# The E ect of the Internet on Performance and Quality: Evidence from the Airline Industry

#### Itai Ater <sup>1</sup> and Eugene Orlov<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tel Aviv University

<sup>2</sup>Compass Lexecon

November 2012

 Information is crucial for e cient markets. Particularly, Internet reduces search costs and leads rms to set lower prices

< □ >

#### Mean Scheduled Flight Duration (1990 - 2007)

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 - 釣��

< □ >

- On-time performance data ight level data that include scheduled and actual ight times and information on carrier, origin, destination and aircraft type
- Internet usage data across US MSAs for 1997, 1998, 2000, 2001, 2003 and 2007
- Origin and destination price data to compute 1) average fare and
  2) the distribution of passengers' origin airport

We exploit three main sources of variation to identify the e ect of the Internet on scheduled and actual ight times:

- Di erences in Internet penetration over time
- ② Di erences in Internet penetration across the US
- O Di erences in the competitive level across markets

### **Internet Measure**

How to measure Internet usage on Delta's Flight from Atlanta to Denver?



## Internet - Stylized Example

## Internet - Stylized Example

Delta's Flight from Atlanta to Denver



Origin	Passenger Share	Internet
Atlanta	18%	40%
Philadelphia	8%	50%
Boston	8%	60%
Orlando	8%	20%
Miami	8%	20%
Denver	50%	50%
Weighted Average		43.4%

November 2012

æ

## **Estimation**

The basic framework is a panel data xed-e ects regression

Duration  $_{fijt} = _1 In_{ijt} + _2 HHI_{jt} + _3 In_{jt} HHI_{jt} + _4 In(Fare_{ijt})$ +  $_c X_{fijt}^c + _j + _{acft} + _{it} + _{ki} + _{fijt}$ 

ight f by airline i on directional segmentj on day t

- X c ights)
- j directional airport-pair ( ight distance and direction)
- acft type of aircraft (speed, fuel-e ciency)
- it carrier-day (di erences across airlines' scheduling decisions)
- ki origin-carrier (number and location of gates)

IN I DOG

 Including the xed e ects implies that we compare ights by the same carrier that operate on the same dayusing the same type of aircraft and departing from the same airport

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つへの

Including the xed e ects implies that we compare ights by the <u>same carrie</u>r that operate on the <u>same dayusing the</u> same type of aircraft and departing from the same airport

Two features strengthen this identi cation strategy:

Di erent competition levels across ight segments originating from the same airport

Flights departing from the same airport carry passengers who began their journey at di erent airports/MSAs

Before the Internet - airlines were mostly interested in the ights with the <u>shortest scheduled duration</u>

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つへの

 Before the Internet - airlines were mostly interested in the ights with the <u>shortest scheduled duration</u>

The Internet coe cient is greater for the shortest ights on a segment

 Before the Internet - airlines were mostly interested in the ights with the <u>shortest scheduled duration</u> The Internet coe cient is greater for the shortest ights on a

segment

In markets with low-cost carriers price has always been `more important' than ight duration

 Before the Internet - airlines were mostly interested in the ights with the <u>shortest scheduled duration</u>

The Internet coe cient is greater for the shortest ights on a segment

In markets with low-cost carriers price has always been `more important' than ight duration

We do not nd the same e ect of the Internet in markets with low-cost-carriers

As Internet use increases { price competition ensues and product quality will

- Improve because: 1. passengers using the Internet can compare ight delays across airlines; 2. scheduled times are longer
- <u>Worsen</u> because rms compete vigorously at the price dimension at the expense of the less salient measures of product quality
- Which e ect dominates?
- Does competition mitigate or exacerbate the net e ect?

#### **Dependent Variables - Arrival Delays**