

- 1. " ** j 12
- 2. ** j
- (44 11034, j 2, 1)
- 3. j

The Proposed Amendment

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. j 3

Authority: 4 . .C. 10 (), 40113, 44 01.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. 3 .13 j ()

2002, 2 \ 0 , 3 \ 12 (

1 , 23, 2002),

Air Tractor, Inc.: . \ 200 \

024 200 \ C \

003 .

Comments Due Date

() j j 2,

200 .

Affected ADs

() 2002, 2 \ 0 ,

3 \ 12 .

Applicability

()

M	S	N
AT 250, AT 300, AT 301, AT 302, AT 400, AT 400A, AT 401, AT 401A, AT 402, AT 402A AT 402B.	0001	1196.
AT 501, AT 502, AT 502A, AT 502B.	0001	2620.
AT 602	0337	1153.
AT 802A	0003	0282. AT

Examining the AD Docket

j

j

<http://www.regulations.gov>;

j

j

(00) 4 \ 2)

ADDRESSES

C

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

j, j

j j

1
*

j
,

3C - - ;"

4 , , , ,

providing business guidance with respect to “refillable” claims about packaging? Please provide any evidence that supports your answer.

(2) Has there been a change in consumer perception of these claims since the Guides were revised?

(a) If so, please describe this change and provide any evidence that supports your answer.

(b) Should the Guides be revised to address any such change? If so, how?

(3) Are there “refillable” claims in the marketplace concerning packaging that are misleading? If so, please describe these claims and provide any evidence that supports your answer.

(4) To the extent not addressed in your previous answers, please explain whether and how the Guides should be revised to prevent consumer deception, provide business guidance, and/or reduce costs the Guides impose on businesses, particularly small businesses, with respect to “refillable” claims about packaging. Please provide any evidence that supports your answer.

F. Ozone Safe and Ozone Friendly

(1) How effective have the Guides been in preventing consumer deception and providing business guidance with respect to “ozone safe” or “ozone friendly” claims about packaging? Please provide any evidence that supports your answer.

(2) Has there been a change in consumer perception of these claims since the Guides were revised?

(a) If so, please describe this change and provide any evidence that supports your answer.

(b) Should the Guides be revised to address any such change? If so, how?

(3) Are there “ozone safe” or “ozone friendly” claims in the marketplace concerning packaging that are misleading? If so, please describe these claims and provide any evidence that supports your answer.

(4) To the extent not addressed in your previous answers, please explain whether and how the Guides should be revised to prevent consumer deception, provide business guidance, and/or reduce costs the Guides impose on businesses, particularly small businesses, with respect to “ozone safe” or “ozone friendly” claims about packaging. Please provide any evidence that supports your answer.

G. Claims Currently Not Addressed by the Green Guides

(1) Should the Guides be revised to include guidance regarding “bio-based” packaging claims? If so, why, and what guidance should be provided, that supports your answer.

claiTj T* (supports your answer.)Tj 0 -1.0556 TD ((2) Has there)T2856 be re3iseAre0 TD 0 ould benvironmTDtal0 Tw nce regarding red by r misleading -1.any evideTj 0 -1.1aD (the Greenj 0 -1.111 shoulany evidi)Tjld be are0 TDy?e suppoany evidrts your answe -1.0556 TD ((2) Has there)T2856 be rein d to include guidance regarding