?

MR. WHITENER: Well, a point I thought about 16 17 making earlier, there was a discussion in the last panel about state owned enterprises, and I guess the general 18 19 point that brings to mind is not only perhaps at times 20 the failure of leading agencies, leading jurisdictions to think about how their own policies get translated in 21 22 other jurisdictions, but how the general principles we espouse get translated into actual cases. 23

And one thing that I'm thinking of here that I did mean to mention was beyond mergers, where a lot of

1 companies deal day-to-day with the process, the area 2 that I think creates the greatest risk for over 3 deterrence or interference for official planning is 4 single firm conduct. So when we think about, for 5 example, state owned enterprises which is obviously a major characteristic of a lot of these jurisdictions, a б 7 lot of the less rational single firm rules that I have seen emerge from some of these jurisdictions that apply 8 9 equally in some cases to state owned and to private or foreign enterprises -- when you look at them and you see 10 how heavily regulatory they are and you go and explore 11 12 the origins of them, you find they often stem from a 13 legitimate goal of bringing in, to reigning in those 14 state owned enterprises.

15 But when those principles are applied to private 16 firms operating in global competitive markets you get 17 over-regulation. So, I'm not sure how it fits into the discussion, but it's a point I wanted to make earlier in 18 19 terms of the specific concern that I think businesses 20 bring to this, and one of the areas where I think it would be useful to focus technical assistance going 21 22 forward.

23 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Michal?
 24 PROF. GAL: Just a short sentence relating to
 25 Andreas' remarks. I agree with you. I agree that you

have to relate to the situation at hand, so that gradual enforcement has to be geared to the problems of the specific country, even if it might require in some instances a different set of gradual enforcement then you would normally suggest.

б But at the same time, I want to go back to a 7 point I made before, which was that it is really important to emphasize the limitations of competition 8 policy. You might have a severe problem that could not 9 be solved through competition policy, or at least not 10 with the tools that you currently have. I think that 11 12 pointing to this limitation and saying, Well, let's start with the basics and let's go up, and eventually we 13 14 might get there, might be important and even a better 15 policy than trying to deal with the highly complicated 16tectcghegestianghreonurrene bmerrmalt relownicated 12 bepriseblemMMhaSponkRaKeMAChdoy obseeushmahdydes00caee;s 18fromahdsRhasnectimahaaadto lasreweek.were working with a

1nst competition

2

2

thumb on the scale with respect to import and trade
 policy. You have very tricky distribution downstream
 mechanisms that are reminiscent of the era of state
 owned ownership.

5 So it is a -- it's clearly going to have a lot 6 of residual market power that's a consequence of these 7 circumstances, with the state I suspect at different 8 points quietly and formally, maybe not so quietly, 9 tossing benefits in the direction of the firm.

10 So there's a real series of abusive dominance 11 issues that are real and genuine, and if you're the 12 advisor and you say: Don't worry, they'll give you a 13 cold cup of coffee, get the car ready for you and you'll 14 be on your way to the airport in a hurry, plus the 15 related questions of: What happens with employment 16 dislocation if you go ahead with this process?

What about in some instances the extent to which the firm provides the housing in which the employees live, supplies -- organizes medical care and education. It's been expected to provide the larger safety net, and the question that's posed to the competition agency: What do you do about all these transitional problems? And an answer would be: You enact transfer

24 programs, you have wealth redistribution programs, you
25 don't use the state owned enterprise or the monopoly as

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

the vehicle for providing all the social services. You
 have a social services apparatus that does these things,
 and they say fine.

And where you certainly have in your magic bag the tools that put those in place right away, and there's a concern because they're not coming along, that the competition agency is going to be pressed to provide the interim solution, and at that point they turn to you and say, Okay, great advisor, what's your next move, and that's where you say, it's time for a tea break.

In the academic setting, you get to say: We'll get to that later, or you get to say: What do you think. But those ultimately don't work very well in that setting, and I'm not sure they're particularly good answers for dealing with that in that instance.

16 For a tour through the home stretch: What can 17 we do better? Scott, please.

MR. COOPER: I should go with unrehearsed 18 19 remarks here. I'm going to pick up on something that 20 Hugh Stevenson brought up in his panel, which is the global supply chain issue. The perfect storm this 21 summer, toys, toothpaste, tires, you name it, really 22 raised the issue. I think that agencies that have the 23 24 monitoring obligations can't do it when the 25 manufacturing is no longer within the 200 mile limit of

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 the United States.

What do you do? So we have all these MOUs with foreign nations, a lot of technical assistance of which ANSI is doing, and one of the agencies represented here are doing. Does anybody feel more comfortable that we're on top of this situation?

7 One of the things I think that needs to go 8 forward on this is we had a very good paper and 9 recommendations coming out of the interagency working 10 group on import safety back in November and followed up 11 with the FDA on their import safety working group plan.

12 So I think the consensus is out there about how to move forward. It's got to be consensual. It has to 13 14 be working with the private sector, all the right 15 things. Who is going to build it, what's the next step for implementation? And ANSI is doing something with 16 17 the toy industry and we brought in consumer groups. We brought in National Consumer Leagues and Consumers Union 18 19 because the toy industry was truly motivated. They were 20 ready to accept any kind of regulation; just make the pain, stop, especially before Chas tance of which 21

1 chain where things happen. When you have a situation 2 where the manufacturer is telling the subcontractor, 3 Just lower your cost, I don't care how you do it, just 4 lower your cost because we have to sell to Wal-Mart or 5 whatever. So it turns out that things like lead paint, not only are they cheaper, they dry faster, they're more б 7 brilliant colors. They have all the advantages except one, which is they're toxic. 8

9 What do you do to get back in control of these things? Well, it can't be just a private sector. 10 We're doing a lot now again with contractual obligations, but 11 12 there's got to be, again, we think this tri-party 13 approach. It's got to be consumer groups who give it 14 the gravitas. It's got to be business that agrees to 15 these things, but there's got to be a role for 16 government in a sense to participate, to ratify whatever 17 it may be, and then to monitor whatever; these programs are still works in progress. 18

19 There are meetings going on all over in town. 20 I'm sure people in this room are part of those things. 21 How do we get that thing from the nice idea to 22 implementation? And everybody is waiting for somebody 23 else to make the first move, and this is a classic 24 example where everybody recognizes the problem. 25 We know we have to do something. It's only

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

going to get worse. If we don't solve it by next year, next Christmas season, the worst of all solutions will probably come out of somewhere, mainly just down the way. So how do we get everybody -- not just in this room, but everybody who should be participating in that kind of decision process, to come out of their silos and actually work together?

8 One of the problems we have I think with 9 government is if it's not invented by government, if it 10 again was like the dispute resolution issue with the 11 consumers with the business groups, they were happy with 12 it, but they weren't going to ratify it because it 13 wasn't their program.

What do you do to get all these groups together in a global marketplace? You can't do it alone. It's got to be somewhere where we all participate. I don't know, but I would love to throw the idea out.

18 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Maybe to anticipate the 19 last part of our discussion, I think that one element of 20 providing the assistance and specific projects, but linking it to the work of multinational bodies, forums 21 22 such as ICN, is to offer for their consideration models that show that the broader collaboration can work, and I 23 24 think, Scott, of your example of the BBBs, and the 25 national advertising division on the consumer protection

1 site.

That experience began over three decades ago as an effort to find creative ways to supplement public enforcement of national advertising standards with private initiatives, has proven enormously successful, and with all the weariness about providing solutions that come off the shelf.

From western experience, I think one useful 8 9 thing that can be done is to show by demonstration for different audience models that have been successful to 10 indicate how they succeeded in the context of 11 12 international bodies so that if the intellectual vision behind them and practical experience seem persuasive, 13 different jurisdictions will opt into them, but I think 14 15 in thinking about larger solutions for perceived problems to think not simply the public sector, the 16 17 collateral supporting institutions, the role of public and private enterprises, and to find convincing examples 18 19 that show that where the incentives are aligned, as they are quite often in the case of keeping advertising to be 20 perceived as truthful and honest and thus reliable for 21 22 consumers, the focus of attention could easily be expanded to these more elaborative, cooperative 23

have a very good sense of what a country needs. But what a country needs generally is not specifically what is needed in competition policy; so we have a disconnect.

5 Second, we have the other problem that Bill 6 raised, which is that we have fighting among donors. As 7 it turns out, we do have some data from the ICN survey 8 that suggests that technical assistance and capacity 9 building seems to be different from certain donors than 10 other donors. What I would like to conclude is that 11 maybe some people should get out of this business.

Unfortunately, that's not going to happen, and since everybody is going to be giving money and everybody is going to be fighting these turf battles, the question is how do we take a very small pie and maximize its potential? And that's where I think that we all have to do a better job.

18 So now I'll attack our U.S. agencies. So for 19 FTC, we heard lots of talk about enforcement. With the 20 exception of I think Tim Hughes in the very first panel, 21 we heard very little about competition advocacy and how 22 to train people how to interact with other institutione to do a b

1 We should look at it more holistically. Ιt strikes me similarly that for the DOJ, we heard in our 2 3 opening session about cartels once again being the 4 supreme evil of antitrust or something like that. Ιt 5 seems to me after hearing all the presenters talk about б the power of the state and state owned enterprises and 7 privatized former state owned entities, but yet somehow still in the bed with the state, we need to think that 8 9 maybe cartels are not our sole priority but maybe the 10 state is the problem.

11 And it was actually Jim Rill that I think really 12 put his finger on it saying, You know the enemy of 13 antitrust is not monopoly, the enemy of antitrust seems 14 to be the state because in fact I think we have seen, 15 those of us in this room, a lot of state intervention in 16 the economy being the real source of anticompetitive 17 conduct.

Moving to our academics, let me focus on where 18 19 we've been failing. First of all, I think we don't 20 follow-up as much as we need to. Some of the best technical assistance, I think we have to think again 21 22 more holistically, comes from people coming to do LLM programs in the United States, and so the question that 23 24 I have -- or Ph.D.s in economics, so part of it is on 25 our end, How often do we keep in touch with our former

For The Record, Inc.

(301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

students, particularly those that are working in this
 field?

3 How often do we ask them the kinds of cases that 4 they're working on? How often when they're in an agency 5 are we making sure that the teaching process and the б cooperative process continues beyond the classroom so 7 that it's a long term relationship? Because part of the issue is it isn't just for the agencies to do this. 8 9 It's not just for the private sector. We in the academy I think have not been doing the kind of job that we need 10 to do. 11

But there is something else that we haven't talked enough about, which is the Bar Associations. I think one of the biggest providers of technical assistance in a certain way in terms of how knowledge gets diffused: The ABA spring meeting. The number of non-U.S. lawyers and increasingly non-U.S. economists that show up to the spring meeting is vast.

Someone told me when they were trying to get the spring meeting together in the early '80s, they desperately tried to get it to a smaller hotel. Now it seems like we can't get a hotel big enough for the spring meeting, and when I speak to people in a number of agencies, they talk about say, Bill, giving the introductory session about the basics of antitrust law

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

and how to think about these issues, that's really
 critical.

I think again if we're acknowledging Barry Hawk, I think that the Fordham fall meeting, since the mid '70s, has been really critical in helping to bring people together and teach them, and we don't pay enough attention to that and how our bars and our conferences really play into each other and are a part of this much longer term technical assistance.

Part of it is about how we work together. I don't have easy answers for you. What I suspect is that we're always going to have problems. We're not moving to convergence, particularly not in unilateral conduct as Mark and Andreas mentioned.

15 So it's about how do we mitigate the problems, and here I think we have to be honest with one another 16 17 about our goals, and we also have to do better with talking to recipients of aid so that they tell us if 18 19 somebody else is involved so that at least we know we 20 can get on the phone and talk to each other and then 21 hopefully have a way to clear the air about what people 22 are doing.

It strikes me also, therefore, we need to ask,
What about the business community? We hear business'
concerns. I would say where business has dropped the

1 ball is that if business is really concerned that not 2 enough money is being spent on technical assistance. 3 One option of course is to try to lobby members of 4 Congress, but I think another option, an additional 5 option is to actually put your money where your mouth is 6 and fund some of this yourself through various programs, 7 through training sessions, perhaps through scholarship 8 programs for people from agencies to come to the U.S. to 9 get advanced degrees.

10 So that you're creating over a much longer term 11 an intellectual community that understands the 12 importance of rule of law, that understands the 13 importance and linkage of competition law and economics 14 within a larger setting and things that are appropriate 15 to the country, but somehow are still good for business.

And I think also we don't do a good enough job in bringing the message home that this is ultimately about consumers, and once we stop -- once we stop sort bringing that message home, it's very easy, therefore, for some populist elements to say: Well, how are consumers benefitting, and we don't do an effective job in transmitting that message.

Now that I've offended everybody in the room, now is probably a good time for me to go and catch my flight. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Danny actually does have
 to go early.

3 MR. WHITENER: Sure.

4 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Thanks very much, Danny.5 Thanks. That was hugely helpful.

6 (Applause).

7 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Andreas?

MR. REINDL: First, I think is recognizing and 8 9 talking about the fact that not every program, not every event can be a success, and that applies also to 10 11 long-term technical assistance. I remember -- I won't 12 give names -- but I talked to someone a few years ago who then was general counsel at one of the U.S. 13 agencies. He told me very critical things about a 14 15 long-term assistance program where the materials essentially gathered dust in the basement. Staff was 16 17 unwilling to talk about their cases with the long-term 18 advisor, and the agency leadership was, what I remember, 19 more or less, in his terms, dysfunctional.

20 Now, it would be interesting to learn from that

OECD and not at the ICN, and they did not have to hide behind a country flag and they didn't have to represent specific agencies, but they sat around a table in a neutral place, and for a day talked about what heads of agencies want to talk about.

6 Maybe something similar would make sense in the 7 area of technical assistance, too. You have a forum 8 among the leading providers of technical assistance, and 9 you have a day long assessment of what worked and a 10 frank discussion of what hasn't worked.

11 The third point, just an idea, because we talked 12 so much about long term programs that should ideally 13 also target a broader set of stake holders, including 14 academics and judges. One question is, whether in the 15 context of a long-term assistance program, the agencies 16 are always the best institutions to reach out to judges 17 or academics.

Judges may not necessarily like to hear from the 18 19 competition authority. They may be more comfortable 20 hearing from fellow judges or from academics. Reaching out to academics may be a good thing to do for a 21 government agency, but perhaps it would be better or 22 more effective to cooperate with another academic 23 24 institution and have them come with you to the recipient 25 country and help expand contacts that the U.S. agencies

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1 could establish initially.

2 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Thank you, Andreas.
3 Mark?

4 MR. WHITENER: Well, some of this is repeating 5 things that I've said before, but let me just sort of 6 sum up two or three things that I think would be useful 7 to think about going forward.

Competition law discussions can get highly 8 9 theoretical, and I think it's always useful to have a sense not only of what our concepts are, but what they 10 actually do in practice. This applies equally to the 11 12 agencies' enforcement efforts here in the U.S., and Mr. Kovacic and others have called for more assessment 13 retrospective, if you will, of the effects of what the 14 15 agencies do domestically.

And so I think just agreeing with what others have said, some greater measure to measure and assess the effectiveness of these programs would be useful, and I think the agencies get great credit for having this program today, which I think is essentially a step in that assessment process.

Second, and this is directed actually at people who may or may not be in the room, but those who are the leaders of the future, leaders of the U.S. agencies of the future. I think we're extremely fortunate to have

leaders of the agencies today who play such a high
 priority on, not only technical assistance as such, but
 the broader competition advocacy agenda, following on
 predecessors who have done the same.

5 I don't take for granted that that will be a priority for future administrations, so whether the б 7 future leaders of the DOJ Antitrust Division or the FTC or other agencies are in this room or not, many of us 8 9 will probably know some of those people who come into leadership positions, and so I think we need to be sure 10 that there is not the apex of these kinds of efforts, 11 12 that we're really at the point where there's more to be done and the future leaders will recognize that, and 13 14 each of us in our own small way perhaps may be able to 15 whisper that in the ears of those who follow.

Then the third point, and this is a comment 16 17 directed at current agency leaders, how to follow on what you've done today and how to build on the resources 18 19 that I think as several people have said, and too bad 20 Danny is not here because I agree with everything he said about what various constituencies can do -- how can 21 you and the agencies sort of coordinate and build on 22 23 that?

The ABA can do more here. Private companieslike GE and other companies with a stake in this can do

1 more. We do have various programs in other areas of law 2 where we have essentially done outreach and what would 3 essentially be called technical assistance in various 4 areas relating to the rule of law. Whether it's through 5 the U.S. chamber through other groups the 56fividual б companies taking the initiative, there is more that we 7 can do, and I can't think of anyone better to try to help coordinate that than the FTC and the DOJ because 8 9 you guys know what you're doing as well as anybody.

And so I encourage you to think about how to pull us all together. I hesitate to say an the workshop or a roundtable, but maybe it's just a meeting to get people in the room to say, okay, what resources can you bring to bear to this problem and how can we lawfully, transparently put those resources together foe the maximum impact.

17 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Thank you, Mark. Scott?
18 MR. COOPER: Very briefly. I worry with Angel
19 about the tiredness of marketplace reform. I think the
20 case is clearly there. In the long run it works, but as
21 Lord Keynes said: In the long run, we're all dead.

I think we need to find things that are short-term solutions that give people hope and inspiration and enthusiasm. An example I think was the BBB in Romania where the businesses in Romania knew that

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

they needed a trust mark, knew they needed some kind of help to be able to sell into the global marketplace. They would do a lot in return to be able to do that. If it was talked down, from government, they would probably be very reluctant. But coming from their own general

earlier this day about what makes the perfect advisor, and a lot of interesting and important qualifications were raised. One such qualification that I want to emphasize is enthusiasm towards the subject matter. You might have an incredibly knowledgeable person, but he is dull.

7 What we really need is to clone Bill, but if we 8 can't clone Bill, then we need some other way of getting 9 highly enthusiastic people to do that job because I 10 think that then they transfer this enthusiasm to other 11 people.

Macro issues, two very short points: The first one is I think it's important to assist countries in the creation and the sustainment of regional agreements. They haven't been mentioned up until this point today, they didn't get the good people to be on the commission, but apart from these issues, if they can be dealt with, I think that's one way that donors can suggest to countries to go forward.

5 Finally, I would like to recommend more 6 conferences just like this one, which try to build upon 7 vast experiences. I think the idea to organize such 8 conferences is a wonderful one, and I hope it will 9 continue.

10 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Thank you, Michal. 11 George?

MR. KORSUN: So what's left to be said at this point after a content -- full day? Have we talked about the need for monitoring and evaluation? I think so.

15 That's one of my critical points I think, and I don't really understand why this isn't going on. I 16 17 understand the disincentives of doing it, but after awhile there is such a consensus in the community to do 18 19 this that we really ought to be focusing on it, and I 20 think there are simple mechanisms that ought to be attached on every single project, on every single 21 22 technical assistance project, and people shouldn't get paid until they complete that initial step of the 23 24 project, which is to design what the outcome measures 25 are going to be.

1 It will force people to think about what the 2 desired outcomes are, and I think eventually over time, 3 we would evolve towards a kind of consistent methodology 4 for evaluation.

5 It's a very tough question because it's 6 occurring at the micro level, when we're talking about 7 does having a left-handed advisor work better than perspective of somebody who is in private practice, has looked at the decisions of certain agencies, and sometimes when I look at the decision of agencies in single firm conduct cases and so on, the logic and the level of economic analysis and the decisions are not always commensurate with the amount of technical assistance that the country has received.

So I think it's reasonable to think about this 8 9 question, and really the reason it's reasonable to think about it is that that's the single most important 10 outcome measure. When we think about monitoring and 11 12 evaluation, the single most -- the dependent variable, 13 the Holy Grail of dependent variables is whether the 14 agency is functioning well with the quality of decisions 15 and so on.

So let's devote some attention to thinking about 16 17 how to measure that. I know there are lots of indices out on agency quality. There are the OECD peer reviews, 18 19 but I'm not sure that anything really gets at the 20 quality of decisions or other quality measures on the effectiveness of the agency. Again, I don't want to 21 22 minimize the scope of the problem, but we ought to be addressing it as a group, perhaps again through the ICN, 23 24 although I can see why that would be a difficult sale. 25 I think that's probably all. We've covered so

> For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

many important things today. The last point is that we need to keep a perspective. There's a lot of commercial law reform going on. There's a lot of market liberalization going on, and this is just but one small piece of it, and we're better off if we understand the broader context.

7 COMMISSIONER KOVACIC: Yeah. Thanks, George. I think one of the great contributions that the 8 9 international networks can make is focusing attention on precisely the concerns you just mentioned; that is, 10 making a commitment to a sustained process for 11 12 monitoring and evaluation, and asking the larger 13 question that you mentioned, which is: How do we 14 measure agency quality?

15 What are the benchmarks of good competition policy performance? And by the way, for the adults in 16 17 this business, that's a useful question to ask too, and I don't see general agreement in the areas in which we 18 19 work about how you fill out the agency report card: 20 Case counts, investigations opened, what kinds of cases? 21 Are we going to measure outcomes? Where does advocacy 22 fit into the mix? What about investments and institution building? That is, do you look at current 23 24 leadership and say, I want to know what your capital 25 budget is every year, namely and in particular?

1 I want you to identify the investments that will 2 pay off when you're long gone, for which you will not be 3 there for the ribbon cutting ceremony, and contrary again to the wonderful Washington aphorism, pick the low 4 5 hanging fruit. I want to know how many trees you've б planted so that when you're long gone, then we see how 7 you today made investments that made your agency better 8 later on.

9 And that is so contrary to the sick culture of 10 this city that it is very hard to get people to take entst.l thisle to take 1 have succeeded from those who don't?

2	I once again want to thank my colleagues for
3	putting this program together because what it does
4	involve in many ways I think is a willingness to ask
5	questions about one's own performance and to think about
6	doing it better over time, and I know there is a natural
7	institutional reluctance to ask questions about how one
8	does better, much less to discuss areas in which one has
9	failed.
10	But the person who brought me here several years
11	ago said: If you are afraid to subject your ideas to
12	that kind of scrutiny, maybe it's time to get some
13	better ideas.
14	Thanks very much for contributing to a useful
15	and, I think, going ahead productive day. Thank you.
16	And thanks to this panel.
17	(Applause.)
18	(Whereupon, at 5:35 p.m., the workshop was
19	concluded.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 3 4 CASE TITLE: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE WORKSHOP 5 MATTER NO: P29601 DATE: FEBRUARY 6, 2008 б 7 I HEREBY CERTIFY that the transcript contained 8 9 herein is a full and accurate transcript of the steno notes transcribed by me on the above cause before the 10 doIap,81ap,81ap,81aehenabbeeabeueecbeserbefbee the do